

## FINANCIAL RESULTS

# Consolidated Financial Statements

	PAGE		PAGE
Management's Responsibility for Financial Information	121	Consolidated Financial Statements	
Independent Auditor's Report – Canadian Generally Accepted Auditing Standards	122	Consolidated Balance Sheet	127
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Standards (United States)	124	Consolidated Statement of Income	128
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Internal Control over Financial Reporting	126	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	129
		Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	130
		Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	131

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE	TOPIC	PAGE	NOTE	TOPIC	PAGE
1	Nature of Operations	132	19	Subordinated Notes and Debentures	188
2	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	132	20	Capital Trust Securities	189
3	Significant Accounting Judgments, Estimates, and Assumptions	144	21	Equity	189
4	Current and Future Changes in Accounting Policies	148	22	Insurance	192
5	Fair Value Measurements	150	23	Share-Based Compensation	194
6	Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities	159	24	Employee Benefits	196
7	Securities	161	25	Income Taxes	200
8	Loans, Impaired Loans, and Allowance for Credit Losses	164	26	Earnings Per Share	202
9	Transfers of Financial Assets	169	27	Provisions, Contingent Liabilities, Commitments, Guarantees, Pledged Assets, and Collateral	203
10	Structured Entities	171	28	Related Party Transactions	206
11	Derivatives	174	29	Segmented Information	207
12	Investment in Associates and Joint Ventures	182	30	Interest Income and Expense	209
13	Significant Acquisitions and Disposals	184	31	Credit Risk	209
14	Goodwill and Other Intangibles	184	32	Regulatory Capital	211
15	Land, Buildings, Equipment, and Other Depreciable Assets	186	33	Risk Management	212
16	Other Assets	186	34	Information on Subsidiaries	212
17	Deposits	186	35	Significant and Subsequent Events, and Pending Transactions	213
18	Other Liabilities	188			

## MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The management of The Toronto-Dominion Bank and its subsidiaries (the "Bank") is responsible for the integrity, consistency, objectivity, and reliability of the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bank and related financial information as presented. International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, as well as the requirements of the *Bank Act* (Canada), and related regulations have been applied and management has exercised its judgment and made best estimates where appropriate.

The Bank's accounting system and related internal controls are designed, and supporting procedures maintained, to provide reasonable assurance that financial records are complete and accurate, and that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition. These supporting procedures include the careful selection and training of qualified staff, the establishment of organizational structures providing a well-defined division of responsibilities and accountability for performance, and the communication of policies and guidelines of business conduct throughout the Bank.


Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Bank's internal control over financial reporting as at October 31, 2019, using the framework found in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission 2013 Framework. Based upon this assessment, management has concluded that as at October 31, 2019, the Bank's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

The Bank's Board of Directors, acting through the Audit Committee which is composed entirely of independent directors, oversees management's responsibilities for financial reporting. The Audit Committee reviews the Consolidated Financial Statements and recommends them to the Board for approval. Other responsibilities of the Audit Committee include monitoring the Bank's system of internal control over the financial reporting process and making recommendations to the Board and shareholders regarding the appointment of the external auditor.

The Bank's Chief Auditor, who has full and free access to the Audit Committee, conducts an extensive program of audits. This program supports the system of internal control and is carried out by a professional staff of auditors.

The Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada, makes such examination and enquiry into the affairs of the Bank as deemed necessary to ensure that the provisions of the *Bank Act*, having reference to the safety of the depositors, are being duly observed and that the Bank is in sound financial condition.

Ernst & Young LLP, the independent auditors appointed by the shareholders of the Bank, have audited the effectiveness of the Bank's internal control over financial reporting as at October 31, 2019, in addition to auditing the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements as of the same date. Their reports, which expressed an unqualified opinion, can be found on the following pages of the Consolidated Financial Statements. Ernst & Young LLP have full and free access to, and meet periodically with, the Audit Committee to discuss their audit and matters arising therefrom, such as, comments they may have on the fairness of financial reporting and the adequacy of internal controls.



**Bharat B. Masrani**  
Group President and  
Chief Executive Officer



**Riaz Ahmed**  
Group Head and  
Chief Financial Officer

Toronto, Canada  
December 4, 2019

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

### To the Shareholders and Directors of The Toronto-Dominion Bank

#### **Opinion**

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of The Toronto-Dominion Bank and its subsidiaries ("TD" or the "Group"), which comprise the Consolidated Balance Sheet as at October 31, 2019 and 2018, and the Consolidated Statement of Income, Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, and Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended October 31, 2019, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Group as at October 31, 2019 and 2018, and its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended October 31, 2019, in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Group in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the consolidated financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

#### **Key Audit Matters**

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the year ended October 31, 2019. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters. For each matter below, our description of how our audit addressed the matter is provided in that context.

We have fulfilled the responsibilities described in the *Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements* section of our report, including in relation to these matters. Accordingly, our audit included the performance of procedures designed to respond to our assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements. The results of our audit procedures, including the procedures performed to address the matters below, provide the basis for our audit opinion on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

#### **Allowance for credit losses**

##### *Key audit matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgments, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the allowance for credit losses in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 7 and Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, TD recognized \$5,036 million in allowances for credit losses on its consolidated balance sheet using an expected credit loss model (ECL). The ECL is an unbiased and probability-weighted estimate of credit losses expected to occur in the future, which is based on the probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) and exposure at default (EAD) or the expected cash shortfall relating to the underlying financial asset. The ECL is determined by evaluating a range of possible outcomes incorporating the time value of money and reasonable and supportable information about past events, current conditions, and future economic forecasts.

Auditing the allowance for credit losses was complex and required the application of significant judgement because of the sophistication of the models, the forward-looking nature of the key assumptions, and the inherent interrelationship of the critical variables used in measuring the ECL. Key areas of judgement include evaluating: (i) the models and methodologies used for measuring both the 12-month and lifetime expected credit losses; (ii) the assumptions used in the ECL scenarios including forward-looking information (FLI) and assigning probability weighting; (iii) the determination of significant increase in credit risk (SICR); and (iv) the qualitative component applied to the modelled ECL based on management's expert credit judgment.

##### *How our audit addressed the key audit matter*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the allowance for credit losses. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the development and review of inputs and models used to calculate ECL, the integrity of the data used including the associated controls over relevant information technology (IT) systems, and the governance and oversight over the modelled results and the use of expert credit judgment.

To test the allowance for credit losses, our audit procedures included, among others, involving our credit risk modelling specialists to assess the methodology and assumptions used in significant models that estimate the ECL across various portfolios and to assess management's SICR triggers. With the assistance of our economic specialists, we evaluated the process used by management to develop forward-looking information and determine the ECL scenario probability weights. On a sample basis, we independently recalculated the ECL. We also evaluated management's methodology and governance over the qualitative components contributing to the ECL based on the application of expert credit judgment.

#### **Fair value measurement of derivatives**

##### *Key audit matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the fair value measurement of derivatives in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements, TD has derivatives assets of \$48,894 million and derivative liabilities of \$50,051 million recorded at fair value. Of these derivatives, certain trades are complex and illiquid and require valuation techniques that may include complex models and non-observable inputs, requiring management's estimation and judgment.

Auditing the valuation of certain derivatives required the application of significant auditor judgement and involvement of valuation specialists in assessing the complex models and non-observable inputs used, including any significant valuation adjustments. Certain valuation inputs used to determine fair value that may be non-observable include volatilities, correlations, and credit spreads. The valuation of certain derivatives is sensitive to these inputs as they are forward-looking and could be affected by future economic and market conditions.

##### *How our audit addressed the key audit matter*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the valuation of TD's derivative portfolio. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the controls over the suitability and mechanical accuracy of models used in the valuation of derivatives, controls over management's independent assessment of fair values, including the integrity of data used in the valuation such as the significant inputs noted above, controls over relevant IT systems, and the review of significant valuation adjustments applied.

To test the valuation of these derivatives, our audit procedures included, among others, an evaluation of the methodologies and significant inputs used by TD. With the assistance of our valuation specialists, we performed an independent valuation for a sample of derivatives to assess the modelling assumptions and significant inputs used to estimate the fair value, which involved independently obtaining significant inputs from external sources. We also evaluated

the methodology applied and governance over the calculation of material derivative valuation adjustments and recalculated a sample of these adjustments.

### **Valuation of provision for unpaid claims**

#### *Key audit matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the valuation of provisions for unpaid claims in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements, TD has recognized \$6,920 million in insurance-related liabilities on its consolidated balance sheet. The insurance-related liabilities include a provision for unpaid claims, which is determined in accordance with accepted actuarial practices. It also considers variables such as past loss experience, current claim trends, and changes in the prevailing social, economic, and legal environment.

Auditing the provision for unpaid claims involves the application of models and methodologies that require significant judgment. The main assumption underlying the claims liability estimates is the amount and timing related to incurred insured events including those not yet reported by the claimants. Other assumptions which are subject to significant judgment include the discount rate, margin for adverse deviation, and trends in severity and frequency.

#### *How our audit addressed the key audit matter*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the valuation of the provision for unpaid claims. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the controls related to TD's claims and actuarial processes including over the completeness and accuracy of data flow through the claims administration systems, and the periodic review of the provision for unpaid claims by management.

To test the valuation for unpaid claims, our audit procedures included, among others, involving our actuarial specialists to independently calculate material components of the provision for unpaid claims. This included assessing the accuracy of TD's data, and benchmarking the assumptions against industry trends and regulatory developments. We involved our actuarial specialists in assessing TD's actuary's methodologies and significant assumptions, including the rationale for the judgments applied. We also tested a sample of incurred claims, paid claims, and earned premiums used in the estimation of the provision for unpaid claims.

### **Measurement of provision for uncertain tax positions**

#### *Key audit matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to income taxes in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As a financial institution operating in multiple jurisdictions, TD is subject to complex and constantly evolving tax legislation. Uncertainty in a tax position may arise as tax laws are subject to interpretation. TD uses significant judgment in i) determining whether it is probable that TD will have to make a payment to tax authorities upon their examination of certain uncertain tax positions, and ii) measuring the amount of the liability, where probable.

Auditing the recognition and measurement of TD's provision for uncertain tax positions involves the application of judgement and is based on interpretation of tax legislation and jurisprudence.

#### *How our audit addressed the key audit matter*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the recognition and measurement of TD's provision for uncertain tax positions. This includes controls over the assessment of the technical merits of tax positions and management's process to measure the provision for uncertain tax positions.

With the assistance of our tax professionals our audit procedures included, among others, assessing the technical merits and the amount recorded for uncertain tax positions. This included using our knowledge of, and experience with, the application of tax laws

by the relevant income tax authorities and through discussions with management. We assessed the implications of correspondence received by TD from the relevant tax authorities and evaluated income tax opinions or other third-party advice obtained. We also evaluated TD's income tax disclosures included in Note 25 of the consolidated financial statements in relation to these matters.

### **Other Information**

Management is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises:

- Management's Discussion and Analysis; and
- The information, other than the consolidated financial statements and our auditor's report thereon, in the 2019 Annual Report.

Our opinion on the consolidated financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information, and in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

We obtained Management's Discussion and Analysis and the 2019 Annual Report prior to the date of this auditor's report. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact in this auditor's report. We have nothing to report in this regard.

### **Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements**

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Group's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Group's financial reporting process.

### **Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements**

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Group's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Group's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the Group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with those charged with governance, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditors report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

*Ernst + Young LLP*

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada  
December 4, 2019

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

### To the Shareholders and Directors of The Toronto-Dominion Bank

#### *Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements*

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet of The Toronto-Dominion Bank (TD) as of October 31, 2019 and 2018, the related Consolidated Statement of Income, Comprehensive Income, Changes in Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended October 31, 2019, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements").

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of TD as at October 31, 2019 and 2018, and the results of its operations and its consolidated cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended October 31, 2019, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

#### *Adoption of IFRS 9*

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, TD changed its method of accounting for the classification and measurement of financial instruments in 2018 due to the adoption of IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments*. Our opinion is not qualified with respect to this matter.

#### *Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), TD's internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2019, based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated December 4, 2019, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

#### *Basis for Opinion*

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of TD's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on TD's consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to TD in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

#### *Critical Audit Matters*

The critical audit matters communicated below are matters arising from the current period audit of the consolidated financial statements that were communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the consolidated financial statements, and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective, or complex judgments. The communication of critical audit matters does not alter in any way our opinion on the consolidated financial statements, taken as a whole, and we are not, by communicating the critical audit matters below, providing separate opinions on the critical audit matters or on the accounts or disclosures to which they relate.

## **Allowance for credit losses**

### *Description of the Matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgments, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the allowance for credit losses in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 7 and Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements, TD recognized \$5,036 million in allowances for credit losses on its consolidated balance sheet using an expected credit loss model (ECL). The ECL is an unbiased and probability-weighted estimate of credit losses expected to occur in the future, which is based on the probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) and exposure at default (EAD) or the expected cash shortfall relating to the underlying financial asset. The ECL is determined by evaluating a range of possible outcomes incorporating the time value of money and reasonable and supportable information about past events, current conditions, and future economic forecasts.

Auditing the allowance for credit losses was complex and required the application of significant judgement because of the sophistication of the models, the forward-looking nature of the key assumptions, and the inherent interrelationship of the critical variables used in measuring the ECL. Key areas of judgement include evaluating: (i) the models and methodologies used for measuring both the 12-month and lifetime expected credit losses; (ii) the assumptions used in the ECL scenarios including forward-looking information (FLI) and assigning probability weighting; (iii) the determination of significant increase in credit risk (SICR); and (iv) the assessment of the qualitative component applied to the modelled ECL based on management's expert credit judgment.

### *How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the allowance for credit losses. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the development and review of inputs and models used to calculate ECL, the integrity of the data used including the associated controls over relevant information technology (IT) systems, and the governance and oversight over the modelled results and the use of expert credit judgement.

To test the allowance for credit losses, our audit procedures included, among others, involving our credit risk modelling specialists to assess the methodology and assumptions used in significant models that estimate the ECL across various portfolios and to assess management's SICR triggers. With the assistance of our economic specialists, we evaluated the process used by management to develop forward-looking information and determine the ECL scenario probability weights. On a sample basis, we independently recalculated the ECL. We also evaluated management's methodology and governance over the qualitative components contributing to the ECL based on the application of expert credit judgment.

## **Fair value measurement of derivatives**

### *Description of the Matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the fair value measurement of derivatives in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements, TD has derivatives assets of \$48,894 million and derivative liabilities of \$50,051 million recorded at fair value. Of these derivatives, certain trades are complex and illiquid and require valuation techniques that may include complex models and non-observable inputs, requiring management's estimation and judgment.

Auditing the valuation of certain derivatives required the application of significant auditor judgement and involvement of valuation specialists in assessing the complex models and non-observable inputs used, including any significant valuation adjustments applied. Certain valuation inputs used to determine fair value that may be

non-observable include volatilities, correlations, and credit spreads. The valuation of certain derivatives is sensitive to these inputs as they are forward-looking and could be affected by future economic and market conditions.

### *How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the valuation of TD's derivative portfolio. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the controls over the suitability and mechanical accuracy of models used in the valuation of derivatives, controls over management's independent assessment of fair values, including the integrity of data used in the valuation such as the significant inputs noted above, controls over relevant IT systems, and the review of significant valuation adjustments applied.

To test the valuation of these derivatives, our audit procedures included, among others, an evaluation of the methodologies and significant inputs used by TD. With the assistance of our valuation specialists, we performed an independent valuation for a sample of derivatives to assess the modelling assumptions and significant inputs used to estimate the fair value, which involved independently obtaining significant inputs from external sources. We also evaluated the methodology applied and governance over the calculation of material derivative valuation adjustments and recalculated a sample of these adjustments.

## **Valuation of provision for unpaid claims**

### *Description of the Matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to the valuation of provisions for unpaid claims in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As disclosed in Note 22 to the consolidated financial statements, TD has recognized \$6,920 million in insurance-related liabilities on its consolidated balance sheet. The insurance-related liabilities include a provision for unpaid claims, which is determined in accordance with accepted actuarial practices. It also considers variables such as past loss experience, current claim trends and changes in the prevailing social, economic and legal environment.

Auditing the provision for unpaid claims involves the application of models and methodologies that require significant judgment. The main assumption underlying the claims liability estimates is the amount and timing related to incurred insured events including those not yet reported by the claimants. Other assumptions which are subject to significant judgment include the discount rate, margin for adverse deviation, and trends in severity and frequency.

### *How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the valuation of the provision for unpaid claims. The controls we tested included, amongst others, the controls related to TD's claims and actuarial processes including over the completeness and accuracy of data flow through the claims administration systems, and the periodic review of the provision for unpaid claims by management.

To test the valuation for unpaid claims, our audit procedures included, among others, involving our actuarial specialists to independently calculate material components of the provision for unpaid claims. This included assessing the accuracy of TD's data, and benchmarking the assumptions against industry trends and regulatory developments. We involved our actuarial specialists in assessing TD's actuary's methodologies and significant assumptions, including the rationale for the judgments applied. We also tested a sample of incurred claims, paid claims, and earned premiums used in the estimation of the provision for unpaid claims.

## **Measurement of provision for uncertain tax positions**

### *Description of the Matter*

TD describes its significant accounting judgements, estimates, and assumptions in relation to income taxes in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements. As a financial institution operating in multiple jurisdictions, TD is subject to complex and constantly evolving tax legislation. Uncertainty in a tax position may arise as tax laws are subject to interpretation. TD uses significant judgment in i) determining whether it is probable that TD will have to make a payment to tax authorities upon their examination of certain uncertain tax positions and ii) measuring the amount of the liability, where probable.

Auditing the recognition and measurement of TD's provision for uncertain tax positions involves the application of judgement and is based on interpretation of tax legislation and jurisprudence.

### *How We Addressed the Matter in Our Audit*

We obtained an understanding, evaluated the design, and tested the operating effectiveness of management's controls over the recognition and measurement of TD's provision for uncertain tax positions. This includes controls over the assessment of the technical merits of tax positions and management's process to measure the provision for uncertain tax positions.

With the assistance of our tax professionals our audit procedures included, among others, assessing the technical merits and the amount recorded for uncertain tax positions. This included using our knowledge of, and experience with, the application of tax laws by the relevant income tax authorities and through discussions with management. We assessed the implications of correspondence received by TD from the relevant tax authorities and evaluated income tax opinions or other third-party advice obtained. We also evaluated the TD's income tax disclosures included in Note 25 of the consolidated financial statements in relation to these matters.

We have served as TD's sole auditor since 2006. Prior to 2006, we or our predecessor firm have served as joint auditor with various other firms since 1955.

*Ernst + Young LLP*

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada  
December 4, 2019

## **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

### **To the Shareholders and Directors of The Toronto-Dominion Bank**

#### **Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

We have audited The Toronto-Dominion Bank's (TD) internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2019, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) (the "COSO criteria"). In our opinion, TD maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2019, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB), the Consolidated Balance Sheet of TD as at October 31, 2019 and 2018, and the Consolidated Statements of Income, Comprehensive Income, Changes in Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended October 31, 2019, and the related notes, and our report dated December 4, 2019, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

TD's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting contained in the accompanying Management's Discussion and Analysis. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on TD's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to TD in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control

over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

#### **Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

*Ernst + Young LLP*

Chartered Professional Accountants  
Licensed Public Accountants

Toronto, Canada  
December 4, 2019

# Consolidated Balance Sheet

(As at and in millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Cash and due from banks</b>	<b>\$ 4,863</b>	<b>\$ 4,735</b>
<b>Interest-bearing deposits with banks</b>	<b>25,583</b>	<b>30,720</b>
	<b>30,446</b>	<b>35,455</b>
Trading loans, securities, and other (Notes 5, 7)	146,000	127,897
Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss (Notes 5, 7)	6,503	4,015
Derivatives (Notes 5, 11)	48,894	56,996
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss (Notes 5, 7)	4,040	3,618
Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income (Notes 5, 7, 8)	111,104	130,600
	<b>316,541</b>	<b>323,126</b>
<b>Debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses (Notes 5, 7)</b>	<b>130,497</b>	<b>107,171</b>
<b>Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements (Note 5)</b>	<b>165,935</b>	<b>127,379</b>
<b>Loans (Notes 5, 8)</b>		
Residential mortgages	235,640	225,191
Consumer instalment and other personal	180,334	172,079
Credit card	36,564	35,018
Business and government	236,517	217,654
	<b>689,055</b>	<b>649,942</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses (Note 8)</b>	<b>(4,447)</b>	<b>(3,549)</b>
<b>Loans, net of allowance for loan losses</b>	<b>684,608</b>	<b>646,393</b>
<b>Other</b>		
Customers' liability under acceptances	13,494	17,267
Investment in TD Ameritrade (Note 12)	9,316	8,445
Goodwill (Note 14)	16,976	16,536
Other intangibles (Note 14)	2,503	2,459
Land, buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets (Note 15)	5,513	5,324
Deferred tax assets (Note 25)	1,799	2,812
Amounts receivable from brokers, dealers, and clients	20,575	26,940
Other assets (Note 16)	17,087	15,596
	<b>87,263</b>	<b>95,379</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,415,290</b>	<b>\$ 1,334,903</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Trading deposits (Notes 5, 17)	\$ 26,885	\$ 114,704
Derivatives (Notes 5, 11)	50,051	48,270
Securitization liabilities at fair value (Notes 5, 9)	13,058	12,618
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss (Notes 5, 17)	105,131	16
	<b>195,125</b>	<b>175,608</b>
<b>Deposits (Notes 5, 17)</b>		
Personal	503,430	477,644
Banks	16,751	16,712
Business and government	366,796	357,083
	<b>886,977</b>	<b>851,439</b>
<b>Other</b>		
Acceptances	13,494	17,269
Obligations related to securities sold short (Note 5)	29,656	39,478
Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements (Note 5)	125,856	93,389
Securitization liabilities at amortized cost (Notes 5, 9)	14,086	14,683
Amounts payable to brokers, dealers, and clients	23,746	28,385
Insurance-related liabilities (Note 22)	6,920	6,698
Other liabilities (Note 18)	21,004	19,174
	<b>234,762</b>	<b>219,076</b>
<b>Subordinated notes and debentures (Notes 5, 19)</b>	<b>10,725</b>	<b>8,740</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,327,589</b>	<b>1,254,863</b>
<b>EQUITY</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Common shares (Note 21)	21,713	21,221
Preferred shares (Note 21)	5,800	5,000
Treasury shares – common (Note 21)	(41)	(144)
Treasury shares – preferred (Note 21)	(6)	(7)
Contributed surplus	157	193
Retained earnings	49,497	46,145
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	10,581	6,639
	<b>87,701</b>	<b>79,047</b>
<b>Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries (Note 21)</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>993</b>
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>87,701</b>	<b>80,040</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>\$ 1,415,290</b>	<b>\$ 1,334,903</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.



