TD Asset Management

Investor Knowledge () 10 Minutes





Managing Director, Portfolio Manager and Senior Research Analyst, TD Epoch

Optimization and the TD Capital Reinvestment Strategies

The Capital Reinvestment strategies use portfolio optimization as part of their investment process. Let's start with a basic question: what does "optimization" even mean?

At its core, the idea of optimization is about finding the "best" (or "optimal") combination of expected return and expected risk. The obvious question then is how do we define "best?" The answer goes all the way back to economist Harry Markowitz's seminal work in the 1950s on portfolio construction, which was the foundation of what we call Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), and which won him a Nobel Prize in Economics. Markowitz's work was what really got people to think about portfolios in what we call "mean/variance" terms. In the world of MPT, the two most important characteristics of a portfolio are its mean return over time and the variance of those returns, which MPT uses as its primary measure of risk. People had always had an intuitive sense that there should be some relationship between an investment's riskiness and its return. If you are considering two investments, and one seems riskier to you than the other in some way, presumably you want to get paid more – in the form of a higher return – for taking on that additional risk. MPT quantified that intuitive relationship by using the volatility of an investment's returns as a measure of risk, and then devised various ways to measure the tradeoff between risk and return. Now, when you combine different assets, such as a group of stocks, into a portfolio, the returns are additive, but the risk is not. To use a simple example, if you put 60% of your money in a stock that goes up 10%, and the other 40% in a stock that goes up 20%, your portfolio will be up 14%, the weighted average of the two returns. But the volatility of the portfolio will not be the weighted average of the volatilities of the two stocks, in the way that the return is. It will depend on how the returns of the two stocks correlate with each other over time, because sometimes movements in one stock will offset movements in the other. The lower the correlation between the returns, the lower the volatility of the combined portfolio will be. A central point of Markowitz's work was that when you combine assets into a portfolio, you should pay attention to how they correlate with each other and set the individual asset weights within the portfolio in such a way as to make sure you are taking risk "efficiently," that is, you're getting the highest possible return for any given level of risk, or the lowest possible risk for a given level of return. That's very hard for a human to do without the help of technology such as an optimizer.

So, what exactly is the optimizer you speak of, and how does it work?

An optimizer is just a software program. It draws on various data sources to calculate a portfolio's expected return and expected risk, both in absolute terms and relative to a benchmark that you specify.

To calculate expected risk, it relies on historical volatility and correlation data for each stock over the previous few years. So, for any combination of stocks, it can calculate an expected total risk number as well as an expected tracking error. For expected returns, we have to provide the numbers, and we use the Epoch Core Model as the source for the expected alpha. Once the optimizer has all that data, it looks at our existing portfolio, considers all the stocks that pass our Cap Re screen that we don't currently own, and suggests some trades that it thinks will improve the relationship between our expected alpha and our expected tracking error. Some of those suggested trades will be new names, some will be complete sales of existing names, and some will be adjustments up or down to the weights of existing names. We put some constraints around the process, on things like turnover, country and sector weights, individual position sizes, and so on.



In practice, you end up rejecting many of the optimizer's suggestions. Why is that?

Well, at TD Epoch we are big believers in the concept of "racing with the machine." What that means is that there are some things that machines (by which we really mean technology) can do better than humans, and there are other things that humans can do better than machines. Working together, humans and machines can produce a better outcome than either could on their own. In our case, we think the thing that the machine can do better than we can is to take into account that huge correlation matrix of dozens of stocks and figure out the most risk-efficient way to combine them into a portfolio. But humans are still better at figuring out which stocks to own. And that's because the machine can only take into account the quantitative data. That data is important as a starting point, but there is all sorts of qualitative information you need to take into consideration as well when you are thinking about investing in a business, and that kind of information can really best be evaluated by humans.