**Bharat B. Masrani**  
Group President and  
Chief Executive Officer



**Alan N. MacGibbon**  
Chair, Audit Committee



# Consolidated Statement of Income

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
<b>Interest income<sup>1</sup></b>			
Loans	\$ 31,925	\$ 27,790	\$ 23,663
Securities			
Interest	7,843	6,685	4,595
Dividends	1,548	1,234	1,128
Deposits with banks	683	713	446
	41,999	36,422	29,832
<b>Interest expense (Note 30)</b>			
Deposits	13,675	10,489	6,615
Securitization liabilities	524	586	472
Subordinated notes and debentures	395	337	391
Other	3,474	2,771	1,507
	18,068	14,183	8,985
<b>Net interest income</b>	<b>23,931</b>	<b>22,239</b>	<b>20,847</b>
<b>Non-interest income</b>			
Investment and securities services	4,872	4,714	4,512
Credit fees	1,289	1,210	1,130
Net securities gain (loss) (Note 7)	78	111	128
Trading income (loss)	1,047	1,052	303
Income (loss) from non-trading financial instruments at fair value through profit or loss	121	48	n/a <sup>2</sup>
Income (loss) from financial instruments designated at fair value through profit or loss	8	(170)	(254)
Service charges	2,885	2,716	2,648
Card services	2,465	2,376	2,388
Insurance revenue (Note 22)	4,282	4,045	3,760
Other income (loss)	87	551	740
	17,134	16,653	15,355
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>41,065</b>	<b>38,892</b>	<b>36,202</b>
<b>Provision for credit losses (Note 8)</b>	<b>3,029</b>	<b>2,480</b>	<b>2,216</b>
<b>Insurance claims and related expenses (Note 22)</b>	<b>2,787</b>	<b>2,444</b>	<b>2,246</b>
<b>Non-interest expenses</b>			
Salaries and employee benefits (Note 24)	11,244	10,377	10,018
Occupancy, including depreciation	1,835	1,765	1,794
Equipment, including depreciation	1,165	1,073	992
Amortization of other intangibles	800	815	704
Marketing and business development	769	803	726
Restructuring charges (recovery)	175	73	2
Brokerage-related and sub-advisory fees	336	359	360
Professional and advisory services	1,322	1,194	1,119
Other	4,374	3,736	3,704
	22,020	20,195	19,419
<b>Income before income taxes and equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade</b>	<b>13,229</b>	<b>13,773</b>	<b>12,321</b>
<b>Provision for (recovery of) income taxes (Note 25)</b>	<b>2,735</b>	<b>3,182</b>	<b>2,253</b>
<b>Equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade (Note 12)</b>	<b>1,192</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>449</b>
<b>Net income</b>	<b>11,686</b>	<b>11,334</b>	<b>10,517</b>
<b>Preferred dividends</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Net income available to common shareholders and non-controlling interests in subsidiaries</b>	<b>\$ 11,434</b>	<b>\$ 11,120</b>	<b>\$ 10,324</b>
Attributable to:			
Common shareholders	\$ 11,416	\$ 11,048	\$ 10,203
Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	18	72	121
<b>Earnings per share (Canadian dollars) (Note 26)</b>			
Basic	\$ 6.26	\$ 6.02	\$ 5.51
Diluted	6.25	6.01	5.50
<b>Dividends per common share (Canadian dollars)</b>	<b>2.89</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>2.35</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes \$34,828 million, for the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$30,639 million), which has been calculated based on the effective interest rate method (EIRM). Refer to Note 30.

<sup>2</sup> Not applicable.

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain comparative amounts have been recast to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

# Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 11,686</b>	<b>\$ 11,334</b>	<b>\$ 10,517</b>
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes</b>			
<b>Items that will be subsequently reclassified to net income</b>			
<b>Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income (available-for-sale securities under IAS 39<sup>2</sup>)</b>			
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	n/a	n/a	467
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	110	(261)	n/a
Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) in respect of available-for-sale securities	n/a	n/a	(143)
Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) in respect of debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	(31)	(22)	n/a
Reclassification to earnings of changes in allowance for credit losses on debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	(1)	(1)	n/a
	<b>78</b>	<b>(284)</b>	<b>324</b>
<b>Net change in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) on investments in foreign operations, net of hedging activities</b>			
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments in foreign operations	(165)	1,323	(2,534)
Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) on investment in foreign operations	–	–	(17)
Net gains (losses) on hedges of investments in foreign operations	132	(288)	659
Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) on hedges of investments in foreign operations	–	–	4
	<b>(33)</b>	<b>1,035</b>	<b>(1,888)</b>
<b>Net change in gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges</b>			
Change in gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	3,459	(1,624)	(1,454)
Reclassification to earnings of losses (gains) on cash flow hedges	519	(455)	(810)
	<b>3,978</b>	<b>(2,079)</b>	<b>(2,264)</b>
<b>Items that will not be subsequently reclassified to net income</b>			
Actuarial gains (losses) on employee benefit plans	(921)	622	325
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on equity securities designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	(95)	38	n/a
Change in fair value due to credit risk on financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss	14	–	n/a
	<b>(1,002)</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>325</b>
<b>Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes</b>	<b>3,021</b>	<b>(668)</b>	<b>(3,503)</b>
<b>Total comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes</b>	<b>\$ 14,707</b>	<b>\$ 10,666</b>	<b>\$ 7,014</b>
Attributable to:			
Common shareholders	\$ 14,437	\$ 10,380	\$ 6,700
Preferred shareholders	252	214	193
Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	18	72	121

<sup>1</sup> The amounts are net of income tax provisions (recoveries) presented in the following table.

<sup>2</sup> IAS 39, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* (IAS 39).

## Income Tax Provisions (Recoveries) in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	\$ n/a	\$ n/a	\$ 150
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	21	(139)	n/a
Less: Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) in respect of available-for-sale securities	n/a	n/a	(36)
Less: Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) in respect of debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	(1)	13	n/a
Less: Reclassification to earnings of changes in allowance for credit losses on debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income	–	–	n/a
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments in foreign operations	–	–	–
Less: Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) on investment in foreign operations	–	–	–
Net gains (losses) on hedges of investments in foreign operations	48	(104)	237
Less: Reclassification to earnings of net losses (gains) on hedges of investments in foreign operations	–	–	(1)
Change in gains (losses) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	1,235	(473)	(789)
Less: Reclassification to earnings of losses (gains) on cash flow hedges	(157)	283	258
Actuarial gains (losses) on employee benefit plans	(324)	243	129
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on equity securities designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	(35)	20	n/a
Change in fair value due to credit risk on financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss	4	–	n/a
<b>Total income taxes</b>	<b>\$ 1,107</b>	<b>\$ (749)</b>	<b>\$ (494)</b>

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
<b>Common shares (Note 21)</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 21,221	\$ 20,931	\$ 20,711
Proceeds from shares issued on exercise of stock options	124	152	148
Shares issued as a result of dividend reinvestment plan	357	366	329
Shares issued in connection with acquisitions (Notes 13)	366	–	–
Purchase of shares for cancellation and other	(355)	(228)	(257)
Balance at end of year	21,713	21,221	20,931
<b>Preferred shares (Note 21)</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	5,000	4,750	4,400
Issue of shares	800	750	350
Redemption of shares	–	(500)	–
Balance at end of year	5,800	5,000	4,750
<b>Treasury shares – common (Note 21)</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	(144)	(176)	(31)
Purchase of shares	(9,782)	(8,295)	(9,654)
Sale of shares	9,885	8,327	9,509
Balance at end of year	(41)	(144)	(176)
<b>Treasury shares – preferred (Note 21)</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	(7)	(7)	(5)
Purchase of shares	(151)	(129)	(175)
Sale of shares	152	129	173
Balance at end of year	(6)	(7)	(7)
<b>Contributed surplus</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	193	214	203
Net premium (discount) on sale of treasury shares	(22)	(2)	23
Issuance of stock options, net of options exercised (Note 23)	(8)	(12)	(8)
Other	(6)	(7)	(4)
Balance at end of year	157	193	214
<b>Retained earnings</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	46,145	40,489	35,452
Impact on adoption of IFRS 15 <sup>1</sup>	(41)	n/a	n/a
Impact on adoption of IFRS 9 <sup>2</sup>	–	53	n/a
Net income attributable to shareholders	11,668	11,262	10,396
Common dividends	(5,262)	(4,786)	(4,347)
Preferred dividends	(252)	(214)	(193)
Share issue expenses and others	(9)	(10)	(4)
Net premium on repurchase of common shares, redemption of preferred shares, and other	(1,880)	(1,273)	(1,140)
Actuarial gains (losses) on employee benefit plans	(921)	622	325
Realized gains (losses) on equity securities designated at fair value through other comprehensive income	49	2	n/a
Balance at end of year	49,497	46,145	40,489
<b>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)</b>			
<i>Net unrealized gain (loss) on debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	245	510	n/a
Impact on adoption of IFRS 9	–	19	n/a
Other comprehensive income (loss)	79	(283)	n/a
Allowance for credit losses	(1)	(1)	n/a
Balance at end of year	323	245	n/a
<i>Net unrealized gain (loss) on equity securities designated at fair value through other comprehensive income:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	55	113	n/a
Impact on adoption of IFRS 9	–	(96)	n/a
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(46)	40	n/a
Reclassification of loss (gain) to retained earnings	(49)	(2)	n/a
Balance at end of year	(40)	55	n/a
<i>Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	n/a	n/a	299
Other comprehensive income (loss)	n/a	n/a	324
Balance at end of year	n/a	n/a	623
<i>Change in fair value due to credit risk on financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	–	–	n/a
Other comprehensive income (loss)	14	–	n/a
Balance at end of year	14	–	n/a
<i>Net unrealized foreign currency translation gain (loss) on investments in foreign operations, net of hedging activities:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	8,826	7,791	9,679
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(33)	1,035	(1,888)
Balance at end of year	8,793	8,826	7,791
<i>Net gain (loss) on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:</i>			
Balance at beginning of year	(2,487)	(408)	1,856
Other comprehensive income (loss)	3,978	(2,079)	(2,264)
Balance at end of year	1,491	(2,487)	(408)
<b>Total accumulated other comprehensive income</b>	<b>10,581</b>	<b>6,639</b>	<b>8,006</b>
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>87,701</b>	<b>79,047</b>	<b>74,207</b>
<b>Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries (Note 21)</b>			
Balance at beginning of year	993	983	1,650
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	18	72	121
Redemption of non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	(1,000)	–	(617)
Other	(11)	(62)	(171)
Balance at end of year	–	993	983
<b>Total equity</b>	<b>\$ 87,701</b>	<b>\$ 80,040</b>	<b>\$ 75,190</b>

<sup>1</sup> IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (IFRS 15).

<sup>2</sup> IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments* (IFRS 9).

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

(millions of Canadian dollars)

For the years ended October 31

	2019	2018	2017
<b>Cash flows from (used in) operating activities</b>			
Net income before income taxes, including equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade	\$ 14,421	\$ 14,516	\$ 12,770
Adjustments to determine net cash flows from (used in) operating activities			
Provision for credit losses (Note 8)	3,029	2,480	2,216
Depreciation (Note 15)	605	576	603
Amortization of other intangibles	800	815	704
Net securities losses (gains) (Note 7)	(78)	(111)	(128)
Equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade (Note 12)	(1,192)	(743)	(449)
Dilution gain (Note 12)	–	–	(204)
Deferred taxes (Note 25)	(33)	385	175
Changes in operating assets and liabilities			
Interest receivable and payable (Notes 16, 18)	(26)	(104)	(283)
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	32,467	4,798	39,618
Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	(38,556)	7,050	(48,377)
Securities sold short	(9,822)	3,996	2,367
Trading loans and securities	(18,103)	(24,065)	(4,661)
Loans net of securitization and sales	(41,693)	(45,620)	(22,332)
Deposits	(52,281)	53,379	40,150
Derivatives	9,883	(3,745)	1,836
Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	(2,397)	5,257	n/a
Financial assets and liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss	104,693	(460)	245
Securitization liabilities	(157)	(1,532)	(1,575)
Current taxes	(771)	(780)	(419)
Brokers, dealers, and clients amounts receivable and payable	1,726	(1,435)	2,459
Other	(2,244)	(8,964)	1,412
Net cash from (used in) operating activities	271	5,693	26,127
<b>Cash flows from (used in) financing activities</b>			
Issuance of subordinated notes and debentures (Note 19)	1,749	1,750	1,500
Redemption or repurchase of subordinated notes and debentures (Note 19)	24	(2,468)	(2,536)
Common shares issued (Note 21)	105	128	125
Preferred shares issued (Note 21)	791	740	346
Repurchase of common shares (Note 21)	(2,235)	(1,501)	(1,397)
Redemption of preferred shares (Note 21)	–	(500)	–
Redemption of non-controlling interests in subsidiaries (Note 21)	(1,000)	–	(626)
Sale of treasury shares (Note 21)	10,015	8,454	9,705
Purchase of treasury shares (Note 21)	(9,933)	(8,424)	(9,829)
Dividends paid	(5,157)	(4,634)	(4,211)
Distributions to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries	(11)	(72)	(112)
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	(5,652)	(6,527)	(7,035)
<b>Cash flows from (used in) investing activities</b>			
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	5,137	20,465	2,529
Activities in financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income (Note 7)			
Purchases	(24,898)	(20,269)	n/a
Proceeds from maturities	37,835	30,101	n/a
Proceeds from sales	10,158	2,731	n/a
Activities in available-for-sale securities (Note 7)			
Purchases	n/a	n/a	(63,339)
Proceeds from maturities	n/a	n/a	30,775
Proceeds from sales	n/a	n/a	4,977
Activities in debt securities at amortized cost (Note 7)			
Purchases	(51,202)	(51,663)	n/a
Proceeds from maturities	28,392	20,101	n/a
Proceeds from sales	1,418	670	n/a
Activities in held-to-maturity securities (Note 7)			
Purchases	n/a	n/a	(17,807)
Proceeds from maturities	n/a	n/a	27,729
Proceeds from sales	n/a	n/a	452
Activities in debt securities classified as loans			
Purchases	n/a	n/a	(2,471)
Proceeds from maturities	n/a	n/a	337
Proceeds from sales	n/a	n/a	447
Net purchases of land, buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets	(794)	(587)	(434)
Net cash acquired from (paid for) divestitures, acquisitions, and the purchase of TD Ameritrade shares (Notes 12, 13)	(540)	–	(2,129)
Net cash from (used in) investing activities	5,506	1,549	(18,934)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and due from banks	3	49	(94)
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>64</b>
Cash and due from banks at beginning of year	4,735	3,971	3,907
<b>Cash and due from banks at end of year</b>	<b>\$ 4,863</b>	<b>\$ 4,735</b>	<b>\$ 3,971</b>
<b>Supplementary disclosure of cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Amount of income taxes paid (refunded) during the year	\$ 3,589	\$ 3,535	\$ 2,866
Amount of interest paid during the year	17,958	13,888	8,957
Amount of interest received during the year	40,315	34,789	28,393
Amount of dividends received during the year	1,584	1,202	1,153

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1	NATURE OF OPERATIONS
--------	----------------------

## CORPORATE INFORMATION

The Toronto-Dominion Bank is a bank chartered under the *Bank Act*. The shareholders of a bank are not, as shareholders, liable for any liability, act, or default of the bank except as otherwise provided under the *Bank Act*. The Toronto-Dominion Bank and its subsidiaries are collectively known as TD Bank Group ("TD" or the "Bank"). The Bank was formed through the amalgamation on February 1, 1955, of The Bank of Toronto (chartered in 1855) and The Dominion Bank (chartered in 1869). The Bank is incorporated and domiciled in Canada with its registered and principal business offices located at 66 Wellington Street West, Toronto, Ontario. TD serves customers in three business segments operating in a number of locations in key financial centres around the globe: Canadian Retail, U.S. Retail, and Wholesale Banking.

## BASIS OF PREPARATION

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and accounting principles followed by the Bank have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), including the accounting requirements of the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions Canada (OSFI). The Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

These Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared using the accounting policies as described in Notes 2 and 4. Certain comparative amounts have been revised to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements requires that management make estimates, assumptions, and judgments regarding the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, as further described in Note 3. Accordingly, actual results may differ from estimated amounts as future confirming events occur.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements of the Bank were approved and authorized for issue by the Bank's Board of Directors, in accordance with a recommendation of the Audit Committee, on December 4, 2019.

Certain disclosures are included in the shaded sections of the "Managing Risk" section of the accompanying 2019 Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), as permitted by IFRS, and form an integral part of the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared under a historical cost basis, except for certain items carried at fair value as discussed in Note 2.

NOTE 2	SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
--------	--

## BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the assets, liabilities, results of operations, and cash flows of the Bank and its subsidiaries including certain structured entities which it controls. The Bank controls an entity when (1) it has the power to direct the activities of the entity which have the most significant impact on the entity's risks and/or returns; (2) it is exposed to significant risks and/or returns arising from the entity; and (3) it is able to use its power to affect the risks and/or returns to which it is exposed.

The Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and events in similar circumstances. All intercompany transactions, balances, and unrealized gains and losses on transactions are eliminated on consolidation.

### Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are corporations or other legal entities controlled by the Bank, generally through directly holding more than half of the voting power of the entity. Control of subsidiaries is determined based on the power exercisable through ownership of voting rights and is generally aligned with the risks and/or returns (collectively referred to as "variable returns") absorbed from subsidiaries through those voting rights. As a result, the Bank controls and consolidates subsidiaries when it holds the majority of the voting rights of the subsidiary, unless there is evidence that another investor has control over the subsidiary. The existence and effect of potential voting rights that are currently exercisable or convertible are considered in assessing whether the Bank controls an entity. Subsidiaries are consolidated from the date the Bank obtains control and continue to be consolidated until the date when control ceases to exist.

The Bank may consolidate certain subsidiaries where it owns 50% or less of the voting rights. Most of those subsidiaries are structured entities as described in the following section.

### Structured Entities

Structured entities are entities that are created to accomplish a narrow and well-defined objective. Structured entities may take the form of a corporation, trust, partnership, or unincorporated entity. They are often created with legal arrangements that impose limits on the decision-making powers of their governing board, trustee, or management over the operations of the entity. Typically, structured entities may not be controlled directly through holding more than half of the voting power of the entity as the ownership of voting rights may not be aligned with the variable returns absorbed from the entity. As a result, structured entities are consolidated when the substance of the relationship between the Bank and the structured entity indicates that the entity is controlled by the Bank. When assessing whether the Bank has to consolidate a structured entity, the Bank evaluates three primary criteria in order to conclude whether, in substance:

- The Bank has the power to direct the activities of the structured entity that have the most significant impact on the entity's risks and/or returns;
- The Bank is exposed to significant variable returns arising from the entity; and
- The Bank has the ability to use its power to affect the risks and/or returns to which it is exposed.

Consolidation conclusions are reassessed at the end of each financial reporting period. The Bank's policy is to consider the impact on consolidation of all significant changes in circumstances, focusing on the following:

- Substantive changes in ownership, such as the purchase or disposal of more than an insignificant additional interest in an entity;
- Changes in contractual or governance arrangements of an entity;
- Additional activities undertaken, such as providing a liquidity facility beyond the original terms or entering into a transaction not originally contemplated; or
- Changes in the financing structure of an entity.

### ***Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures***

Entities over which the Bank has significant influence are associates and entities over which the Bank has joint control are joint ventures. Significant influence is the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of an investee, but is not control or joint control over these entities. Associates and joint ventures are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Investments in associates and joint ventures are carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheet initially at cost and increased or decreased to recognize the Bank's share of the profit or loss of the associate or joint venture, capital transactions, including the receipt of any dividends, and write-downs to reflect any impairment in the value of such entities. These increases or decreases, together with any gains and losses realized on disposition, are reported on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

At each balance sheet date, the Bank assesses whether there is any objective evidence that the investment in an associate or joint venture is impaired. The Bank calculates the amount of impairment as the difference between the higher of fair value or value-in-use and its carrying value.

### ***Non-controlling Interests***

When the Bank does not own all of the equity of a consolidated entity, the minority shareholders' interest is presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries as a component of total equity, separate from the equity of the Bank's shareholders. The income attributable to the minority interest holders, net of tax, is presented as a separate line item on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### **CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS**

Cash and due from banks consist of cash and amounts due from banks which are issued by investment grade financial institutions. These amounts are due on demand or have an original maturity of three months or less.

### **REVENUE RECOGNITION**

Revenue is recognized at an amount that reflects the consideration the Bank expects to be entitled to in exchange for transferring services to a customer, excluding amounts collected on behalf of third parties. The Bank recognizes revenue when it transfers control of a good or a service to a customer at a point in time or over time. The determination of when performance obligations are satisfied requires the use of judgment. Refer to Note 3 for further details.

The Bank identifies contracts with customers subject to IFRS 15, which create enforceable rights and obligations. The Bank determines the performance obligations based on distinct services promised to the customers in the contracts. The Bank's contracts generally have a term of one year or less, consist of a single performance obligation, and the performance obligations generally reflect services.

For each contract, the Bank determines the transaction price, which includes estimating variable consideration and assessing whether the price is constrained. Variable consideration is included

in the transaction price to the extent that it is highly probable that a significant reversal of the amount will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the amount of variable consideration is subsequently resolved. As such, the estimate of the variable consideration is constrained until the end of the invoicing period. The uncertainty is generally resolved at the end of the reporting period and as such, no significant judgment is required when recognizing variable consideration in revenues.

The Bank's receipt of payment from customers generally occurs subsequent to the satisfaction of performance obligations or a short time thereafter. As such, the Bank has not recognized any material contract assets (unbilled receivables) or contract liabilities (deferred revenues) and there is no significant financing component associated with the consideration due to the Bank.

When another party is involved in the transfer of services to a customer, an assessment is made to evaluate whether the Bank is the principal such that revenues are reported on a gross basis or the agent such that revenues are reported on a net basis. The Bank is the principal when it controls the services in the contract promised to the customer before they are transferred. Control is demonstrated by the Bank being primarily responsible for fulfilling the transfer of the services to the customer, having discretion in establishing pricing of the services, or both.

Interest from interest-bearing assets and liabilities not measured at fair value through profit or loss is recognized as net interest income using the effective interest rate (EIR). EIR is the rate that discounts expected future cash flows for the expected life of the financial instrument to its carrying value. The calculation takes into account the contractual interest rate, along with any fees or incremental costs that are directly attributable to the instrument and all other premiums or discounts.

### ***Investment and securities services***

Investment and securities services income include asset management fees, administration and commission fees, and investment banking fees. The Bank recognizes asset management and administration fees based on time elapsed, which depicts the rendering of investment management and related services over time. The fees are primarily calculated based on average daily or point in time assets under management (AUM) or assets under administration (AUA) depending on the investment mandate.

Commission fees include sales, trailer and brokerage commissions. Sales and brokerage commissions are generally recognized at a point in time when the transaction is executed. Trailer commissions are recognized over time and are generally calculated based on the average daily net asset value of the fund during the period.

Investment banking fees include advisory fees and underwriting fees and are generally recognized at a point in time upon successful completion of the engagement.

### ***Credit fees***

Credit fees include liquidity fees, restructuring fees, letter of credit fees, and loan syndication fees. Liquidity, restructuring, and letter of credit fees are recognized in income over the period in which the service is provided. Loan syndication fees are generally recognized at a point in time upon completion of the financing placement.

### ***Service charges***

Service charges income is earned on personal and commercial deposit accounts and consists of account fees and transaction-based service charges. Account fees relate to account maintenance activities and are recognized in income over the period in which the service is provided. Transaction-based service charges are recognized as earned at a point in time when the transaction is complete.

### Card services

Card services income includes interchange income as well as card fees such as annual and transactional fees. Interchange income is recognized at a point in time when the transaction is authorized and funded. Card fees are recognized as earned at the transaction date with the exception of annual fees, which are recognized over a twelve-month period.

### IFRS 9 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

On November 1, 2017, the Bank adopted IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments* (IFRS 9), which replaces the guidance in IAS 39, *Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement* (IAS 39). IFRS 9 includes requirements on: (1) Classification and measurement of financial assets and liabilities; (2) Impairment of financial assets; and (3) General hedge accounting. Accounting for macro hedging has been decoupled from IFRS 9. The Bank has an accounting policy choice to apply the hedge accounting requirements of IFRS 9 or IAS 39. The Bank has made the decision to continue applying the IAS 39 hedge accounting requirements and complies with the revised annual hedge accounting disclosures as required by the related amendments to IFRS 7, *Financial Instruments: Disclosures* (IFRS 7).

Various interest rates and other indices that are deemed to be “benchmarks” (including Interbank Offered Rate (IBOR) benchmarks) have been, and continue to be, the subject of international regulatory guidance and proposals for reform. Following the announcement by the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) on July 27, 2017 indicating that the FCA would no longer compel banks to submit rates for the calculation of London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) post December 31, 2021, efforts to transition away from IBORs to alternative reference rates have been continuing in various jurisdictions. These developments, and the related uncertainty over the potential variance in the timing and manner of implementation in each jurisdiction, introduce risks that may have adverse consequences on the Bank, its clients and the financial services industry. Moreover, the replacement of the IBORs or other benchmark rates could result in market dislocation and have other adverse consequences for market participants.

As a result of the effects of IBOR reform, on September 26, 2019, the IASB issued *Interest Rate Benchmark Reform, Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7 (“Interest Rate Benchmark Reform”)*; of which the Bank adopted the applicable amendments to IFRS 7 relating to hedge accounting and will apply the remaining amendments related to IAS 39 as and when applicable to the Bank’s hedging relationships. Refer to Note 4 for further details.

### Classification and Measurement of Financial Assets

The Bank classifies its financial assets into the following categories:

- Amortized cost;
- Fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI);
- Held-for-trading;
- Non-trading fair value through profit or loss (FVTPL); and
- Designated at FVTPL.

The Bank recognizes financial assets on a settlement date basis, except for derivatives and securities, which are recognized on a trade date basis.

### Debt Instruments

The classification and measurement for debt instruments is based on the Bank’s business models for managing its financial assets and whether the contractual cash flows represent solely payments of principal and interest (SPPI). Refer to Note 3 for judgment with respect to business models and SPPI.

The Bank has determined its business models as follows:

- Held-to-collect: the objective is to collect contractual cash flows;
- Held-to-collect-and-sell: the objective is both to collect contractual cash flows and sell the financial assets; and
- Held-for-sale and other business models: the objective is neither of the above.

The Bank performs the SPPI test for financial assets held within the held-to-collect and held-to-collect-and-sell business models. If these financial assets have contractual cash flows which are inconsistent with a basic lending arrangement, they are classified as non-trading financial assets measured at FVTPL. In a basic lending arrangement, interest includes only consideration for time value of money, credit risk, other basic lending risks, and a reasonable profit margin.

### Debt Securities and Loans Measured at Amortized Cost

Debt securities and loans held within a held-to-collect business model where their contractual cash flows pass the SPPI test are measured at amortized cost. The carrying amount of these financial assets is adjusted by an allowance for credit losses recognized and measured as described in the *Impairment – Expected Credit Loss Model* section of this Note, as well as any write-offs and unearned income which includes prepaid interest, loan origination fees and costs, commitment fees, loan syndication fees, and unamortized discounts or premiums. Interest income is recognized using EIRM. Loan origination fees and costs are considered to be adjustments to the loan yield and are recognized in interest income over the term of the loan. Commitment fees are recognized in credit fees over the commitment period when it is unlikely that the commitment will be called upon; otherwise, they are recognized in interest income over the term of the resulting loan. Loan syndication fees are recognized in credit fees upon completion of the financing placement unless the yield on any loan retained by the Bank is less than that of other comparable lenders involved in the financing syndicate. In such cases, an appropriate portion of the fee is recognized as a yield adjustment in interest income over the term of the loan.

### Debt Securities and Loans Measured at Fair Value through Other Comprehensive Income

Debt securities and loans held within a held-to-collect-and-sell business model where their contractual cash flows pass the SPPI test are measured at FVOCI. Fair value changes are recognized in other comprehensive income, except for impairment gains or losses, interest income and foreign exchange gains and losses on the instrument’s amortized cost, which are recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Income. The expected credit loss (ECL) allowance is recognized and measured as described in the *Impairment – Expected Credit Loss Model* section of this Note. When the financial asset is derecognized, the cumulative gain or loss previously recognized in other comprehensive income is reclassified from equity to income and recognized in net securities gain (loss). Interest income from these financial assets is included in interest income using EIRM.

### Financial Assets Held-for-Trading

This held-for-sale business model includes financial assets held within a trading portfolio, which have been originated, acquired, or incurred principally for the purpose of selling in the near term, or if they form part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of short-term profit-taking. Financial assets held within this business model consist of trading securities, trading loans, as well as certain debt securities and financing-type physical commodities that are recorded as securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Trading portfolio assets are accounted for at fair value, with changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal recognized in trading income (loss). Transaction costs are expensed as incurred. Dividends are recognized on the ex-dividend date and interest is recognized on an accrual basis. Both dividends and interest are included in interest income.

#### *Non-Trading Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value through Profit or Loss*

Non-trading financial assets measured at FVTPL include financial assets held within the held-for-sale and other business models, for example debt securities and loans managed on a fair value basis. Financial assets held within the held-to-collect or held-to-collect-and-sell business models that do not pass the SPPI test are also classified as non-trading financial assets measured at FVTPL. Changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal are recognized in income (loss) from non-trading financial instruments at FVTPL. Interest income from debt instruments is included in interest income on an accrual basis.

#### *Financial Assets Designated at Fair Value through Profit or Loss*

Debt instruments in a held-to-collect or held-to-collect-and-sell business model can be designated at initial recognition as measured at FVTPL, provided the designation can eliminate or significantly reduce an accounting mismatch that would otherwise arise from measuring these financial assets on a different basis. The FVTPL designation is available only for those financial instruments for which a reliable estimate of fair value can be obtained. Once financial assets are designated at FVTPL, the designation is irrevocable. Changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal are recognized in income (loss) from financial instruments designated at FVTPL. Interest income from these financial assets is included in interest income on an accrual basis.

#### *Customers' Liability under Acceptances*

Acceptances represent a form of negotiable short-term debt issued by customers, which the Bank guarantees for a fee. Revenue is recognized on an accrual basis. The potential obligation of the Bank is reported as a liability under Acceptances on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Bank's recourse against the customer in the event of a call on any of these commitments is reported as an asset of the same amount.

#### **Equity Instruments**

Equity investments are required to be measured at FVTPL (classified as non-trading financial assets measured at FVTPL), except where the Bank has elected at initial recognition to irrevocably designate an equity investment, held for purposes other than trading, at FVOCI. If such an election is made, the fair value changes, including any associated foreign exchange gains or losses, are recognized in other comprehensive income and are not subsequently reclassified to net income, including upon disposal. Realized gains and losses are transferred directly to retained earnings upon disposal. Consequently, there is no review required for impairment. Dividends will normally be recognized in interest income unless the dividends represent a recovery of part of the cost of the investment. Gains and losses on non-trading equity investments measured at FVTPL are included in income (loss) from non-trading financial instruments at FVTPL.

#### **Classification and Measurement for Financial Liabilities**

The Bank classifies its financial liabilities into the following categories:

- Held-for-trading;
- Designated at FVTPL; and
- Other liabilities.

#### **Financial Liabilities Held-for-Trading**

Financial liabilities are held within a trading portfolio if they have been incurred principally for the purpose of repurchasing in the near term, or form part of a portfolio of identified financial instruments that are managed together and for which there is evidence of a recent actual pattern of short-term profit-taking. Financial liabilities held-for-trading are primarily trading deposits, securitization liabilities at fair value, obligations related to securities sold short and certain obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements.

Trading portfolio liabilities are accounted for at fair value, with changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal recognized in trading income (loss). Transaction costs are expensed as incurred. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis and included in interest expense.

#### **Financial Liabilities Designated at Fair Value through Profit or Loss**

Certain financial liabilities may be designated at FVTPL at initial recognition. To be designated at FVTPL, financial liabilities must meet one of the following criteria: (1) the designation eliminates or significantly reduces a measurement or recognition inconsistency; (2) a group of financial liabilities is managed and its performance is evaluated on a fair value basis in accordance with a documented risk management or investment strategy; or (3) the instrument contains one or more embedded derivatives unless a) the embedded derivative does not significantly modify the cash flows that otherwise would be required by the contract, or b) it is clear with little or no analysis that separation of the embedded derivative from the financial instrument is prohibited. In addition, the FVTPL designation is available only for those financial instruments for which a reliable estimate of fair value can be obtained. Once financial liabilities are designated at FVTPL, the designation is irrevocable.

Financial liabilities designated at FVTPL are carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, with changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal recognized in income (loss) from financial instruments designated at FVTPL, except for the amount of change in fair value attributable to changes in the Bank's own credit risk, which is presented in other comprehensive income. Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income are not subsequently reclassified to net income upon derecognition of the financial liability; instead, they are transferred directly to retained earnings.

Changes in fair value attributable to changes in the Bank's own credit risk are measured as the difference between: (i) the period-over-period change in the present value of the expected cash flows using an all-in discount curve reflecting both the interest rate benchmark curve and the Bank's own credit risk; and (ii) the period-over-period change in the present value of the same expected cash flows using a discount curve based solely on the interest rate benchmark curve.

For loan commitments and financial guarantee contracts that are designated at FVTPL, the full change in fair value of the liability is recognized in income (loss) from financial instruments designated at FVTPL.

Interest is included in interest expense on an accrual basis.

#### **Other Financial Liabilities**

##### *Deposits*

Deposits, other than deposits included in a trading portfolio and deposits designated at FVTPL, are accounted for at amortized cost. Accrued interest on deposits is included in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Interest, including capitalized transaction costs, is recognized on an accrual basis using EIRM as Interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Income.



### *Subordinated Notes and Debentures*

Subordinated notes and debentures are accounted for at amortized cost. Accrued interest on subordinated notes and debentures is included in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Interest, including capitalized transaction costs, is recognized on an accrual basis using EIRM as Interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### **Reclassification of Financial Assets and Liabilities**

Financial assets and financial liabilities are not reclassified subsequent to their initial recognition, except for financial assets for which the Bank changes its business model for managing financial assets. Such reclassifications of financial assets are expected to be rare in practice.

### **Impairment – Expected Credit Loss Model**

The ECL model applies to financial assets, including loans and debt securities measured at amortized cost, loans and debt securities measured at FVOCI, loan commitments, and financial guarantees that are not measured at FVTPL.

The ECL model consists of three stages: Stage 1 – twelve-month ECLs for performing financial assets, Stage 2 – Lifetime ECLs for financial assets that have experienced a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition, and Stage 3 – Lifetime ECLs for financial assets that are impaired. ECLs are the difference between all contractual cash flows that are due to the Bank in accordance with the contract and all the cash flows the Bank expects to receive, discounted at the original effective interest rate. If a significant increase in credit risk has occurred since initial recognition, impairment is measured as lifetime ECLs. Otherwise, impairment is measured as twelve-month ECLs which represent the portion of lifetime ECLs that are expected to occur based on default events that are possible within twelve months after the reporting date. If credit quality improves in a subsequent period such that the increase in credit risk since initial recognition is no longer considered significant, the loss allowance reverts back to being measured based on twelve-month ECLs.

### **Significant Increase in Credit Risk**

For retail exposures, significant increase in credit risk is assessed based on changes in the twelve-month probability of default (PD) since initial recognition, using a combination of individual and collective information that incorporates borrower and account specific attributes and relevant forward-looking macroeconomic variables.

For non-retail exposures, significant increase in credit risk is assessed based on changes in the internal risk rating (borrower risk ratings (BRR)) since initial recognition.

The Bank defines default as delinquency of 90 days or more for most retail products and BRR 9 for non-retail exposures. Exposures are considered impaired and migrate to Stage 3 when they are 90 days or more past due for retail exposures, rated BRR 9 for non-retail exposures, or when there is objective evidence that there has been a deterioration of credit quality to the extent the Bank no longer has reasonable assurance as to the timely collection of the full amount of principal and interest.

When determining whether there has been a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition of a financial asset, the Bank considers all reasonable and supportable information that is available without undue cost or effort about past events, current conditions, and forecast of future economic conditions. Refer to Note 3 for additional details.

### **Measurement of Expected Credit Losses**

ECLs are measured as the probability-weighted present value of expected cash shortfalls over the remaining expected life of the financial instrument and consider reasonable and supportable information about past events, current conditions, and forecasts of future events and economic conditions that impact the Bank's credit risk assessment. Expected life is the maximum contractual period the Bank is exposed to credit risk, including extension options for which the borrower has unilateral right to exercise. For certain financial

instruments that include both a loan and an undrawn commitment, and the Bank's contractual ability to demand repayment and cancel the undrawn commitment does not limit the Bank's exposure to credit losses to the contractual notice period, ECLs are measured over the period the Bank is exposed to credit risk. For example, ECLs for credit cards are measured over the borrowers' expected behavioural life, incorporating survivorship assumptions and borrower-specific attributes.

The Bank leverages its Advanced Internal Ratings-Based (AIRB) models used for regulatory capital purposes and incorporates adjustments where appropriate to calculate ECLs.

### **Forward-Looking Information and Expert Credit Judgment**

Forward-looking information is considered when determining significant increase in credit risk and measuring ECLs. Forward-looking macroeconomic factors are incorporated in the risk parameters as relevant.

Qualitative factors that are not already considered in the modelling are incorporated by exercising expert credit judgment in determining the final ECL. Refer to Note 3 for additional details.

### **Modified Loans**

In cases where a borrower experiences financial difficulties, the Bank may grant certain concessionary modifications to the terms and conditions of a loan. Modifications may include payment deferrals, extension of amortization periods, rate reductions, principal forgiveness, debt consolidation, forbearance and other modifications intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. The Bank has policies in place to determine the appropriate remediation strategy based on the individual borrower.

If the Bank determines that a modification results in expiry of cash flows, the original asset is derecognized while a new asset is recognized based on the new contractual terms. Significant increase in credit risk is assessed relative to the risk of default on the date of modification.

If the Bank determines that a modification does not result in derecognition, significant increase in credit risk is assessed based on the risk of default at initial recognition of the original asset. Expected cash flows arising from the modified contractual terms are considered when calculating the ECL for the modified asset. For loans that were modified while having lifetime ECLs, the loans can revert to having twelve-month ECLs after a period of performance and improvement in the borrower's financial condition.

### **Allowance for Loan Losses, Excluding Acquired Credit-Impaired (ACI) Loans**

The allowance for loan losses represents management's calculation of probability-weighted ECLs in the lending portfolios, including any off-balance sheet exposures, at the balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses for lending portfolios reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which includes credit-related allowances for residential mortgages, consumer instalment and other personal, credit card, and business and government loans, is deducted from Loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The allowance for loan losses for loans measured at FVOCI is presented on the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity. The allowance for loan losses for off-balance sheet instruments, which relates to certain guarantees, letters of credit, and undrawn lines of credit, is recognized in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Allowances for lending portfolios reported on the balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures are calculated using the same methodology. The allowance is increased by the provision for credit losses and decreased by write-offs net of recoveries and disposals. Each quarter, allowances are reassessed and adjusted based on any changes in management's estimate of ECLs. Loan losses on impaired loans in Stage 3 continue to be recognized by means of an allowance for loan losses until a loan is written off.

A loan is written off against the related allowance for loan losses when there is no realistic prospect of recovery. Non-retail loans are generally written off when all reasonable collection efforts have been exhausted, such as when a loan is sold, when all security has been realized, or when all security has been resolved with the receiver or

bankruptcy court. Non-real estate retail loans are generally written off when contractual payments are 180 days past due, or when a loan is sold. Real-estate secured retail loans are generally written off when the security is realized. The time period over which the Bank performs collection activities of the contractual amount outstanding of financial assets that are written off varies from one jurisdiction to another and generally spans between less than one year to five years.

#### **Allowance for Credit Losses on Debt Securities**

The allowance for credit losses on debt securities represents management's calculation of probability-weighted ECLs. Debt securities measured at amortized cost are presented net of the allowance for credit losses on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The allowance for credit losses on debt securities measured at FVOCI are presented on the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity. The allowance for credit losses is increased by the provision for credit losses and decreased by write-offs net of recoveries and disposals. Each quarter, allowances are reassessed and adjusted based on any changes in management's estimate of ECLs.

#### **Acquired Loans**

Acquired loans are initially measured at fair value, which considers incurred and expected future credit losses estimated at the acquisition date and also reflects adjustments based on the acquired loan's interest rate in comparison to current market rates. On acquisition, twelve-month ECLs are recognized on the acquired loans, resulting in the carrying amount for acquired loans to be lower than fair value. When loans are acquired with evidence of incurred credit loss where it is probable at the purchase date that the Bank will be unable to collect all contractually required principal and interest payments, they are generally considered to be ACI loans, with no ECLs recognized on acquisition. Acquired performing loans are subsequently accounted for at amortized cost based on their contractual cash flows and any acquisition related discount or premium, including credit-related discounts, is considered to be an adjustment to the loan yield and is recognized in interest income using EIRM over the term of the loan, or the expected life of the loan for acquired loans with revolving terms.