In our strategy, we are very focused on identifying what a company's sustainable competitive advantage is that will enable it to continue to earn high returns on invested capital in the future, and on what the risks to that sustainability are. That might involve understanding how unique a company's technology is, or how strong a company's brand is, which a machine can't do the way a human analyst can. Or it might be that a company looks good today on the quantitative data, but that could be a short-term phenomenon driven by, in the case of a mining company for example, a recent rise in the price of iron ore, something the company has no control over. The optimizer can see which companies look good today quantitatively, but it has no ability to judge whether those companies will continue to look good next month, next quarter, or next year. You need human judgment for that, and no stock gets into our portfolio unless it passes the vetting of our fundamental research. So yes, we do end up rejecting many of the names that the optimizer suggests we buy, but we do tend to listen to it when it comes to deciding how to weight the names we do choose to include. Even there, though, we do reserve the right to adjust individual security weights to reflect the strength of our views on a stock, including selling names that the optimizer suggested we hold on to.

How do you evaluate whether the partnership between you and the optimizer is working well?

The best way to measure that is to see how our information ratio compares to our peers. The information ratio is the ratio of alpha to tracking error. Obviously, active managers have to look different than the benchmark if they want to outperform, and deviations from the benchmark create active risk, which we call tracking error. The information ratio just measures how efficiently a manager turns that active risk into active return, i.e., alpha. At the simplest level, if two managers can both generate 2% alpha, but one can do it with 3% tracking error (an information ratio of 0.67) while the other one generates 6% tracking error (an information ratio of 0.33), the first manager has done a better job, because he or she has generated the same alpha as the second manager while staying closer to the client's desired underlying asset class exposure.

When you look at the information ratio for the various Quality Capital Reinvestment strategies over longerterm periods, we have consistently ranked very highly within our peer groups. As of December 31, 2023, the information ratio for the TD Global Equity Growth Fund ranked in the 1st percentile over the 1 year period and the 11th percentile over the 3 year period in the Canadian Funds, Global Equity Peer Group on Morningstar. And the high information ratios are not coming at the expense of alpha. Over those same time periods, the 1 year and 3-year alphas ranked in the 11th and 16th percentile respectively for the peer group. So, we would say that the interaction has worked well. Historically, we've been able to find attractive stocks, and the optimizer has combined them in such a way that has enabled us to achieve those alphas with very efficient levels of active risk.

Standard performance data (%)	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	Since Inception*
TD U.S Capital Reinvestment Fund – F	51.7	7.7	13.1	15	7.32
TD Global Equity Growth Fund F Series	27.3	11.3	15.1	11	5.95

*Inception date: Nov. 1, 2000 (both funds)

Optimization



Strategy

Connect with TD Asset Management



in 😱



The information contained herein has been provided by TD Asset Management Inc. (TDAM) and is for information purposes only. The information has been drawn from sources believed to be reliable. The information does not provide financial, legal, tax or investment advice. Particular investment, tax, or trading strategies should be evaluated relative to each individual's objectives and risk tolerance. Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments. Please read the fund facts and prospectus, which contain detailed investment information, before investing. Mutual funds are not guaranteed or insured, their values change frequently and past performance may not be repeated. Mutual fund strategies and current holdings are subject to change. TD Mutual Funds and the TD Managed Assets Program portfolios are managed by TD Asset Management Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Toronto-Dominion Bank and are available through authorized dealers. Epoch Investment Partners, Inc. operates in the United States and is an affiliate of TD Asset Management Inc. TD Asset Management Inc. operates in Canada. Both are wholly-owned subsidiaries of The Toronto-Dominion Bank. Certain statements in this document may contain forward-looking statements ("FLS") that are predictive in nature and may include words such as "expects", "anticipates", "intends", "believes", "estimates" and similar forward-looking expressions or negative versions thereof. FLS are based on current expectations and projections about future general economic, political and relevant market factors, such as interest and foreign exchange rates, equity and capital markets, the general business environment, assuming no changes to tax or other laws or government regulation or catastrophic events. Expectations and projections about future events are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, which may be unforeseeable. Such expectations and projections may be incorrect in the future. FLS are not guarantees of future performance. Actual events could differ materially from those expressed or implied in any FLS. A number of important factors including those factors set out above can contribute to these digressions. You should avoid placing any reliance on FLS. ® The TD logo and other TD trademarks are the property of The Toronto-Dominion Bank or its subsidiaries.