#### **Acquired Credit-Impaired Loans**

ACI loans are identified as impaired at acquisition based on specific risk characteristics of the loans, including past due status, performance history, and recent borrower credit scores. ACI loans are accounted for based on the present value of expected cash flows as opposed to their contractual cash flows. The Bank determines the fair value of these loans at the acquisition date by discounting expected cash flows at a discount rate that reflects factors a market participant would use when determining fair value including management assumptions relating to default rates, loss severities, the amount and timing of prepayments, and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. With respect to certain individually significant ACI loans, accounting is applied individually at the loan level. The remaining ACI loans are aggregated provided they are acquired in the same fiscal quarter and have common risk characteristics. Aggregated loans are accounted for as a single asset with aggregated cash flows and a single composite interest rate. Subsequent to acquisition, the Bank regularly reassesses and updates its cash flow estimates for changes to assumptions relating to default rates, loss severities, the amount and timing of prepayments, and other factors that are reflective of current market conditions. Probable decreases in expected cash flows trigger the recognition of additional impairment, which is measured based on the present value of the revised expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate as compared to the carrying value of the loan. The ECL in excess of the initial credit-related discount is recorded through the provision for credit losses. Interest income on ACI loans is calculated by multiplying the credit-adjusted effective interest rate to the amortized cost of ACI loans.

#### **SHARE CAPITAL**

The Bank classifies financial instruments that it issues as either financial liabilities, equity instruments, or compound instruments.

Issued instruments that are mandatorily redeemable or convertible into a variable number of the Bank's common shares at the holder's option are classified as liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Dividend or interest payments on these instruments are recognized in Interest expense on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Issued instruments are classified as equity when there is no contractual obligation to transfer cash or other financial assets. Further, issued instruments that are not mandatorily redeemable or that are not convertible into a variable number of the Bank's common shares at the holder's option, are classified as equity and presented in share capital. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of equity instruments are included in equity as a deduction from the proceeds, net of tax. Dividend payments on these instruments are recognized as a reduction in equity.

Compound instruments are comprised of both liability and equity components in accordance with the substance of the contractual arrangement. At inception, the fair value of the liability component is initially measured with any residual amount assigned to the equity component. Transaction costs are allocated proportionately to the liability and equity components.

Common or preferred shares held by the Bank are classified as treasury shares in equity, and the cost of these shares is recorded as a reduction in equity. Upon the sale of treasury shares, the difference between the sale proceeds and the cost of the shares is recorded in or against contributed surplus.

#### **GUARANTEES**

The Bank issues guarantee contracts that require payments to be made to guaranteed parties based on: (1) changes in the underlying economic characteristics relating to an asset or liability of the guaranteed party; (2) failure of another party to perform under an obligating agreement; or (3) failure of another third party to pay its indebtedness when due. Guarantees are initially measured and recorded at their fair value. The fair value of a guarantee liability at initial recognition is normally equal to the present value of the guarantee fees received over the life of contract. The Bank's release from risk is recognized over the term of the guarantee using a systematic and rational amortization method.

If a guarantee meets the definition of a derivative, it is carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and reported as a derivative asset or derivative liability at fair value. Guarantees that are considered derivatives are a type of credit derivative contracts which are over-the-counter (OTC) contracts designed to transfer the credit risk in an underlying financial instrument from one counterparty to another.

#### **DERIVATIVES**

Derivatives are instruments that derive their value from changes in underlying interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, commodity prices, equities, or other financial or non-financial measures. Such instruments include interest rate, foreign exchange, equity, commodity, and credit derivative contracts. The Bank uses these instruments for trading and non-trading purposes. Derivatives are carried at their fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

#### **Derivatives Held-for-Trading Purposes**

The Bank enters into trading derivative contracts to meet the needs of its customers, to provide liquidity and market-making related activities, and in certain cases, to manage risks related to its trading portfolios. The realized and unrealized gains or losses on trading derivatives are recognized in trading income (loss).

### **Derivatives Held for Non-trading Purposes**

Non-trading derivatives are primarily used to manage interest rate, foreign exchange, and other market risks of the Bank's traditional banking activities. When derivatives are held for non-trading purposes and when the transactions meet the hedge accounting requirements of IAS 39, they are presented as non-trading derivatives and receive hedge accounting treatment, as appropriate. Certain derivative instruments that are held for economic hedging purposes, and do not meet the hedge accounting requirements of IAS 39, are also presented as non-trading derivatives with the change in fair value of these derivatives recognized in non-interest income.

### **Hedging Relationships**

#### *Hedge Accounting*

At the inception of a hedging relationship, the Bank documents the relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item, its risk management objective, and its strategy for undertaking the hedge. The Bank also requires a documented assessment, both at hedge inception and on an ongoing basis, of whether or not the derivatives that are used in hedging relationships are highly effective in offsetting the changes attributable to the hedged risks in the fair values or cash flows of the hedged items. In order to be considered effective, the hedging instrument and the hedged item must be highly and inversely correlated such that the changes in the fair value of the hedging instrument will substantially offset the effects of the hedged exposure to the Bank throughout the term of the hedging relationship. If a hedging relationship becomes ineffective, it no longer qualifies for hedge accounting and any subsequent change in the fair value of the hedging instrument is recognized in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Changes in fair value relating to the derivative component excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness, is recognized in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

When derivatives are designated as hedges, the Bank classifies them either as: (1) hedges of the changes in fair value of recognized assets or liabilities or firm commitments (fair value hedges); (2) hedges of the variability in highly probable future cash flows attributable to a recognized asset or liability, or a forecasted transaction (cash flow hedges); or (3) hedges of net investments in a foreign operation (net investment hedges).

#### *Interest Rate Benchmark Reform*

A hedging relationship is affected by interest rate benchmark reform if it gives rise to uncertainties about (a) the interest rate benchmark (contractually or non-contractually specified) designated as a hedged risk; and/or (b) the timing or the amount of interest rate benchmark-based cash flows of the hedged item or of the hedging instrument.

For such hedging relationships, the following temporary exceptions apply during the period of uncertainty:

- when assessing whether a forecast transaction is highly probable or expected to occur, it is assumed that the interest rate benchmark on which the hedged cash flows (contractually or noncontractually specified) are based is not altered as a result of interest rate benchmark reform;
- when assessing whether a hedge is expected to be highly effective, it is assumed that the interest rate benchmark on which the hedged cash flows and/or the hedged risk (contractually or noncontractually specified) are based, or the interest rate benchmark on which the cash flows of the hedging instrument are based, is not altered as a result of interest rate benchmark reform;
- a hedge is not required to be discontinued if the actual results of the hedge are outside of a range of 80–125 per cent as a result of interest rate benchmark reform;
- for a hedge of a non-contractually specified benchmark portion of interest rate risk, the requirement that the risk component is separately identifiable need only be met at the inception of the hedging relationship.

### *Fair Value Hedges*

The Bank's fair value hedges principally consist of interest rate swaps that are used to protect against changes in the fair value of fixed-rate long-term financial instruments due to movements in market interest rates.

Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedging instruments are recognized in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income, along with changes in the fair value of the assets, liabilities, or group thereof that are attributable to the hedged risk. Any change in fair value relating to the ineffective portion of the hedging relationship is recognized immediately in non-interest income.

The cumulative adjustment to the carrying amount of the hedged item (the basis adjustment) is amortized to the Consolidated Statement of Income in Net interest income based on a recalculated EIR over the remaining expected life of the hedged item, with amortization beginning no later than when the hedged item ceases to be adjusted for changes in its fair value attributable to the hedged risk. Where the hedged item has been derecognized, the basis adjustment is immediately released to Net interest income or Non-interest income, as applicable, on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### *Cash Flow Hedges*

The Bank is exposed to variability in future cash flows attributable to interest rate, foreign exchange rate, and equity price risks. The amounts and timing of future cash flows are projected for each hedged exposure on the basis of their contractual terms and other relevant factors, including estimates of prepayments and defaults.

The effective portion of the change in the fair value of the derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge is initially recognized in other comprehensive income. The change in fair value of the derivative relating to the ineffective portion is recognized immediately in non-interest income.

Amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) attributable to interest rate, foreign exchange rate, and equity price components, as applicable, are reclassified to Net interest income or Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income in the period in which the hedged item affects income, and are reported in the same income statement line as the hedged item.

When a hedging instrument expires or is sold, or when a hedge no longer meets the criteria for hedge accounting, any cumulative gain or loss existing in AOCI at that time remains in AOCI until the forecasted transaction impacts the Consolidated Statement of Income. When a forecasted transaction is no longer expected to occur, the cumulative gain or loss that was reported in AOCI is immediately reclassified to Net interest income or Non-interest income, as applicable, on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### *Net Investment Hedges*

Hedges of net investments in foreign operations are accounted for similar to cash flow hedges. The change in fair value on the hedging instrument relating to the effective portion is recognized in other comprehensive income. The change in fair value of the hedging instrument relating to the ineffective portion is recognized immediately in non-interest income. Gains and losses in AOCI are reclassified to the Consolidated Statement of Income upon the disposal or partial disposal of the investment in the foreign operation. The Bank designates derivatives and non-derivatives (such as foreign currency deposit liabilities) as hedging instruments in net investment hedges.

### **Embedded Derivatives**

Derivatives may be embedded in certain instruments, including financial liabilities (the host instrument). Embedded derivatives are treated as separate derivatives when their economic characteristics and risks are not closely related to those of the host instrument, a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative would meet the definition of a derivative, and the combined contract is not held-for-trading or designated at FVTPL. These embedded derivatives,

which are bifurcated from the host contract, are recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Derivatives and measured at fair value with subsequent changes recognized in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

## **TRANSLATION AND PRESENTATION OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES**

The Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in Canadian dollars. Items included in the financial statements of each of the Bank's entities are measured using their functional currency, which is the currency of the primary economic environment in which they operate.

Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency that differs from an entity's functional currency are translated into the functional currency of the entity at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. Non-monetary assets and liabilities are translated at historical exchange rates. Income and expenses are translated into an entity's functional currency at average exchange rates for the period. Translation gains and losses are included in non-interest income except for equity investments designated at FVOCI where unrealized translation gains and losses are recorded in other comprehensive income.

Foreign operations are those with a functional currency other than Canadian dollars. For the purpose of translation into the Bank's presentation currency, all assets and liabilities are first measured in the functional currency of the foreign operation and subsequently, translated at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. Income and expenses are translated at average exchange rates for the period. Unrealized translation gains and losses relating to these foreign operations, net of gains or losses arising from net investment hedges and applicable income taxes, are included in other comprehensive income. Translation gains and losses in AOCI are recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income upon the disposal or partial disposal of the foreign operation. The investment balance of foreign entities accounted for by the equity method, including TD Ameritrade, is translated into Canadian dollars using exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date with exchange gains or losses recognized in other comprehensive income.

## **OFFSETTING OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Financial assets and liabilities are offset, with the net amount presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, only if the Bank currently has a legally enforceable right to set off the recognized amounts, and intends either to settle on a net basis or to realize the asset and settle the liability simultaneously. In all other situations, assets and liabilities are presented on a gross basis.

## **DETERMINATION OF FAIR VALUE**

The fair value of a financial instrument on initial recognition is normally the transaction price, such as the fair value of the consideration given or received. The best evidence of fair value is quoted prices in active markets. When financial assets and liabilities have offsetting market risks or credit risks, the Bank applies the portfolio exception, as described in Note 5, and uses mid-market prices as a basis for establishing fair values for the offsetting risk positions and applies the most representative price within the bid-ask spread to the net open position, as appropriate. When there is no active market for the instrument, the fair value may be based on other observable current market transactions involving the same or similar instrument, without modification or repackaging, or is based on a valuation technique which maximizes the use of observable market inputs.

The Bank recognizes various types of valuation adjustments to account for factors that market participants would use in determining fair value which are not included in valuation techniques due to system limitations or measurement uncertainty. Valuation adjustments reflect the Bank's assessment of factors that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. These include, but are not limited to, the unobservability of inputs used in the pricing model, or assumptions about risk, such as creditworthiness of each counterparty and risk premiums that market participants would require given the inherent risk in the pricing model.

If there is a difference between the initial transaction price and the value based on a valuation technique, the difference is referred to as inception profit or loss. Inception profit or loss is recognized upon initial recognition of the instrument only if the fair value is based on observable inputs. When an instrument is measured using a valuation technique that utilizes significant non-observable inputs, it is initially valued at the transaction price, which is considered the best estimate of fair value. Subsequent to initial recognition, any difference between the transaction price and the value determined by the valuation technique at initial recognition is recognized as non-observable inputs become observable.

If the fair value of a financial asset measured at fair value becomes negative, it is recognized as a financial liability until either its fair value becomes positive, at which time it is recognized as a financial asset, or until it is extinguished.

## **DERECOGNITION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

### ***Financial Assets***

The Bank derecognizes a financial asset when the contractual rights to that asset have expired. Derecognition may also be appropriate where the contractual right to receive future cash flows from the asset have been transferred, or where the Bank retains the rights to future cash flows from the asset, but assumes an obligation to pay those cash flows to a third party subject to certain criteria.

When the Bank transfers a financial asset, it is necessary to assess the extent to which the Bank has retained the risks and rewards of ownership of the transferred asset. If substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset have been retained, the Bank continues to recognize the financial asset and also recognizes a financial liability for the consideration received. Certain transaction costs incurred are also capitalized and amortized using EIRM. If substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset have been transferred, the Bank will derecognize the financial asset and recognize separately as assets or liabilities any rights and obligations created or retained in the transfer. The Bank determines whether substantially all the risks and rewards have been transferred by quantitatively comparing the variability in cash flows before and after the transfer. If the variability in cash flows does not change significantly as a result of the transfer, the Bank has retained substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership.

If the Bank neither transfers nor retains substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset, the Bank derecognizes the financial asset where it has relinquished control of the financial asset. The Bank is considered to have relinquished control of the financial asset where the transferee has the practical ability to sell the transferred financial asset. Where the Bank has retained control of the financial asset, it continues to recognize the financial asset to the extent of its continuing involvement in the financial asset. Under these circumstances, the Bank usually retains the rights to future cash flows relating to the asset through a residual interest and is exposed to some degree of risk associated with the financial asset.

The derecognition criteria are also applied to the transfer of part of an asset, rather than the asset as a whole, or to a group of similar financial assets in their entirety, when applicable. If transferring a part of an asset, it must be a specifically identified cash flow, a fully proportionate share of the asset, or a fully proportionate share of a specifically identified cash flow.

### ***Securitization***

Securitization is the process by which financial assets are transformed into securities. The Bank securitizes financial assets by transferring those financial assets to a third party and as part of the securitization, certain financial assets may be retained and may consist of an interest-only strip and, in some cases, a cash reserve account (collectively referred to as "retained interests"). If the transfer qualifies for derecognition, a gain or loss is recognized immediately in other income after the effects of hedges on the assets sold, if applicable. The amount of the gain or loss is calculated as the difference between

the carrying amount of the asset transferred and the sum of any cash proceeds received, including any financial asset received or financial liability assumed, and any cumulative gain or loss allocated to the transferred asset that had been recognized AOCI. To determine the value of the retained interest initially recorded, the previous carrying value of the transferred asset is allocated between the amount derecognized from the balance sheet and the retained interest recorded, in proportion to their relative fair values on the date of transfer. Subsequent to initial recognition, as market prices are generally not available for retained interests, fair value is determined by estimating the present value of future expected cash flows using management's best estimates of key assumptions that market participants would use in determining fair value. Refer to Note 3 for assumptions used by management in determining the fair value of retained interests. Retained interest is classified as trading securities with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in trading income.

Where the Bank retains the servicing rights, the benefits of servicing are assessed against market expectations. When the benefits of servicing are more than adequate, a servicing asset is recognized. Similarly, when the benefits of servicing are less than adequate, a servicing liability is recognized. Servicing assets and servicing liabilities are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently carried at amortized cost.

#### **Financial Liabilities**

The Bank derecognizes a financial liability when the obligation under the liability is discharged, cancelled, or expires. If an existing financial liability is replaced by another financial liability from the same lender on substantially different terms or where the terms of the existing liability are substantially modified, the original liability is derecognized and a new liability is recognized with the difference in the respective carrying amounts recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

#### **Securities Purchased Under Reverse Repurchase Agreements, Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements, and Securities Borrowing and Lending**

Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements involve the purchase of securities by the Bank under agreements to resell the securities at a future date. These agreements are treated as collateralized lending transactions whereby the Bank takes possession of the purchased securities, but does not acquire the risks and rewards of ownership. The Bank monitors the market value of the purchased securities relative to the amounts due under the reverse repurchase agreements, and when necessary, requires transfer of additional collateral. In the event of counterparty default, the agreements provide the Bank with the right to liquidate the collateral held and offset the proceeds against the amount owing from the counterparty.

Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements involve the sale of securities by the Bank to counterparties under agreements to repurchase the securities at a future date. These agreements do not result in the risks and rewards of ownership being relinquished and are treated as collateralized borrowing transactions. The Bank monitors the market value of the securities sold relative to the amounts due under the repurchase agreements, and when necessary, transfers additional collateral and may require counterparties to return collateral pledged. Certain transactions that do not meet derecognition criteria are also included in obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements. Refer to Note 9 for further details.

Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements and obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements are initially recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at the respective prices at which the securities were originally acquired or sold, plus accrued interest. Subsequently, the agreements are measured at amortized cost on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, plus accrued interest. Interest earned on reverse repurchase agreements and interest incurred on repurchase agreements is determined using EIRM and is included in Interest income and Interest expense, respectively, on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

In security lending transactions, the Bank lends securities to a counterparty and receives collateral in the form of cash or securities. If cash collateral is received, the Bank records the cash along with an obligation to return the cash as an obligation related to Securities sold under repurchase agreements on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Where securities are received as collateral, the Bank does not record the collateral on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

In securities borrowing transactions, the Bank borrows securities from a counterparty and pledges either cash or securities as collateral. If cash is pledged as collateral, the Bank records the transaction as securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Securities pledged as collateral remain on the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Where securities are pledged or received as collateral, security borrowing fees and security lending income are recorded in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income over the term of the transaction. Where cash is pledged or received as collateral, interest received or incurred is included in Interest income and Interest expense, respectively, on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Physical commodities purchased or sold with an agreement to sell or repurchase the physical commodities at a later date at a fixed price, are also included in securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements and obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements, respectively, if the derecognition criteria are not met. These instruments are measured at fair value.

#### **GOODWILL**

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price paid over the net fair value of identifiable assets and liabilities acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is carried at its initial cost less accumulated impairment losses.

Goodwill is allocated to a cash-generating unit (CGU) or a group of CGUs that is expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination, regardless of whether any assets acquired and liabilities assumed are assigned to the CGU or group of CGUs. A CGU is the smallest identifiable group of assets that generates cash flows largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets or groups of assets. Each CGU or group of CGUs, to which goodwill is allocated, represents the lowest level within the Bank at which the goodwill is monitored for internal management purposes and is not larger than an operating segment.

Goodwill is assessed for impairment at least annually and when an event or change in circumstances indicates that the carrying amount may be impaired. When impairment indicators are present, the recoverable amount of the CGU or group of CGUs, which is the higher of its estimated fair value less costs of disposal and its value-in-use, is determined. If the carrying amount of the CGU or group of CGUs is higher than its recoverable amount, an impairment loss exists. The impairment loss is recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income and cannot be reversed in future periods.

#### **INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Intangible assets represent identifiable non-monetary assets and are acquired either separately or through a business combination, or internally generated software. The Bank's intangible assets consist primarily of core deposit intangibles, credit card related intangibles, and software intangibles. Intangible assets are initially recognized at fair value and are amortized over their estimated useful lives (3 to 20 years) proportionate to their expected economic benefits, except for software which is amortized over its estimated useful life (3 to 7 years) on a straight-line basis.

The Bank assesses its intangible assets for impairment on a quarterly basis. When impairment indicators are present, the recoverable amount of the asset, which is the higher of its estimated fair value less costs of disposal and its value-in-use, is determined. If the carrying amount of the asset is higher than its recoverable amount, the asset is written down to its recoverable amount. Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the Bank

estimates the recoverable amount of the CGU to which the asset belongs. An impairment loss is recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income in the period in which the impairment is identified. Impairment losses recognized previously are assessed and reversed if the circumstances leading to the impairment are no longer present. Reversal of any impairment loss will not exceed the carrying amount of the intangible asset that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset in prior periods.

#### **LAND, BUILDINGS, EQUIPMENT, AND OTHER DEPRECIABLE ASSETS**

Land is recognized at cost. Buildings, computer equipment, furniture and fixtures, other equipment, and leasehold improvements are recognized at cost less accumulated depreciation and provisions for impairment, if any. Gains and losses on disposal are included in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Assets leased under a finance lease are capitalized as assets and depreciated on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the lease term and the estimated useful life of the asset.

The Bank records the obligation associated with the retirement of a long-lived asset at fair value in the period in which it is incurred and can be reasonably estimated, and records a corresponding increase to the carrying amount of the asset. The asset is depreciated on a straight-line basis over its remaining useful life while the liability is accreted to reflect the passage of time until the eventual settlement of the obligation.

Depreciation is recognized on a straight-line basis over the useful lives of the assets estimated by asset category, as follows:

Asset	Useful Life
Buildings	15 to 40 years
Computer equipment	2 to 8 years
Furniture and fixtures	3 to 15 years
Other equipment	5 to 15 years
Leasehold improvements	Lesser of the remaining lease term and the remaining useful life of the asset

The Bank assesses its depreciable assets for impairment on a quarterly basis. When impairment indicators are present, the recoverable amount of the asset, which is the higher of its estimated fair value less costs to sell and its value-in-use, is determined. If the carrying value of the asset is higher than its recoverable amount, the asset is written down to its recoverable amount. Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the Bank estimates the recoverable amount of the CGU to which the asset belongs. An impairment loss is recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income in the period in which the impairment is identified. Impairment losses previously recognized are assessed and reversed if the circumstances leading to their impairment are no longer present. Reversal of any impairment loss will not exceed the carrying amount of the depreciable asset that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset in prior periods.

#### **NON-CURRENT ASSETS HELD-FOR-SALE**

Individual non-current assets or disposal groups are classified as held-for-sale if they are available for immediate sale in their present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets or disposal groups, and their sale must be highly probable to occur within one year. For a sale to be highly probable, management must be committed to a sales plan and initiate an active program to market the sale of the non-current assets or disposal groups. Non-current assets or disposal groups classified as held-for-sale are measured at the lower of their carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Subsequent to its initial classification as held-for-sale, a non-current asset or disposal group is no longer depreciated or amortized, and any subsequent write-downs in fair value less costs to sell or such increases not in excess of cumulative write-downs, are recognized in Other income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

#### **SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION**

The Bank grants share options to certain employees as compensation for services provided to the Bank. The Bank uses a binomial tree-based valuation option pricing model to estimate fair value for all share option compensation awards. The cost of the share options is based on the fair value estimated at the grant date and is recognized as compensation expense and contributed surplus over the service period required for employees to become fully entitled to the awards. This period is generally equal to the vesting period in addition to a period prior to the grant date. For the Bank's share options, this period is generally equal to five years. When options are exercised, the amount initially recognized in the contributed surplus balance is reduced, with a corresponding increase in common shares.

The Bank has various other share-based compensation plans where certain employees are awarded share units equivalent to the Bank's common shares as compensation for services provided to the Bank. The obligation related to share units is included in other liabilities. Compensation expense is recognized based on the fair value of the share units at the grant date adjusted for changes in fair value between the grant date and the vesting date, net of hedging activities, over the service period required for employees to become fully entitled to the awards. This period is generally equal to the vesting period, in addition to a period prior to the grant date. For the Bank's share units, this period is generally equal to four years.

#### **EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

##### ***Defined Benefit Plans***

Actuarial valuations are prepared at least every three years to determine the present value of the projected benefit obligation related to the Bank's principal pension and non-pension post-retirement benefit plans. In periods between actuarial valuations, an extrapolation is performed based on the most recent valuation completed. All actuarial gains and losses are recognized immediately in other comprehensive income, with cumulative gains and losses reclassified to retained earnings. Pension and non-pension post-retirement benefit expenses are determined based upon separate actuarial valuations using the projected benefit method pro-rated on service and management's best estimates of discount rate, compensation increases, health care cost trend rate, and mortality rates, which are reviewed annually with the Bank's actuaries. The discount rate used to value liabilities is determined by reference to market yields on high-quality corporate bonds with terms matching the plans' specific cash flows. The expense recognized includes the cost of benefits for employee service provided in the current year, net interest expense or income on the net defined benefit liability or asset, past service costs related to plan amendments, curtailments or settlements, and administrative costs. Plan amendment costs are recognized in the period of a plan amendment, irrespective of its vested status. Curtailments and settlements are recognized by the Bank when the curtailment or settlement occurs. A curtailment occurs when there is a significant reduction in the number of employees covered by the plan. A settlement occurs when the Bank enters into a transaction that eliminates all further legal or constructive obligation for part or all of the benefits provided under a defined benefit plan.

The fair value of plan assets and the present value of the projected benefit obligation are measured as at October 31. The net defined benefit asset or liability represents the difference between the cumulative actuarial gains and losses, expenses, and recognized contributions and is reported in other assets or other liabilities.

Net defined benefit assets recognized by the Bank are subject to a ceiling which limits the asset recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet to the amount that is recoverable through refunds of contributions or future contribution holidays. In addition, where a regulatory funding deficit exists related to a defined benefit plan, the Bank is required to record a liability equal to the present value of all future cash payments required to eliminate that deficit.

##### ***Defined Contribution Plans***

For defined contribution plans, annual pension expense is equal to the Bank's contributions to those plans.

## INSURANCE

Premiums for short-duration insurance contracts are deferred as unearned premiums and reported in non-interest income on a straight-line basis over the contractual term of the underlying policies, usually twelve months. Such premiums are recognized net of amounts ceded for reinsurance and apply primarily to property and casualty contracts. Unearned premiums are reported in insurance-related liabilities, gross of premiums ceded to reinsurers which are recognized in other assets. Premiums from life and health insurance policies are recognized as income when earned in insurance revenue.

For property and casualty insurance, insurance claims and policy benefit liabilities represent current claims and estimates for future claims related to insurable events occurring at or before the Consolidated Balance Sheet date. These are determined by the appointed actuary in accordance with accepted actuarial practices and are reported as other liabilities. Expected claims and policy benefit liabilities are determined on a case-by-case basis and consider such variables as past loss experience, current claims trends and changes in the prevailing social, economic, and legal environment. These liabilities are continually reviewed, and as experience develops and new information becomes known, the liabilities are adjusted as necessary. In addition to reported claims information, the liabilities recognized by the Bank include a provision to account for the future development of insurance claims, including insurance claims incurred but not reported by policyholders (IBNR). IBNR liabilities are evaluated based on historical development trends and actuarial methodologies for groups of claims with similar attributes. For life and health insurance, actuarial liabilities represent the present values of future policy cash flows as determined using standard actuarial valuation practices. Actuarial liabilities are reported in insurance-related liabilities with changes reported in insurance claims and related expenses.

## PROVISIONS

Provisions are recognized when the Bank has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event, the amount of which can be reliably estimated, and it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation.

Provisions are measured based on management's best estimate of the consideration required to settle the obligation at the end of the reporting period, taking into account the risks and uncertainties surrounding the obligation. If the effect of the time value of money is material, provisions are measured at the present value of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the obligation, using a discount rate that reflects the current market assessment of the time value of money and the risks specific to the obligation.

## INCOME TAXES

Income tax is comprised of current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income, except to the extent that it relates to items recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity, in which case the related taxes are also recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity, respectively.

Deferred tax is recognized on temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and the amounts attributed to such assets and liabilities for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the tax rates that are expected to apply when the assets or liabilities are reported for tax purposes. Deferred tax assets are recognized only when it is probable that sufficient taxable profit will be available in future periods against which deductible temporary differences may be utilized. Deferred tax liabilities are not recognized on temporary differences arising on investments in subsidiaries, branches, and associates, and interests in joint ventures if the Bank controls the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

The Bank records a provision for uncertain tax positions if it is probable that the Bank will have to make a payment to tax authorities upon their examination of a tax position. This provision is measured at the Bank's best estimate of the amount expected to be paid. Provisions are reversed to income in provision for (recovery of) income taxes in the period in which management determines they are no longer required or as determined by statute.

## FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS OTHER THAN DERIVATIVES PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 1, 2017 UNDER IAS 39

The following is applicable to periods prior to November 1, 2017 for financial instruments accounted for under IAS 39, to the extent not already discussed earlier in this Note.

### Classification and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

#### *Available-for-Sale (AFS) Securities*

Financial assets not classified as trading, designated at FVTPL, held-to-maturity or loans, were classified as AFS and included equity securities and debt securities.

AFS securities were recognized on a trade date basis and were generally carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income.

Gains and losses realized on disposal of financial assets classified as AFS were calculated on a weighted-average cost basis and were recognized in net securities gains (losses) in non-interest income. Dividends were recognized on the ex-dividend date and interest income was recognized on an accrual basis using EIRM. Both dividends and interest were included in Interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Impairment losses were recognized if there was objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that occurred (a 'loss event') and the loss event(s) resulted in a decrease in the estimated future cash flows of the instrument. A significant or prolonged decline in fair value below cost was considered objective evidence of impairment for AFS equity securities. A deterioration in credit quality was considered objective evidence of impairment for AFS debt securities. Qualitative factors were also considered when assessing impairment for AFS securities. When impairment was identified, the cumulative net loss previously recognized in other comprehensive income, less any impairment loss previously recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income, was removed from other comprehensive income and recognized in Net securities gains (losses) in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

If the fair value of a previously impaired equity security subsequently increased, the impairment loss was not reversed through the Consolidated Statement of Income. Subsequent increases in fair value were recognized in other comprehensive income. If the fair value of a previously impaired debt security subsequently increased and the increase could be objectively related to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income, then the impairment loss was reversed through the Consolidated Statement of Income. An increase in fair value in excess of impairment recognized previously on the Consolidated Statement of Income was recognized in other comprehensive income.

#### *Held-to-Maturity Securities*

Debt securities with fixed or determinable payments and fixed maturity dates, that did not meet the definition of loans and receivables, and that the Bank intended and had the ability to hold to maturity were classified as held-to-maturity and were carried at amortized cost, net of impairment losses. Securities classified as held-to-maturity were assessed for objective evidence of impairment at the counterparty-specific level. If there was no objective evidence of impairment at the counterparty-specific level then the security was grouped with other held-to-maturity securities with similar credit risk characteristics and was collectively assessed for impairment, which considered losses incurred but not identified. Interest income was recognized using EIRM and was included in Interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### **Financial Assets and Liabilities Designated at Fair Value through Profit or Loss**

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities that did not meet the definition of trading could be designated at FVTPL on initial recognition. To be designated at FVTPL, financial assets and financial liabilities had to meet one of the following criteria: (1) the designation eliminated or significantly reduced a measurement or recognition inconsistency (also referred to as “an accounting mismatch”); (2) a group of financial assets, financial liabilities, or both, was managed and its performance was evaluated on a fair value basis in accordance with a documented risk management or investment strategy; or (3) the instrument contained one or more embedded derivatives unless a) the embedded derivative did not significantly modify the cash flows that otherwise would be required by the contract, or b) it was clear with little or no analysis that separation of the embedded derivative from the financial instrument was prohibited. In addition, the FVTPL designation was available only for those financial instruments for which a reliable estimate of fair value could be obtained. Once financial assets and financial liabilities were designated at FVTPL, the designation was irrevocable.

Financial assets and financial liabilities designated at FVTPL were carried at fair value on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, with changes in fair value as well as any gains or losses realized on disposal recognized in income (loss) from financial instruments designated at fair value at profit or loss. Interest was recognized on an accrual basis and was included in interest income or interest expense.

### **Embedded Derivatives**

Derivatives that were embedded in financial assets and liabilities were separated from their host instruments and treated as separate derivatives when their characteristics and risks were not closely related to those of the host instrument, a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative met the definition of a derivative, and the combined contract was not held-for-trading or designated at fair value through profit or loss. These embedded derivatives, which were bifurcated from the host contract, were recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Derivatives and measured at fair value with subsequent changes recognized in Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### **Impairment – Allowance for Credit Losses**

#### **Loan Impairment, Excluding Acquired Credit-Impaired Loans**

A loan, including a debt security classified as a loan, was considered impaired when there was objective evidence that there had been a deterioration of credit quality subsequent to the initial recognition of the loan to the extent the Bank no longer had reasonable assurance as to the timely collection of the full amount of principal and interest. Indicators of impairment could include, but were not limited to, one or more of the following:

- Significant financial difficulty of the issuer or obligor;
- A breach of contract, such as a default or delinquency in interest or principal payments;
- Increased probability that the borrower would enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganization; or
- The disappearance of an active market for that financial asset.

A loan was reclassified back to performing status when it had been determined that there was reasonable assurance of full and timely repayment of interest and principal in accordance with the original or revised contractual conditions of the loan and all criteria for the impaired classification had been remedied. For gross impaired debt securities classified as loans, subsequent to any recorded impairment, interest income continued to be recognized using EIRM which was used to discount the future cash flows for the purpose of measuring the credit loss.

### **Renegotiated Loans**

In cases where a borrower experienced financial difficulties the Bank may have granted certain concessionary modifications to the terms and conditions of a loan. Modifications may have included payment deferrals, extension of amortization periods, rate reductions, principal forgiveness, debt consolidation, forbearance and other modifications intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of collateral. The Bank had policies in place to determine the appropriate remediation strategy based on the individual borrower. Once modified, additional impairment was recorded where the Bank identified a decrease in the modified loan’s estimated realizable value as a result of the modification. Modified loans were assessed for impairment, consistent with the Bank’s policies for impairment.

### **Allowance for Credit Losses, Excluding Acquired Credit-Impaired Loans**

The allowance for credit losses represented management’s best estimate of impairment incurred in the lending portfolios, including any off-balance sheet exposures, at the balance sheet date. The allowance for loan losses, which included credit-related allowances for residential mortgages, consumer instalment and other personal, credit card, business and government loans, and debt securities classified as loans, was deducted from Loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet instruments, which related to certain guarantees, letters of credit, and undrawn lines of credit, was recognized in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Allowances for lending portfolios reported on the balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures were calculated using the same methodology. The allowance was increased by the provision for credit losses and decreased by write-offs net of recoveries and disposals. The Bank maintained both counterparty-specific and collectively assessed allowances. Each quarter, allowances were reassessed and adjusted based on any changes in management’s estimate of the future cash flows estimated to be recovered. Credit losses on impaired loans were recognized by means of an allowance for credit losses until a loan was written off.

A loan was written off against the related allowance for credit losses when there was no realistic prospect of recovery. Non-retail loans were generally written off when all reasonable collection efforts had been exhausted, such as when a loan was sold, when all security had been realized, or when all security had been resolved with the receiver or bankruptcy court. Non-real estate secured retail loans were generally written off when contractual payments were 180 days past due, or when a loan was sold. Real-estate secured retail loans were generally written off when the security was realized.

### **Counterparty-Specific Allowance**

Individually significant loans, such as the Bank’s medium-sized business and government loans and debt securities classified as loans, were assessed for impairment at the counterparty-specific level. The impairment assessment was based on the counterparty’s credit ratings, overall financial condition, and where applicable, the realizable value of the collateral. Collateral was reviewed at least annually and when conditions arose indicating an earlier review was necessary. An allowance, if applicable, was measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the loan and the estimated recoverable amount. The estimated recoverable amount was the present value of the estimated future cash flows, discounted using the loan’s original EIR.

### **Collectively Assessed Allowance for Individually Insignificant Impaired Loans**

Individually insignificant impaired loans, such as the Bank’s personal and small business loans and credit cards, were collectively assessed for impairment. Allowances were calculated using a formula that incorporated recent loss experience, historical default rates which were delinquency levels in interest or principal payments that indicated impairment, other applicable observable data, and the type of collateral pledged.



### **Collectively Assessed Allowance for Incurred but Not Identified Credit Losses**

If there was no objective evidence of impairment for an individual loan, whether significant or not, the loan was included in a group of assets with similar credit risk characteristics and collectively assessed for impairment for losses incurred but not identified. This allowance was referred to as the allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses. The level of the allowance for each group depended upon an assessment of business and economic conditions, historical loss experience, loan portfolio composition, and other relevant indicators. Historical loss experience was adjusted based on observable data to reflect the effects of conditions which existed at the time. The allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses was calculated using credit risk models that considered probability of default (loss frequency), loss given credit default (loss severity), and exposure at default (EAD). For purposes of measuring the collectively assessed allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses, default was defined as delinquency levels in interest or principal payments that would indicate impairment.

### **Acquired Loans**

Acquired loans were initially measured at fair value which considered incurred and expected future credit losses estimated at the acquisition date and also reflected adjustments based on the acquired loan's interest rate in comparison to market rates. As a result, no allowance for credit losses was recorded on the date of acquisition. When loans were acquired with evidence of incurred credit loss where it was probable at the purchase date that the Bank would be unable to collect all contractually required principal and interest payments, they were generally considered to be ACI loans.

Acquired performing loans were subsequently accounted for at amortized cost based on their contractual cash flows and any acquisition-related discount or premium was considered to be an adjustment to the loan yield and recognized in interest income using EIRM over the term of the loan, or the expected life of the loan for acquired loans with revolving terms. Credit-related discounts relating to incurred losses for acquired loans were not accreted. Acquired loans were subject to impairment assessments under the Bank's credit loss framework similar to the Bank's originated loan portfolio.

### **Acquired Credit-Impaired Loans**

ACI loans were identified as impaired at acquisition based on specific risk characteristics of the loans, including past due status, performance history and recent borrower credit scores.

ACI loans were accounted for based on the present value of expected cash flows as opposed to their contractual cash flows. The Bank determined the fair value of these loans at the acquisition date by discounting expected cash flows at a discount rate that reflected factors a market participant would use when determining fair value including management assumptions relating to default rates, loss severities, the amount and timing of prepayments, and other factors that were reflective of market conditions. With respect to certain individually significant ACI loans, accounting was applied individually at the loan level. The remaining ACI loans were aggregated provided that they were acquired in the same fiscal quarter and had common risk characteristics. Aggregated loans were accounted for as a single asset with aggregated cash flows and a single composite interest rate.

Subsequent to acquisition, the Bank regularly reassessed and updated its cash flow estimates for changes to assumptions relating to default rates, loss severities, the amount and timing of prepayments, and other factors that were reflective of market conditions. Probable decreases in expected cash flows triggered the recognition of additional impairment, which was measured based on the present value of the revised expected cash flows discounted at the loan's EIR as compared to the carrying value of the loan. Impairment was recorded through the provision for credit losses.

Probable and significant increases in expected cash flows would first reverse any previously taken impairment with any remaining increase recognized in income immediately as interest income. In addition, for fixed-rate ACI loans the timing of expected cash flows may have increased or decreased which may have resulted in adjustments through interest income to the carrying value in order to maintain the inception yield of the ACI loan.

If the timing and/or amounts of expected cash flows on ACI loans were determined not to be reasonably estimable, no interest was recognized.

## **NOTE 3**

## **SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING JUDGMENTS, ESTIMATES, AND ASSUMPTIONS**

The estimates used in the Bank's accounting policies are essential to understanding its results of operations and financial condition. Some of the Bank's policies require subjective, complex judgments and estimates as they relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Changes in these judgments or estimates and changes to accounting standards and policies could have a materially adverse impact on the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements. The Bank has established procedures to ensure that accounting policies are applied consistently and that the processes for changing methodologies, determining estimates, and adopting new accounting standards are well-controlled and occur in an appropriate and systematic manner.

### **CLASSIFICATION AND MEASUREMENT OF FINANCIAL ASSETS** **Business Model Assessment**

The Bank determines its business models based on the objective under which its portfolios of financial assets are managed. Refer to Note 2 for details on the Bank's business models. In determining its business models, the Bank considers the following:

- Management's intent and strategic objectives and the operation of the stated policies in practice;
- The primary risks that affect the performance of the business model and how these risks are managed;
- How the performance of the portfolio is evaluated and reported to management; and

- The frequency and significance of financial asset sales in prior periods, the reasons for such sales and the expected future sales activities.

Sales in themselves do not determine the business model and are not considered in isolation. Instead, sales provide evidence about how cash flows are realized. A held-to-collect business model will be reassessed by the Bank to determine whether any sales are consistent with an objective of collecting contractual cash flows if the sales are more than insignificant in value or infrequent.

### **Solely Payments of Principal and Interest Test**

In assessing whether contractual cash flows are SPPI, the Bank considers the contractual terms of the instrument. This includes assessing whether the financial asset contains a contractual term that could change the timing or amount of contractual cash flows such that they would not be consistent with a basic lending arrangement. In making the assessment, the Bank considers the primary terms as follows and assesses if the contractual cash flows of the instruments continue to meet the SPPI test:

- Performance-linked features;
- Terms that limit the Bank's claim to cash flows from specified assets (non-recourse terms);

- Prepayment and extension terms;
- Leverage features; and
- Features that modify elements of the time value of money.

## IMPAIRMENT OF FINANCIAL ASSETS

### *Significant Increase in Credit Risk*

For retail exposures, criteria for assessing significant increase in credit risk are defined at the appropriate product or portfolio level and vary based on the exposure's credit risk at origination. The criteria include relative changes in PD, absolute PD backstop, and delinquency backstop when contractual payments are more than 30 days past due. Credit risk has increased significantly since initial recognition when one of the criteria is met.

For non-retail exposures, BRR is determined on an individual borrower basis using industry and sector-specific credit risk models that are based on historical data. Current and forward-looking information that is specific to the borrower, industry, and sector is considered based on expert credit judgment. Criteria for assessing significant increase in credit risk are defined at the appropriate segmentation level and vary based on the BRR of the exposure at origination. Criteria include relative changes in BRR, absolute BRR backstop, and delinquency backstop when contractual payments are more than 30 days past due. Credit risk has increased significantly since initial recognition when one of the criteria is met.

### *Measurement of Expected Credit Loss*

For retail exposures, ECLs are calculated as the product of PD, loss given default (LGD), and EAD at each time step over the remaining expected life of the financial asset and discounted to the reporting date at the effective interest rate. PD estimates represent the point-in-time PD, updated quarterly based on the Bank's historical experience, current conditions, and relevant forward-looking expectations over the expected life of the exposure to determine the lifetime PD curve. LGD estimates are determined based on historical charge-off events and recovery payments, current information about attributes specific to the borrower, and direct costs. Expected cash flows from collateral, guarantees, and other credit enhancements are incorporated in LGD if integral to the contractual terms. Relevant macroeconomic variables are incorporated in determining expected LGD. EAD represents the expected balance at default across the remaining expected life of the exposure. EAD incorporates forward-looking expectations about repayments of drawn balances and expectations about future draws where applicable.

For non-retail exposures, ECLs are calculated based on the present value of cash shortfalls determined as the difference between contractual cash flows and expected cash flows over the remaining expected life of the financial instrument. Lifetime PD is determined by mapping the exposure's BRR to point-in-time PD over the expected life. LGD estimates are determined by mapping the exposure's facility risk rating (FRR) to expected LGD which takes into account facility-specific characteristics such as collateral, seniority ranking of debt, and loan structure. Relevant macroeconomic variables are incorporated in determining expected PD and LGD. Expected cash flows are determined by applying the expected LGD to the contractual cash flows to calculate cash shortfalls over the expected life of the exposure.

### *Forward-Looking Information*

In calculating the ECL, the Bank employs internally developed models that utilize parameters for PD, LGD, and EAD. Forward-looking macroeconomic factors including at the regional level are incorporated in the risk parameters as relevant. Additional risk factors that are industry or segment-specific are also incorporated, where relevant. Forward-looking macroeconomic forecasts are generated by TD Economics as part of the ECL process: A base economic forecast is accompanied with upside and downside estimates of realistically possible economic conditions. All economic forecasts are updated

quarterly for each variable on a regional basis where applicable and incorporated as relevant into the quarterly modelling of base, upside and downside risk parameters used in the calculation of ECL scenarios and probability-weighted ECL. The macroeconomic variable estimations are statistically derived relative to the base forecast based on the historical distribution of each variable. TD Economics will apply judgment to recommend probability weights to each forecast on a quarterly basis. The proposed macroeconomic forecasts and probability weightings are subject to robust management review and challenge process by a cross-functional committee that includes representation from TD Economics, Risk, Finance, and Business. ECLs calculated under each of the three forecasts are applied against the respective probability weightings to determine the probability-weighted ECLs. Refer to Note 8 for further details on the macroeconomic variables and ECL sensitivity.

### *Expert Credit Judgment*

ECLs are recognized on initial recognition of the financial assets. Allowance for credit losses represents management's best estimate of the risk of default and ECLs on the financial assets, including any off-balance sheet exposures, at the balance sheet date. Management exercises expert credit judgment in assessing if an exposure has experienced significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition and in determining the amount of ECLs at each reporting date by considering reasonable and supportable information that is not already included in the quantitative models.

Management's judgment is used to determine the point within the range that is the best estimate for the qualitative component contributing to ECLs, based on an assessment of business and economic conditions, historical loss experience, loan portfolio composition, and other relevant indicators and forward-looking information that are not fully incorporated into the model calculation. Changes in these assumptions would have a direct impact on the provision for credit losses and may result in a change in the allowance for credit losses.

## FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value of financial instruments traded in active markets at the balance sheet date is based on their quoted market prices. For all other financial instruments not traded in an active market, fair value may be based on other observable current market transactions involving the same or similar instruments, without modification or repackaging, or is based on a valuation technique which maximizes the use of observable market inputs. Observable market inputs may include interest rate yield curves, foreign exchange rates, and option volatilities. Valuation techniques include comparisons with similar instruments where observable market prices exist, discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, and other valuation techniques commonly used by market participants.

For certain complex or illiquid financial instruments, fair value is determined using valuation techniques in which current market transactions or observable market inputs are not available. Determining which valuation technique to apply requires judgment. The valuation techniques themselves also involve some level of estimation and judgment. The judgments include liquidity considerations and model inputs such as volatilities, correlations, spreads, discount rates, pre-payment rates, and prices of underlying instruments. Any imprecision in these estimates can affect the resulting fair value.

Judgment is also used in recording fair value adjustments to model valuations to account for measurement uncertainty when valuing complex and less actively traded financial instruments. If the market for a complex financial instrument develops, the pricing for this instrument may become more transparent, resulting in refinement of valuation models. For example, IBOR reform may also have an impact on the fair value of products that reference or use valuation models with IBOR inputs.

An analysis of fair values of financial instruments and further details as to how they are measured are provided in Note 5.

## **DERECOGNITION**

Certain assets transferred may qualify for derecognition from the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. To qualify for derecognition certain key determinations must be made. A decision must be made as to whether the rights to receive cash flows from the financial assets have been retained or transferred and the extent to which the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial assets have been retained or transferred. If the Bank neither transfers nor retains substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset, a decision must be made as to whether the Bank has retained control of the financial asset. Upon derecognition, the Bank will record a gain or loss on sale of those assets which is calculated as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset transferred and the sum of any cash proceeds received, including any financial asset received or financial liability assumed, and any cumulative gain or loss allocated to the transferred asset that had been recognized in AOCI. In determining the fair value of any financial asset received, the Bank estimates future cash flows by relying on estimates of the amount of interest that will be collected on the securitized assets, the yield to be paid to investors, the portion of the securitized assets that will be prepaid before their scheduled maturity, ECLs, the cost of servicing the assets, and the rate at which to discount these expected future cash flows. Actual cash flows may differ significantly from those estimated by the Bank. Retained interests are classified as trading securities and are initially recognized at relative fair value on the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. Subsequently, the fair value of retained interests recognized by the Bank is determined by estimating the present value of future expected cash flows. Differences between the actual cash flows and the Bank's estimate of future cash flows are recognized in trading income. These assumptions are subject to periodic review and may change due to significant changes in the economic environment.

## **GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES**

The recoverable amount of the Bank's CGUs is determined from internally developed valuation models that consider various factors and assumptions such as forecasted earnings, growth rates, price-earnings multiples, discount rates, and terminal multiples. Management is required to use judgment in estimating the recoverable amount of CGUs, and the use of different assumptions and estimates in the calculations could influence the determination of the existence of impairment and the valuation of goodwill. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used are reasonable and supportable. Where possible, assumptions generated internally are compared to relevant market information. The carrying amounts of the Bank's CGUs are determined by management using risk based capital models to adjust net assets and liabilities by CGU. These models consider various factors including market risk, credit risk, and operational risk, including investment capital (comprised of goodwill and other intangibles). Any capital not directly attributable to the CGUs is held within the Corporate segment. The Bank's capital oversight committees provide oversight to the Bank's capital allocation methodologies.

## **EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

The projected benefit obligation and expense related to the Bank's pension and non-pension post-retirement benefit plans are determined using multiple assumptions that may significantly influence the value of these amounts. Actuarial assumptions including discount rates, compensation increases, health care cost trend rates, and mortality rates are management's best estimates and are reviewed annually with the Bank's actuaries. The Bank develops each assumption using relevant historical experience of the Bank in conjunction with market-related data and considers if the market-related data indicates there is any prolonged or significant impact on the assumptions. The discount rate used to value liabilities is determined

by reference to market yields on high-quality corporate bonds with terms matching the plans' specific cash flows. The other assumptions are also long-term estimates. All assumptions are subject to a degree of uncertainty. Differences between actual experiences and the assumptions, as well as changes in the assumptions resulting from changes in future expectations, result in actuarial gains and losses which are recognized in other comprehensive income during the year and also impact expenses in future periods.

## **INCOME TAXES**

The Bank is subject to taxation in numerous jurisdictions. There are many transactions and calculations in the ordinary course of business for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. The Bank maintains provisions for uncertain tax positions that it believes appropriately reflect the risk of tax positions under discussion, audit, dispute, or appeal with tax authorities, or which are otherwise considered to involve uncertainty. These provisions are made using the Bank's best estimate of the amount expected to be paid based on an assessment of all relevant factors, which are reviewed at the end of each reporting period. However, it is possible that at some future date, an additional liability could result from audits by the relevant taxing authorities.

Deferred tax assets are recognized only when it is probable that sufficient taxable profit will be available in future periods against which deductible temporary differences may be utilized. The amount of the deferred tax asset recognized and considered realizable could, however, be reduced if projected income is not achieved due to various factors, such as unfavourable business conditions. If projected income is not expected to be achieved, the Bank would decrease its deferred tax assets to the amount that it believes can be realized. The magnitude of the decrease is significantly influenced by the Bank's forecast of future profit generation, which determines the extent to which it will be able to utilize the deferred tax assets.

## **PROVISIONS**

Provisions arise when there is some uncertainty in the timing or amount of a loss in the future. Provisions are based on the Bank's best estimate of all expenditures required to settle its present obligations, considering all relevant risks and uncertainties, as well as, when material, the effect of the time value of money.

Many of the Bank's provisions relate to various legal actions that the Bank is involved in during the ordinary course of business. Legal provisions require the involvement of both the Bank's management and legal counsel when assessing the probability of a loss and estimating any monetary impact. Throughout the life of a provision, the Bank's management or legal counsel may learn of additional information that may impact its assessments about the probability of loss or about the estimates of amounts involved. Changes in these assessments may lead to changes in the amount recorded for provisions. In addition, the actual costs of resolving these claims may be substantially higher or lower than the amounts recognized. The Bank reviews its legal provisions on a case-by-case basis after considering, among other factors, the progress of each case, the Bank's experience, the experience of others in similar cases, and the opinions and views of legal counsel.

Certain of the Bank's provisions relate to restructuring initiatives initiated by the Bank. Restructuring provisions require management's best estimate, including forecasts of economic conditions. Throughout the life of a provision, the Bank may become aware of additional information that may impact the assessment of amounts to be incurred. Changes in these assessments may lead to changes in the amount recorded for provisions.

## **INSURANCE**

The assumptions used in establishing the Bank's insurance claims and policy benefit liabilities are based on best estimates of possible outcomes.

For property and casualty insurance, the ultimate cost of claims liabilities is estimated using a range of standard actuarial claims projection techniques in accordance with Canadian accepted actuarial practices. Additional qualitative judgment is used to assess the extent to which past trends may or may not apply in the future, in order to arrive at the estimated ultimate claims cost that present the most likely outcome taking account of all the uncertainties involved.

For life and health insurance, actuarial liabilities consider all future policy cash flows, including premiums, claims, and expenses required to administer the policies. Critical assumptions used in the measurement of life and health insurance contract liabilities are determined by the appointed actuary.

Further information on insurance risk assumptions is provided in Note 22.

### **CONSOLIDATION OF STRUCTURED ENTITIES**

Management judgment is required when assessing whether the Bank should consolidate an entity. For instance, it may not be feasible to determine if the Bank controls an entity solely through an assessment of voting rights for certain structured entities. In this case, judgment is required to establish whether the Bank has decision-making power over the key relevant activities of the entity and whether the Bank has the ability to use that power to absorb significant variable returns from the entity. If it is determined that the Bank has both decision-making power and significant variable returns from the entity, judgment is also used to determine whether any such power is exercised by the Bank as principal, on its own behalf, or as agent, on behalf of another counterparty.

Assessing whether the Bank has decision-making power includes understanding the purpose and design of the entity in order to determine its key economic activities. In this context, an entity's key economic activities are those which predominantly impact the economic performance of the entity. When the Bank has the current ability to direct the entity's key economic activities, it is considered to have decision-making power over the entity.

The Bank also evaluates its exposure to the variable returns of a structured entity in order to determine if it absorbs a significant proportion of the variable returns the entity is designed to create. As part of this evaluation, the Bank considers the purpose and design of the entity in order to determine whether it absorbs variable returns from the structured entity through its contractual holdings, which may take the form of securities issued by the entity, derivatives with the entity, or other arrangements such as guarantees, liquidity facilities, or lending commitments.

If the Bank has decision-making power over the entity and absorbs significant variable returns from the entity, it then determines if it is acting as principal or agent when exercising its decision-making power. Key factors considered include the scope of its decision-making powers; the rights of other parties involved with the entity, including any rights to remove the Bank as decision-maker or rights to participate in key decisions; whether the rights of other parties are exercisable in practice; and the variable returns absorbed by the Bank and by other parties involved with the entity. When assessing consolidation, a presumption exists that the Bank exercises decision-making power as principal if it is also exposed to significant variable returns, unless an analysis of the factors above indicates otherwise.

The decisions above are made with reference to the specific facts and circumstances relevant for the structured entity and related transaction(s) under consideration.

### **REVENUE FROM CONTRACTS WITH CUSTOMERS**

The Bank applies judgment to determine the timing of satisfaction of performance obligations which affects the timing of revenue recognition, by evaluating the pattern in which the Bank transfers control of services promised to the customer. A performance

obligation is satisfied over time when the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the benefits as the Bank performs the service. For performance obligations satisfied over time, revenue is generally recognized using the time-elapsed method which is based on time elapsed in proportion to the period over which the service is provided, for example, personal deposit account bundle fees. The time-elapsed method is a faithful depiction of the transfer of control for these services as control is transferred evenly to the customer when the Bank provides a stand-ready service or effort is expended evenly by the Bank to provide a service over the contract period. In contracts where the Bank has a right to consideration from a customer in an amount that corresponds directly with the value to the customer of the Bank's performance completed to date, the Bank recognizes revenue in the amount to which it has a right to invoice.

The Bank satisfies a performance obligation at a point in time if the customer obtains control of the promised services at that date. Determining when control is transferred requires the use of judgment. For transaction-based services, the Bank determines that control is transferred to the customer at a point in time when the customer obtains substantially all of the benefits from the service rendered and the Bank has a present right to payment, which generally coincides with the moment the transaction is executed.

The Bank exercises judgment in determining whether costs incurred in connection with acquiring new revenue contracts would meet the requirement to be capitalized as incremental costs to obtain or fulfil a contract with customers.

### **IMPAIRMENT OF FINANCIAL ASSETS PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 1, 2017 UNDER IAS 39**

The following is applicable to periods prior to November 1, 2017 for financial instruments accounted for under IAS 39.

#### ***Available-for-Sale Securities***

Impairment losses were recognized on AFS securities if there was objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that occurred after initial recognition and the loss event(s) resulted in a decrease in the estimated cash flows of the instrument. The Bank individually reviewed these securities at least quarterly for the presence of these conditions. For AFS equity securities, a significant or prolonged decline in fair value below cost was considered objective evidence of impairment. For AFS debt securities, a deterioration of credit quality was considered objective evidence of impairment. Other factors considered in the impairment assessment included financial position and key financial indicators of the issuer of the instrument, significant past and continued losses of the issuer, as well as breaches of contract, including default or delinquency in interest payments and loan covenant violations.

#### ***Held-to-Maturity Securities***

Impairment losses were recognized on held-to-maturity securities if there was objective evidence of impairment as a result of one or more events that occurred after initial recognition and the loss event(s) resulted in a decrease in the estimated cash flows of the instrument. The Bank reviewed these securities at least quarterly for impairment at the counterparty-specific level. If there was no objective evidence of impairment at the counterparty-specific level then the security was grouped with other held-to-maturity securities with similar credit risk characteristics and collectively assessed for impairment, which considered losses incurred but not identified. A deterioration of credit quality was considered objective evidence of impairment. Other factors considered in the impairment assessment included the financial position and key financial indicators of the issuer, significant past and continued losses of the issuer, as well as breaches of contract, including default or delinquency in interest payments and loan covenant violations.

## Loans

A loan, including a debt security classified as a loan, was considered impaired when there was objective evidence that there had been a deterioration of credit quality subsequent to the initial recognition of the loan to the extent the Bank no longer had reasonable assurance as to the timely collection of the full amount of principal and interest. The Bank assessed loans for objective evidence of impairment individually for loans that were individually significant, and collectively for loans that were not individually significant. The allowance for credit losses represented management's best estimate of impairment incurred in the lending portfolios, including any off-balance sheet exposures, at the balance sheet date. Management exercised judgment as to the timing of designating a loan as impaired, the amount of the allowance required, and the amount that would be recovered once the borrower defaulted. Changes in the amount that management expected to recover would have a direct impact on the provision for credit losses and may have resulted in a change in the allowance for credit losses.

If there was no objective evidence of impairment for an individual loan, whether significant or not, the loan was included in a group of assets with similar credit risk characteristics and collectively assessed for impairment for losses incurred but not identified. In calculating the probable range of allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses, the Bank employed internally developed models that utilized parameters for PD, LGD, and EAD. Management's judgment was used to determine the point within the range that was the best estimate of losses, based on an assessment of business and economic conditions, historical loss experience, loan portfolio composition, and other relevant indicators that were not fully incorporated into the model calculation. Changes in these assumptions would have a direct impact on the provision for credit losses and may have resulted in a change in the incurred but not identified allowance for credit losses.

NOTE 4	CURRENT AND FUTURE CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES
--------	---

### CURRENT CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following new and amended standards have been adopted by the Bank.

#### IBOR Reform and its Effects on Financial Reporting

As a result of the effects of Interbank Offered Rates (IBOR) reform, on September 26, 2019, the IASB issued *Interest Rate Benchmark Reform, Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39, and IFRS 7*, of which the Bank adopted the applicable amendments to IFRS 7 relating to hedge accounting and will apply the remaining amendments related to IAS 39 as and when applicable to the Bank's hedging relationships. The amendments provide temporary exceptions from applying specific hedge accounting requirements to all hedging relationships directly affected by interest rate benchmark reform. Under the amendments, entities would apply hedge accounting requirements assuming that the interest rate benchmark is not altered, thereby enabling hedge accounting to continue during the period of uncertainty prior to the replacement of an existing interest rate benchmark with an alternative benchmark rate. The amendments also provide an exception from the requirement to discontinue hedge accounting if the actual results of the hedge do not meet the effectiveness requirements as a result of interest rate benchmark reform. Amendments were also made to IFRS 7 introducing additional disclosures related to amended IAS 39. Refer to Notes 2 and 11 for further details.

#### Revenue from Contracts with Customers

On November 1, 2018, the Bank adopted IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (IFRS 15), which establishes the principles for recognizing revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers and prescribes the application of a five-step recognition and measurement model. The standard excludes from its scope, revenue arising from items such as financial instruments, insurance contracts, and leases. The Bank adopted the standard on a modified retrospective basis, recognizing the cumulative effect of initially applying the standard as an adjustment to opening retained earnings without restating comparative period financial information.

The adoption of IFRS 15 resulted in a reduction to Shareholders' Equity of \$41 million related to certain expenses not eligible for deferral under IFRS 15. The presentation of certain revenue and expense items is changed due to IFRS 15 and reclassified prospectively. These presentation changes are not significant and do not have an impact on net income.

In addition to the above changes related to the adoption of IFRS 15, the Bank also changed its accounting policy on securities lending and borrowing transactions. Where securities are received or pledged as collateral, securities lending income and securities borrowing fees are recorded in Non-interest income and Non-interest expenses, respectively, on the Consolidated Statement of Income. This change has been applied retrospectively.

#### Share-based Payment

In June 2016, the IASB published amendments to IFRS 2, *Share-based Payment* (IFRS 2), which provide additional guidance on the classification and measurement of share-based payment transactions. The amendments clarify the accounting for cash-settled share-based payment transactions that include a performance condition, the classification of share-based payment transactions with net settlement features for withholding tax obligations, and the accounting for modifications of share-based payment transactions from cash-settled to equity-settled. The amendments to IFRS 2 are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, which was November 1, 2018 for the Bank. These amendments have been applied prospectively and did not have a significant impact on the Bank.

### FUTURE CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following standards have been issued, but are not yet effective on the date of issuance of the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements. The Bank is currently assessing the impact of the application of these standards on the Consolidated Financial Statements and will adopt these standards when they become effective.

#### Leases

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16, *Leases* (IFRS 16), which will replace IAS 17, *Leases*, introducing a single lessee accounting model for all leases by eliminating the distinction between operating and financing leases. IFRS 16 requires lessees to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for most leases on the balance sheet. Lessees will also recognize depreciation expense on the right-of-use asset, interest expense on the lease liability, and a shift in the timing of expense recognition in the statement of income. Short-term leases, which are defined as those that have a lease term of twelve months or less, and leases of low-value assets are exempt. Lessor accounting remains substantially unchanged. IFRS 16 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019, which will be November 1, 2019 for the Bank. The Bank will adopt the new standard using the modified

retrospective approach by recognizing the cumulative effect of the transitional impact in opening retained earnings within the Consolidated Balance Sheet at November 1, 2019, with no restatement of the comparative periods. The Bank's IFRS 16 program is governed by a formal multi-functional enterprise-wide governance structure and project delivery plan. Additional processes and internal controls over financial reporting have also been developed.

In adopting IFRS 16, the Bank will apply certain practical expedients as permitted by IFRS 16, including: using hindsight to determine the lease term where lease contracts contain options to extend or terminate a lease, measuring the right-of-use asset retrospectively on a selection of leases, not reassessing under IFRS 16, contracts that were previously identified as leases under the previous accounting standards (IAS 17, *Leases*, and IFRIC 4, *Determining whether an arrangement contains a lease*), and applying the exemption for short-term leases to be expensed.

The Bank's real estate leases, previously classified as operating leases, will be impacted the most by the adoption of IFRS 16. The Bank also leases certain equipment and other assets under similar payment terms. On November 1, 2019, the Bank estimates increases of \$4.4 billion of new right-of-use assets, \$5.5 billion of lease liabilities, and other balance sheet adjustments and reclassifications of \$0.6 billion. The decrease of retained earnings is approximately \$0.5 billion after tax. Based on the current regulatory requirements, the expected impact to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital is a decrease of 24 basis points (bps).

#### **Insurance Contracts**

In May 2017, the IASB issued IFRS 17, *Insurance Contracts* (IFRS 17), which replaces the guidance in IFRS 4, *Insurance Contracts* and establishes principles for recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of insurance contracts. IFRS 17 is currently effective for the Bank's annual reporting period beginning November 1, 2021. In June 2019, the IASB issued an Exposure Draft which proposes targeted amendments to IFRS 17 including, amongst other matters, a deferral of the effective date by one year. It is expected that the IASB will finalize the amendments to the standard in mid-2020. Any change to the Bank's effective date is subject to updates of OSFI's related Advisory. The Bank is currently in the final stages of its planning activities, which includes developing the project plan based on results from business impact assessments, reviewing resource requirements to support this approach, and monitoring the impact of IASB changes to the IFRS 17 standard.

#### **Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments**

In June 2017, the IASB issued IFRIC Interpretation 23, *Uncertainty over Income Tax Treatments*, which clarifies application of recognition and measurement requirements in IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, when there is uncertainty over income tax treatments. The interpretation is effective

for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019, which will be November 1, 2019 for the Bank. The interpretation can be applied using either full retrospective application or modified retrospective application without restatement of comparatives and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Bank.

#### **Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting**

In March 2018, the IASB issued the revised Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting (Revised Conceptual Framework), which provides a set of concepts to assist the IASB in developing standards and to help preparers consistently apply accounting policies where specific accounting standards do not exist. The framework is not an accounting standard and does not override the requirements that exist in other IFRS standards. The Revised Conceptual Framework describes that financial information must be relevant and faithfully represented to be useful, provides revised definitions and recognition criteria for assets and liabilities, and confirms that different measurement bases are useful and permitted. The Revised Conceptual Framework is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2020, which will be November 1, 2020 for the Bank, with early adoption permitted. The Bank is currently assessing the impact of adopting the revised framework.

#### **Business Combinations**

In October 2018, the IASB issued a narrow-scope amendment to IFRS 3, *Business Combinations* (IFRS 3). The amendments provide additional guidance on the definition of a business which determines whether an acquisition is of a business or a group of assets. An acquirer recognizes goodwill only when acquiring a business, not when acquiring a group of assets. The amendments to IFRS 3 are effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2020, which will be November 1, 2020 for the Bank, with early adoption permitted and is to be applied prospectively. The Bank will assess the impact of the amendments on future acquisitions.

#### **Presentation of Financial Statements and Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors**

In October 2018, the IASB issued amendments to IAS 1, *Presentation of Financial Statements* and IAS 8, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, which clarify the definition of "material". Specifically, the amendments clarify that information is material if omitting, misstating, or obscuring it could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions that the primary users of general purpose financial statements make on the basis of those financial statements. Accompanying explanations to the definition have also been clarified. The amendments are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2020, which will be November 1, 2020 for the Bank, and are to be applied prospectively with early application permitted. The Bank is currently assessing the impact of adopting these amendments.

Certain assets and liabilities, primarily financial instruments, are carried on the balance sheet at their fair value on a recurring basis. These financial instruments include trading loans and securities, non-trading financial assets at FVTPL, assets and liabilities designated at FVTPL, financial assets at FVOCI, derivatives, certain securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements, certain deposits classified as trading, securitization liabilities at fair value, obligations related to securities sold short, and certain obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements. All other financial assets and financial liabilities are carried at amortized cost.

#### VALUATION GOVERNANCE

Valuation processes are guided by policies and procedures that are approved by senior management and subject matter experts. Senior Executive oversight over the valuation process is provided through various valuation-related committees. Further, the Bank has a number of additional controls in place, including an independent price verification process to ensure the accuracy of fair value measurements reported in the financial statements. The sources used for independent pricing comply with the standards set out in the approved valuation-related policies, which include consideration of the reliability, relevancy, and timeliness of data.

#### METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

The Bank calculates fair values for measurement and disclosure purposes based on the following methods of valuation and assumptions:

##### *Government and Government-Related Securities*

The fair value of Canadian government debt securities is based on quoted prices in active markets, where available. Where quoted prices are not available, valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow models may be used, which maximize the use of observable inputs such as government bond yield curves.

The fair value of U.S. federal and state government, as well as agency debt securities, is determined by reference to recent transaction prices, broker quotes, or third-party vendor prices. Brokers or third-party vendors may use a pool-specific valuation model to value these securities. Observable market inputs to the model include to-be-announced market prices, the applicable indices, and metrics such as the coupon, maturity, and weighted-average maturity of the pool. Market inputs used in the valuation model include, but are not limited to, indexed yield curves and trading spreads.

The fair value of residential mortgage-backed securities (MBS) is based on broker quotes, third-party vendor prices, or other valuation techniques, such as the use of option-adjusted spread models which include inputs such as prepayment rate assumptions related to the underlying collateral. Observable inputs include, but are not limited to, indexed yield curves and bid-ask spreads. Other inputs may include volatility assumptions derived using Monte Carlo simulations and take into account factors such as counterparty credit quality and liquidity.

##### *Other Debt Securities*

The fair value of corporate and other debt securities is based on broker quotes, third-party vendor prices, or other valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flow techniques. Market inputs used in the other valuation techniques or underlying third-party vendor prices or broker quotes include benchmark and government bond yield curves, credit spreads, and trade execution data.

Asset-backed securities are primarily fair valued using third-party vendor prices. The third-party vendor employs a valuation model which maximizes the use of observable inputs such as benchmark yield curves and bid-ask spreads. The model also takes into account relevant data about the underlying collateral, such as weighted-average terms to maturity and prepayment rate assumptions.

##### *Equity Securities*

The fair value of equity securities is based on quoted prices in active markets, where available. Where quoted prices in active markets are not readily available, such as for private equity securities, or where there is a wide bid-offer spread, fair value is determined based on quoted market prices for similar securities or through valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis, and multiples of earnings before taxes, depreciation and amortization, and other relevant valuation techniques.

If there are trading restrictions on the equity security held, a valuation adjustment is recognized against available prices to reflect the nature of the restriction. However, restrictions that are not part of the security held and represent a separate contractual arrangement that has been entered into by the Bank and a third party do not impact the fair value of the original instrument.

##### *Retained Interests*

Retained interests are classified as trading securities and are initially recognized at their relative fair market value. Subsequently, the fair value of retained interests recognized by the Bank is determined by estimating the present value of future expected cash flows. Differences between the actual cash flows and the Bank's estimate of future cash flows are recognized in income. These assumptions are subject to periodic review and may change due to significant changes in the economic environment.

##### *Loans*

The estimated fair value of loans carried at amortized cost reflects changes in market price that have occurred since the loans were originated or purchased. For fixed-rate performing loans, estimated fair value is determined by discounting the expected future cash flows related to these loans at current market interest rates for loans with similar credit risks. For floating-rate performing loans, changes in interest rates have minimal impact on fair value since loans reprice to market frequently. On that basis, fair value is assumed to approximate carrying value. The fair value of loans is not adjusted for the value of any credit protection the Bank has purchased to mitigate credit risk.

The fair value of loans carried at FVTPL, which includes trading loans and loans designated at FVTPL, is determined using observable market prices, where available. Where the Bank is a market maker for loans traded in the secondary market, fair value is determined using executed prices, or prices for comparable trades. For those loans where the Bank is not a market maker, the Bank obtains broker quotes from other reputable dealers, and corroborates this information using valuation techniques or by obtaining consensus or composite prices from pricing services.

The fair value of loans carried at FVOCI is assumed to approximate amortized cost as they are generally floating rate performing loans that are short term in nature.

## **Commodities**

The fair value of commodities is based on quoted prices in active markets, where available. The Bank also transacts commodity derivative contracts which can be traded on an exchange or in OTC markets.

## **Derivative Financial Instruments**

The fair value of exchange-traded derivative financial instruments is based on quoted market prices. The fair value of OTC derivative financial instruments is estimated using well established valuation techniques, such as discounted cash flow techniques, the Black-Scholes model, and Monte Carlo simulation. The valuation models incorporate inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived from observable market data.

Prices derived by using models are recognized net of valuation adjustments. The inputs used in the valuation models depend on the type of derivative and the nature of the underlying instrument and are specific to the instrument being valued. Inputs can include, but are not limited to, interest rate yield curves, foreign exchange rates, dividend yield projections, commodity spot and forward prices, recovery rates, volatilities, spot prices, and correlation.

A credit risk valuation adjustment (CRVA) is recognized against the model value of OTC derivatives to account for the uncertainty that either counterparty in a derivative transaction may not be able to fulfil its obligations under the transaction. In determining CRVA, the Bank takes into account master netting agreements and collateral, and considers the creditworthiness of the counterparty and the Bank itself, in assessing potential future amounts owed to, or by the Bank.

The fair value of a derivative is partly a function of collateralization. The Bank uses the relevant overnight index swap curve to discount the cash flows for collateralized derivatives as most collateral is posted in cash and can be funded at the overnight rate.

A funding valuation adjustment (FVA) is recognized against the model value of OTC derivatives to recognize the market implied funding costs and benefits considered in the pricing and fair valuation of uncollateralized derivatives. Some of the key drivers of FVA include the market implied funding spread and the expected average exposure by counterparty.

The Bank will continue to monitor industry practice on valuation adjustments and may refine the methodology as market practices evolve.

## **Deposits**

The estimated fair value of term deposits is determined by discounting the contractual cash flows using interest rates currently offered for deposits with similar terms.

For deposits with no defined maturities, the Bank considers fair value to equal carrying value, which is equivalent to the amount payable on the balance sheet date.

For trading deposits and deposits designated at FVTPL, which is included in financial liabilities designated at FVTPL, fair value is determined using discounted cash flow valuation techniques which maximize the use of observable market inputs such as benchmark yield curves and foreign exchange rates. The Bank considers the impact of its own creditworthiness in the valuation of these deposits by reference to observable market inputs.

## **Securitization Liabilities**

The fair value of securitization liabilities is based on quoted market prices or quoted market prices for similar financial instruments, where available. Where quoted prices are not available, fair value

is determined using valuation techniques, which maximize the use of observable inputs, such as Canada Mortgage Bond (CMB) curves and MBS curves.

## **Obligations Related to Securities Sold Short**

The fair value of these obligations is based on the fair value of the underlying securities, which can include equity or debt securities. As these obligations are fully collateralized, the method used to determine fair value would be the same as that of the relevant underlying equity or debt securities.

## **Securities Purchased Under Reverse Repurchase Agreements and Obligations Related to Securities Sold Under Repurchase Agreements**

Commodities and bonds purchased or sold with an agreement to sell or repurchase them at a later date at a fixed price are carried at fair value. The fair value of these agreements is based on valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow models which maximize the use of observable market inputs such as interest rate swap curves and commodity forward prices.

## **Subordinated Notes and Debentures**

The fair value of subordinated notes and debentures are based on quoted market prices for similar issues or current rates offered to the Bank for debt of equivalent credit quality and remaining maturity.

## **Portfolio Exception**

IFRS 13, *Fair Value Measurement* provides a measurement exception that allows an entity to determine the fair value of a group of financial assets and liabilities with offsetting risks based on the sale or transfer of its net exposure to a particular risk or risks. The Bank manages certain financial assets and financial liabilities, such as derivative assets and derivative liabilities on the basis of net exposure and applies the portfolio exception when determining the fair value of these financial assets and financial liabilities.

## **Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities not carried at Fair Value**

The fair value of assets and liabilities subsequently not carried at fair value include most loans, most deposits, certain securitization liabilities, most securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements, most obligations relating to securities sold under repurchase agreements, and subordinated notes and debentures. For these instruments, fair values are calculated for disclosure purposes only, and the valuation techniques are disclosed above. In addition, the Bank has determined that the carrying value approximates the fair value for the following assets and liabilities as they are usually liquid floating rate financial instruments and are generally short term in nature: cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits with banks, securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements, customers' liability under acceptances, amounts receivable from brokers, dealers, and clients, other assets, acceptances, obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements, amounts payable to brokers, dealers, and clients, and other liabilities.

## **Carrying Value and Fair Value of Financial Instruments not carried at Fair Value**

The fair values in the following table exclude assets that are not financial instruments, such as land, buildings and equipment, as well as goodwill and other intangible assets, including customer relationships, which are of significant value to the Bank.



## Financial Assets and Liabilities not carried at Fair Value<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS</b>				
Debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses				
Government and government-related securities	\$ 78,275	\$ 78,374	\$ 60,535	\$ 59,948
Other debt securities	52,222	52,370	46,636	46,316
Total debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses	130,497	130,744	107,171	106,264
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses	684,608	688,154	646,393	642,542
Total financial assets not carried at fair value	\$ 815,105	\$ 818,898	\$ 753,564	\$ 748,806
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>				
Deposits	\$ 886,977	\$ 892,597	\$ 851,439	\$ 846,148
Securitization liabilities at amortized cost	14,086	14,258	14,683	14,654
Subordinated notes and debentures	10,725	11,323	8,740	9,027
Total financial liabilities not carried at fair value	\$ 911,788	\$ 918,178	\$ 874,862	\$ 869,829

<sup>1</sup> This table excludes financial assets and liabilities where the carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of fair value.

### Fair Value Hierarchy

IFRS requires disclosure of a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the observability of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

**Level 1:** Fair value is based on quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities that are traded in an active exchange market or highly liquid and actively traded in OTC markets.

**Level 2:** Fair value is based on observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted market prices for similar (but not identical) assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less

frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using valuation techniques with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

**Level 3:** Fair value is based on non-observable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Financial instruments classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy are initially recognized at their transaction price, which is considered the best estimate of fair value. After initial measurement, the fair value of Level 3 assets and liabilities is determined using valuation models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques.

The following table presents the levels within the fair value hierarchy for each of the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as at October 31.

## Fair Value Hierarchy for Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total <sup>1</sup>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total <sup>1</sup>
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS AND COMMODITIES</b>								
<b>Trading loans, securities, and other<sup>2</sup></b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 395	\$ 10,521	\$ –	\$ 10,916	\$ 127	\$ 14,335	\$ –	\$ 14,462
Provinces	–	8,510	8	8,518	–	7,535	3	7,538
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	–	19,133	–	19,133	–	19,732	–	19,732
Other OECD government guaranteed debt	–	4,132	–	4,132	–	3,324	–	3,324
Mortgage-backed securities	–	1,746	–	1,746	–	2,029	–	2,029
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Canadian issuers	–	5,129	3	5,132	–	5,630	1	5,631
Other issuers	–	13,547	1	13,548	–	14,459	16	14,475
<b>Equity securities</b>								
Common shares	56,058	61	–	56,119	43,699	53	–	43,752
Preferred shares	57	–	–	57	33	26	–	59
<b>Trading loans</b>	–	12,482	–	12,482	–	10,990	–	10,990
<b>Commodities</b>	13,761	437	–	14,198	5,540	340	–	5,880
<b>Retained interests</b>	–	19	–	19	–	25	–	25
	70,271	75,717	12	146,000	49,399	78,478	20	127,897
<b>Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss</b>								
Securities	229	3,985	493	4,707	176	2,095	408	2,679
Loans	–	1,791	5	1,796	–	1,317	19	1,336
	229	5,776	498	6,503	176	3,412	427	4,015
<b>Derivatives</b>								
Interest rate contracts	22	14,794	–	14,816	33	12,365	–	12,398
Foreign exchange contracts	24	30,623	3	30,650	24	39,647	4	39,675
Credit contracts	–	16	–	16	–	9	–	9
Equity contracts	1	1,298	589	1,888	–	3,170	453	3,623
Commodity contracts	266	1,246	12	1,524	144	1,112	35	1,291
	313	47,977	604	48,894	201	56,303	492	56,996
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>								
Securities <sup>2</sup>	–	4,040	–	4,040	–	3,618	–	3,618
	–	4,040	–	4,040	–	3,618	–	3,618
<b>Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	–	9,663	–	9,663	–	12,731	–	12,731
Provinces	–	12,927	–	12,927	–	9,507	–	9,507
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	–	40,737	–	40,737	–	45,766	–	45,766
Other OECD government guaranteed debt	–	14,407	–	14,407	–	19,896	200	20,096
Mortgage-backed securities	–	5,437	–	5,437	–	6,633	–	6,633
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Asset-backed securities	–	15,888	–	15,888	–	21,407	562	21,969
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio	–	247	–	247	–	472	–	472
Corporate and other debt	–	7,810	24	7,834	–	8,483	24	8,507
<b>Equity securities</b>								
Common shares	89	2	1,507	1,598	309	3	1,492	1,804
Preferred shares	198	–	44	242	235	–	135	370
<b>Loans</b>	–	2,124	–	2,124	–	2,745	–	2,745
	287	109,242	1,575	111,104	544	127,643	2,413	130,600
<b>Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements</b>	–	4,843	–	4,843	–	3,920	–	3,920
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>								
<b>Trading deposits</b>	–	22,793	4,092	26,885	–	111,680	3,024	114,704
<b>Derivatives</b>								
Interest rate contracts	19	14,404	83	14,506	24	9,646	63	9,733
Foreign exchange contracts	21	29,374	4	29,399	18	34,897	3	34,918
Credit contracts	–	420	–	420	–	386	–	386
Equity contracts	–	2,877	1,514	4,391	–	1,319	1,077	2,396
Commodity contracts	266	1,040	29	1,335	134	695	8	837
	306	48,115	1,630	50,051	176	46,943	1,151	48,270
<b>Securitization liabilities at fair value</b>	–	13,058	–	13,058	–	12,618	–	12,618
<b>Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>								
	–	105,110	21	105,131	–	2	14	16
<b>Obligations related to securities sold short<sup>2</sup></b>	878	28,778	–	29,656	1,142	38,336	–	39,478
<b>Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements</b>	–	2,973	–	2,973	–	3,797	–	3,797

<sup>1</sup> Fair value is the same as carrying value.

<sup>2</sup> Balances reflect the reduction of securities owned (long positions) by the amount of identical securities sold but not yet purchased (short positions).

The Bank's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between the different levels of the fair value hierarchy using the fair values as at the end of each reporting period. Assets are transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 depending on if there is sufficient frequency and volume in an active market.

There were no significant transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the year ended October 31, 2019. During the year ended October 31, 2018, the Bank transferred \$20 million in securities from Non-trading financial assets at FVTPL from Level 1 to Level 2.

#### Movements of Level 3 instruments

Significant transfers into and out of Level 3 occur mainly due to the following reasons:

- Transfers from Level 3 to Level 2 occur when techniques used for valuing the instrument incorporate significant observable market inputs or broker-dealer quotes which were previously not observable.

- Transfers from Level 2 to Level 3 occur when an instrument's fair value, which was previously determined using valuation techniques with significant observable market inputs, is now determined using valuation techniques with significant non-observable inputs.

Due to the unobservable nature of the inputs used to value Level 3 financial instruments, there may be uncertainty about the valuation of these instruments. The fair value of Level 3 instruments may be drawn from a range of reasonably possible alternatives. In determining the appropriate levels for these unobservable inputs, parameters are chosen so that they are consistent with prevailing market evidence and management judgment.

The following tables reconcile changes in fair value of all assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant Level 3 non-observable inputs for the years ended October 31.

#### Reconciliation of Changes in Fair Value for Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Fair value as at November 1 2018		Total realized and unrealized gains (losses)		Movements		Transfers		Fair value as at October 31 2019	Change in unrealized gain (losses) on instruments still held <sup>5</sup>
			Included in income <sup>1</sup>	Included in OCI <sup>2,3</sup>	Purchases/ Issuances	Sales/ Settlements <sup>4</sup>	Into Level 3	Out of Level 3		
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS</b>										
<b>Trading loans, securities, and other</b>										
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>										
Canadian government debt										
Provinces	\$ 3	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (50)	\$ 55	\$ –	\$ 8	\$ –
<b>Other debt securities</b>										
Canadian issuers	1	–	–	–	1	(2)	4	(1)	3	–
Other issuers	16	1	–	–	2	(24)	20	(14)	1	–
	20	1	–	–	3	(76)	79	(15)	12	–
<b>Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss</b>										
Securities	408	97	–	–	317	(329)	–	–	493	20
Loans	19	4	–	–	5	(23)	–	–	5	1
	427	101	–	–	322	(352)	–	–	498	21
<b>Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>										
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>										
Other OECD government guaranteed debt	200	24	–	–	–	(224)	–	–	–	–
<b>Other debt securities</b>										
Asset-backed securities	562	–	–	–	–	–	–	(562)	–	–
Corporate and other debt	24	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	24	–
<b>Equity securities</b>										
Common shares	1,492	–	(3)	31	(13)	–	–	–	1,507	(4)
Preferred shares	135	–	(16)	1	(75)	–	–	(1)	44	(23)
	\$ 2,413	\$ 24	\$ (19)	\$ 32	\$ (312)	\$ –	\$ (563)	\$ 1,575	\$ (27)	
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>										
<b>Trading deposits<sup>6</sup></b>										
	\$ (3,024)	\$ (380)	\$ –	\$ (2,030)	\$ 1,342	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (4,092)	\$ (243)
<b>Derivatives<sup>7</sup></b>										
Interest rate contracts	(63)	(22)	–	–	6	(4)	–	–	(83)	(32)
Foreign exchange contracts	1	–	–	–	–	(5)	3	–	(1)	(1)
Equity contracts	(624)	(472)	–	(127)	298	–	–	–	(925)	(460)
Commodity contracts	27	(33)	–	–	(11)	–	–	–	(17)	(20)
	(659)	(527)	–	(127)	293	(9)	3	–	(1,026)	(513)
<b>Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>										
	(14)	104	–	(187)	76	–	–	–	(21)	65
<b>Obligations related to securities sold short</b>										
	–	–	–	1	–	–	–	(1)	–	–

<sup>1</sup> Gains (losses) on financial assets and liabilities are recognized within Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

<sup>2</sup> Other comprehensive income.

<sup>3</sup> Includes realized gains/losses transferred to retained earnings on disposal of equities designated at FVOCI. Refer to Note 7 for further details.

<sup>4</sup> Includes foreign exchange.

<sup>5</sup> Changes in unrealized gains (losses) on financial assets at FVOCI are recognized in AOCI.

<sup>6</sup> Issuances and repurchases of trading deposits are reported on a gross basis.

<sup>7</sup> As at October 31, 2019, consists of derivative assets of \$0.6 billion (November 1, 2018 – \$0.5 billion) and derivative liabilities of \$1.6 billion (November 1, 2018 – \$1.2 billion), which have been netted on this table for presentation purposes only.

## Reconciliation of Changes in Fair Value for Level 3 Assets and Liabilities<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

(millions of Canadian dollars)		Total realized and unrealized gains (losses)		Movements		Transfers			Change in unrealized gain (losses) on instruments still held <sup>5</sup>
	Fair value as at November 1 2017	Included in income <sup>2</sup>	Included in OCI <sup>3</sup>	Purchases/ Issuances	Sales/ Settlements <sup>4</sup>	Into Level 3	Out of Level 3	Fair value as at October 31 2018	
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS</b>									
<b>Trading loans, securities, and other</b>									
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>									
Canadian government debt									
Provinces	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 1	\$ –	\$ 2	\$ –	\$ 3	\$ –
<b>Other debt securities</b>									
Canadian issuers	6	–	–	–	(4)	1	(2)	1	(1)
Other issuers	8	(5)	–	46	(31)	172	(174)	16	(2)
	14	(5)	–	47	(35)	175	(176)	20	(3)
<b>Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss</b>									
Securities	305	60	–	54	(11)	–	–	408	51
Loans	15	(4)	–	8	–	–	–	19	(4)
	320	56	–	62	(11)	–	–	427	47
<b>Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>									
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>									
Other OECD government guaranteed debt	203	15	(18)	–	–	–	–	200	(18)
<b>Other debt securities</b>									
Asset-backed securities	553	–	(2)	–	11	–	–	562	(2)
Corporate and other debt	95	12	2	–	(85)	–	–	24	2
<b>Equity securities</b>									
Common shares	1,469	–	(5)	23	5	–	–	1,492	(7)
Preferred shares	108	–	27	–	–	–	–	135	26
	\$ 2,428	\$ 27	\$ 4	\$ 23	\$ (69)	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 2,413	\$ 1
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>									
<b>Trading deposits<sup>6</sup></b>									
	\$ (2,521)	\$ 78	\$ –	\$ (1,729)	\$ 1,128	\$ (46)	\$ 66	\$ (3,024)	\$ 122
<b>Derivatives<sup>7</sup></b>									
Interest rate contracts	(70)	10	–	–	(3)	–	–	(63)	6
Foreign exchange contracts	1	–	–	–	1	–	(1)	1	3
Equity contracts	(893)	131	–	(121)	260	–	(1)	(624)	125
Commodity contracts	2	43	–	–	(18)	–	–	27	26
	(960)	184	–	(121)	240	–	(2)	(659)	160
<b>Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>									
	(7)	(14)	–	(117)	124	–	–	(14)	(11)
<b>Obligations related to securities sold short</b>									
	–	–	–	–	4	(4)	–	–	–

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period. The presentation of Financial Liabilities has also been revised to conform with the current period presentation.

<sup>2</sup> Gains (losses) on financial assets and liabilities are recognized within Non-interest income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

<sup>3</sup> Includes realized gains/losses transferred to retained earnings on disposal of equities designated at FVOCI. Refer to Note 7 for further details.

<sup>4</sup> Includes foreign exchange.

<sup>5</sup> Changes in unrealized gains (losses) on financial assets at FVOCI are recognized in AOCI.

<sup>6</sup> Issuances and repurchases of trading deposits are reported on a gross basis.

<sup>7</sup> As at October 31, 2018, consists of derivative assets of \$0.5 billion (November 1, 2017 – \$0.9 billion) and derivative liabilities of \$1.2 billion (November 1, 2017 – \$1.9 billion), which have been netted on this table for presentation purposes only.

## VALUATION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES CLASSIFIED AS LEVEL 3

### Significant unobservable inputs in Level 3 positions

The following section discusses the significant unobservable inputs for Level 3 positions and assesses the potential effect that a change in each unobservable input may have on the fair value measurement.

#### *Price Equivalent*

Certain financial instruments, mainly debt and equity securities, are valued using price equivalents when market prices are not available, with fair value measured by comparison with observable pricing data from instruments with similar characteristics. For debt securities, the price equivalent is expressed in 'points', and represents a percentage of the par amount, and prices at the lower end of the range are generally a result of securities that are written down. For equity securities, the price equivalent is based on a percentage of a proxy price. There may be wide ranges depending on the liquidity of the securities. New issuances of debt and equity securities are priced at 100% of the issue price.

#### *Correlation*

The movements of inputs are not necessarily independent from other inputs. Such relationships, where material to the fair value of a given instrument, are captured via correlation inputs into the pricing models. The Bank includes correlation between the asset class, as well as across asset classes. For example, price correlation is the relationship between prices of equity securities in equity basket derivatives, and quanto correlation is the relationship between instruments which settle in one currency and the underlying securities which are denominated in another currency.

#### *Implied Volatility*

Implied volatility is the value of the volatility of the underlying instrument which, when input in an option pricing model, such as Black-Scholes, will return a theoretical value equal to the current market price of the option. Implied volatility is a forward-looking and subjective measure, and differs from historical volatility because the latter is calculated from known past returns of a security.

#### *Funding Ratio*

The funding ratio is a significant unobservable input required to value loan commitments issued by the Bank. The funding ratio represents an estimate of the percentage of commitments that are ultimately funded by the Bank. The funding ratio is based on a number of factors such as observed historical funding percentages within the various lending channels and the future economic outlook, considering factors including, but not limited to, competitive pricing and fixed/variable mortgage rate gap. An increase/decrease in funding ratio will increase/decrease the value of the lending commitment in relationship to prevailing interest rates.

#### *Earnings Multiple, Discount Rate, and Liquidity Discount*

Earnings multiple, discount rate, and liquidity discount are significant inputs used when valuing certain equity securities and certain retained interests. Earnings multiples are selected based on comparable entities and a higher multiple will result in a higher fair value. Discount rates are applied to cash flow forecasts to reflect time value of money and the risks associated with the cash flows. A higher discount rate will result in a lower fair value. Liquidity discounts may be applied as a result of the difference in liquidity between the comparable entity and the equity securities being valued.

#### *Currency-Specific Swap Curve*

The fair value of foreign exchange contracts is determined using inputs such as foreign exchange spot rates and swap curves. Generally, swap curves are observable, but there may be certain durations or currency-specific foreign exchange spot and currency-specific swap curves that are not observable.

#### *Dividend Yield*

Dividend yield is a key input for valuing equity contracts and is generally expressed as a percentage of the current price of the stock. Dividend yields can be derived from the repo or forward price of the actual stock being fair valued. Spot dividend yields can also be obtained from pricing sources, if it can be demonstrated that spot yields are a good indication of future dividends.

#### *Inflation Rate Swap Curve*

The fair value of inflation rate swap contracts is a swap between the interest rate curve and the inflation index. The inflation rate swap spread is not observable and is determined using proxy inputs such as inflation index rates and Consumer Price Index (CPI) bond yields. Generally, swap curves are observable; however, there may be instances where certain specific swap curves are not observable.

#### *Net Asset Value*

The fair value of certain private funds are based on the net asset value determined by the fund managers based on valuation methodologies, as there are no observable prices for these instruments.

### **Valuation techniques and inputs used in the fair value measurement of Level 3 assets and liabilities**

The following table presents the Bank's assets and liabilities recognized at fair value and classified as Level 3, together with the valuation techniques used to measure fair value, the significant inputs used in the valuation technique that are considered unobservable, and a range of values for those unobservable inputs. The range of values represents the highest and lowest inputs used in calculating the fair value.

**Valuation Techniques and Inputs Used in the Fair Value Measurement of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities**

							As at
			October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018		
	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Lower range	Upper range	Lower range	Upper range	Unit
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>	Market comparable	Bond price equivalent	101	158	76	172	points
<b>Other debt securities</b>	Market comparable	Bond price equivalent	–	113	–	104	points
<b>Equity securities<sup>1</sup></b>	Market comparable	New issue price	100	100	n/a	n/a	%
	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	9	9	6	9	%
	EBITDA multiple	Earnings multiple	3.5	3.5	5.0	20.5	times
	Market comparable	Price equivalent	79	80	84	117	%
<b>Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss</b>	Market comparable	New issue price	100	100	100	100	%
	Discounted cash flow	Discount rates	8	20	8	40	%
	EBITDA multiple	Earnings multiple	1.1	6.7	0.3	5.3	times
	Market comparable	Liquidity Discount	–	–	50	50	%
	Price-based	Net Asset Value <sup>2</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Derivatives</b>							
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>	Swaption model	Currency-specific volatility	27	325	15	346	%
	Discounted cash flow	Inflation rate swap curve	1	2	1	2	%
	Option model	Funding ratio	60	75	65	75	%
<b>Foreign exchange contracts</b>	Option model	Currency-specific volatility	4	12	7	14	%
<b>Equity contracts</b>	Option model	Price correlation	(19)	97	1	96	%
		Quanto correlation	10	68	(65)	68	%
		Dividend yield	–	8	–	8	%
		Equity volatility	7	124	10	105	%
		New issue price	100	100	100	100	%
	Market comparable						
<b>Commodity contracts</b>	Option model	Quanto correlation	(66)	(46)	(66)	(46)	%
		Swaption correlation	44	56	n/a	n/a	%
<b>Trading deposits</b>	Option model	Price correlation	(19)	97	1	96	%
		Quanto correlation	(43)	68	(85)	68	%
		Dividend yield	–	16	–	13	%
		Equity volatility	7	96	8	131	%
	Swaption model	Currency-specific volatility	25	325	15	346	%
<b>Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>	Option model	Funding ratio	2	70	2	70	%

<sup>1</sup> As at October 31, 2019, common shares exclude the fair value of Federal Reserve stock and Federal Home Loan Bank stock of \$1.5 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$1.4 billion) which are redeemable by the issuer at cost which approximates fair value. These securities cannot be traded in the market, hence, these securities have not been subjected to the sensitivity analysis.

<sup>2</sup> Net asset value information for private funds has not been disclosed due to the wide range in prices for these instruments.

The following table summarizes the potential effect of using reasonably possible alternative assumptions for financial assets and financial liabilities held, that are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as at October 31. For interest rate derivatives, the Bank performed a sensitivity analysis on the unobservable implied volatility. For equity derivatives, the sensitivity was calculated by using reasonably possible alternative assumptions by shocking dividends,

correlation, or the price and volatility of the underlying equity instrument. For equity securities at FVOCI, the sensitivity was calculated based on an upward and downward shock of the fair value reported. For trading deposits, the sensitivity was calculated by varying unobservable inputs which may include volatility, credit spreads, and correlation.

### Sensitivity Analysis of Level 3 Financial Assets and Liabilities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		As at October 31, 2018	
	Impact to net assets		Impact to net assets	
	Decrease in fair value	Increase in fair value	Decrease in fair value	Increase in fair value
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS</b>				
<b>Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss</b>				
Securities	\$ 49	\$ 23	\$ 46	\$ 26
Loans	1	1	2	2
	50	24	48	28
<b>Derivatives</b>				
Equity contracts	14	17	16	21
Commodity contracts	–	–	1	1
	14	17	17	22
<b>Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>				
<b>Other debt securities</b>				
Asset-backed securities	–	–	40	40
Corporate and other debt	2	2	2	2
<b>Equity securities</b>				
Common shares	6	3	4	2
Preferred shares	10	4	26	7
	18	9	72	51
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>				
<b>Trading deposits</b>				
	23	32	18	26
<b>Derivatives</b>				
Interest rate contracts	20	14	15	12
Equity contracts	41	35	45	36
	61	49	60	48
<b>Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>				
	2	2	2	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 168</b>	<b>\$ 133</b>	<b>\$ 217</b>	<b>\$ 177</b>

The best evidence of a financial instrument's fair value at initial recognition is its transaction price unless the fair value of the instrument is evidenced by comparison with other observable current market transactions in the same instrument (that is, without modification or repackaging) or based on a valuation technique whose variables include only data from observable markets. Consequently, the difference between the fair value using other observable current market transactions or a valuation technique using observable inputs and the transaction price results in an unrealized gain or loss at initial recognition.

The difference between the transaction price at initial recognition and the value determined at that date using a valuation technique with significant non-observable inputs is not recognized in income

until the significant non-observable inputs in the valuation technique used to value the instruments become observable. The following table summarizes the aggregate difference yet to be recognized in net income due to the difference between the transaction price and the amount determined using valuation techniques with significant non-observable inputs at initial recognition.

(millions of Canadian dollars)	For the years ended October 31	
	2019	2018
Balance as at beginning of year	\$ 14	\$ 19
New transactions	38	25
Recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Income during the year	(37)	(30)
<b>Balance as at end of year</b>	<b>\$ 15</b>	<b>\$ 14</b>

## FINANCIAL ASSETS DESIGNATED AT FAIR VALUE

### Securities Designated at Fair Value through Profit or Loss

Certain securities supporting insurance reserves within the Bank's insurance underwriting subsidiaries have been designated at FVTPL to eliminate or significantly reduce an accounting mismatch. The actuarial valuation of the insurance reserve is measured using a discount factor which is based on the yield of the supporting invested assets, which includes the securities designated at FVTPL, with changes in the discount factor being recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income. The unrealized gains or losses on securities designated at FVTPL are recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income in the same period as gains or losses resulting from changes to the discount rate used to value the insurance liabilities.

In addition, certain debt securities have been designated at FVTPL as they are economically hedged with derivatives and the designation eliminates or significantly reduces an accounting mismatch. The derivatives are carried at fair value, with the change in fair value recognized in non-interest income.

### Fair Value Hierarchy for Assets and Liabilities not carried at Fair Value

The following table presents the levels within the fair value hierarchy for each of the financial assets and liabilities not carried at fair value as at October 31, but for which fair value is disclosed.

### Fair Value Hierarchy for Assets and Liabilities not carried at Fair Value<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				As at October 31, 2018			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
<b>ASSETS</b>								
Debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses								
Government and government-related securities	\$ 169	\$ 78,195	\$ 10	\$ 78,374	\$ 119	\$ 59,828	\$ 1	\$ 59,948
Other debt securities	–	52,368	2	52,370	–	43,826	2,490	46,316
Total debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses	169	130,563	12	130,744	119	103,654	2,491	106,264
Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses	–	221,405	466,749	688,154	–	208,794	433,748	642,542
Total assets with fair value disclosures	\$ 169	\$ 351,968	\$ 466,761	\$ 818,898	\$ 119	\$ 312,448	\$ 436,239	\$ 748,806
<b>LIABILITIES</b>								
Deposits	\$ –	\$ 892,597	\$ –	\$ 892,597	\$ –	\$ 846,148	\$ –	\$ 846,148
Securitization liabilities at amortized cost	–	14,258	–	14,258	–	14,654	–	14,654
Subordinated notes and debentures	–	11,323	–	11,323	–	9,027	–	9,027
Total liabilities with fair value disclosures	\$ –	\$ 918,178	\$ –	\$ 918,178	\$ –	\$ 869,829	\$ –	\$ 869,829

<sup>1</sup> This table excludes financial assets and liabilities where the carrying amount is a reasonable approximation of fair value.

## NOTE 6 OFFSETTING FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

The Bank enters into netting agreements with counterparties (such as clearing houses) to manage the credit risks associated primarily with repurchase and reverse repurchase transactions, securities borrowing and lending, and OTC and exchange-traded derivatives. These netting agreements and similar arrangements generally allow the counterparties to set-off liabilities against available assets received. The right to set-off is a legal right to settle or otherwise eliminate all or a portion of an amount due by applying against that amount an amount receivable from the other party. These agreements effectively reduce the Bank's credit exposure by what it would have been if those same counterparties were liable for the gross exposure on the same underlying contracts.

Netting arrangements are typically constituted by a master netting agreement which specifies the general terms of the agreement between the counterparties, including information on the basis of the netting calculation, types of collateral, and the definition of default and other termination events for transactions executed under the agreement. The master netting agreements contain the terms and conditions by which all (or as many as possible) relevant transactions between the counterparties are governed. Multiple

individual transactions are subsumed under this general master netting agreement, forming a single legal contract under which the counterparties conduct their relevant mutual business. In addition to the mitigation of credit risk, placing individual transactions under a single master netting agreement that provides for netting of transactions in scope also helps to mitigate settlement risks associated with transacting in multiple jurisdictions or across multiple contracts. These arrangements include clearing agreements, global master repurchase agreements, and global master securities lending agreements.

In the normal course of business, the Bank enters into numerous contracts to buy and sell goods and services from various suppliers. Some of these contracts may have netting provisions that allow for the offset of various trade payables and receivables in the event of default of one of the parties. While these are not disclosed in the following table, the gross amount of all payables and receivables to and from the Bank's vendors is disclosed in Note 16 in Accounts receivable and other items, and in Note 18 in Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other items.



The Bank also enters into regular way purchases and sales of stocks and bonds. Some of these transactions may have netting provisions that allow for the offset of broker payables and broker receivables related to these purchases and sales. While these are not disclosed in the following table, the amount of receivables are disclosed in Amounts receivable from brokers, dealers, and clients and payables are disclosed in Amounts payable to brokers, dealers, and clients.

The following table provides a summary of the financial assets and liabilities which are subject to enforceable master netting agreements and similar arrangements, including amounts not otherwise set off in

the Consolidated Balance Sheet, as well as financial collateral received to mitigate credit exposures for these financial assets and liabilities. The gross financial assets and liabilities are reconciled to the net amounts presented within the associated line in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, after giving effect to transactions with the same counterparties that have been offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Related amounts and collateral received that are not offset on the Consolidated Balance Sheet, but are otherwise subject to the same enforceable netting agreements and similar arrangements, are then presented to arrive at a net amount.

### Offsetting Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

As at						
October 31, 2019						
	Gross amounts of recognized financial instruments before balance sheet netting	Gross amounts of recognized financial instruments offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net amount of financial instruments presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Amounts subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement that are not offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet <sup>1,2</sup>		
				Amounts subject to an enforceable master netting agreement	Collateral	Net Amount
<b>Financial Assets</b>						
Derivatives	\$ 55,973	\$ 7,079	\$ 48,894	\$ 32,664	\$ 8,840	\$ 7,390
Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	180,054	14,119	165,935	14,430	141,903	9,602
<b>Total</b>	<b>236,027</b>	<b>21,198</b>	<b>214,829</b>	<b>47,094</b>	<b>150,743</b>	<b>16,992</b>
<b>Financial Liabilities</b>						
Derivatives	57,130	7,079	50,051	32,664	17,387	–
Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements	139,975	14,119	125,856	14,430	110,995	431
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 197,105</b>	<b>\$ 21,198</b>	<b>\$ 175,907</b>	<b>\$ 47,094</b>	<b>\$ 128,382</b>	<b>\$ 431</b>
October 31, 2018						
<b>Financial Assets</b>						
Derivatives	\$ 59,661	\$ 2,665	\$ 56,996	\$ 34,205	\$ 11,678	\$ 11,113
Securities purchased under reverse repurchase agreements	157,832	30,453	127,379	7,452	119,797	130
<b>Total</b>	<b>217,493</b>	<b>33,118</b>	<b>184,375</b>	<b>41,657</b>	<b>131,475</b>	<b>11,243</b>
<b>Financial Liabilities</b>						
Derivatives	50,935	2,665	48,270	34,205	12,127	1,938
Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements	123,842	30,453	93,389	7,452	85,793	144
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 174,777</b>	<b>\$ 33,118</b>	<b>\$ 141,659</b>	<b>\$ 41,657</b>	<b>\$ 97,920</b>	<b>\$ 2,082</b>

<sup>1</sup> Excess collateral as a result of overcollateralization has not been reflected in the table.

<sup>2</sup> Includes amounts where the contractual set-off rights are subject to uncertainty under the laws of the relevant jurisdiction.

**Remaining Terms to Maturities of Securities**

The remaining terms to contractual maturities of the securities held by the Bank are shown on the following table.

**Securities Maturity Schedule**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

							As at	
							October 31 2019	October 31 2018
	Remaining terms to maturities <sup>1</sup>						Total	Total
	Within 1 year	Over 1 year to 3 years	Over 3 years to 5 years	Over 5 years to 10 years	Over 10 years	With no specific maturity		
<b>Trading securities</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 4,159	\$ 3,212	\$ 1,219	\$ 1,519	\$ 807	\$ –	\$ 10,916	\$ 14,462
Provinces	1,979	982	1,017	1,381	3,159	–	8,518	7,538
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	2,417	8,140	3,105	2,085	3,386	–	19,133	19,732
Other OECD government-guaranteed debt	1,202	794	961	868	307	–	4,132	3,324
Mortgage-backed securities								
Residential	474	676	453	–	–	–	1,603	1,946
Commercial	24	–	50	69	–	–	143	83
	10,255	13,804	6,805	5,922	7,659	–	44,445	47,085
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Canadian issuers	694	1,177	1,412	1,190	659	–	5,132	5,631
Other issuers	3,010	5,926	2,909	1,273	430	–	13,548	14,475
	3,704	7,103	4,321	2,463	1,089	–	18,680	20,106
<b>Equity securities</b>								
Common shares	–	–	–	–	–	56,119	56,119	43,752
Preferred shares	–	–	–	–	–	57	57	59
	–	–	–	–	–	56,176	56,176	43,811
<b>Retained interests</b>								
	–	3	8	8	–	–	19	25
<b>Total trading securities</b>	<b>\$ 13,959</b>	<b>\$ 20,910</b>	<b>\$ 11,134</b>	<b>\$ 8,393</b>	<b>\$ 8,748</b>	<b>\$ 56,176</b>	<b>\$ 119,320</b>	<b>\$ 111,027</b>
<b>Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 148	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 16	\$ –	\$ 164	\$ 45
Provinces	143	6	107	33	99	–	388	454
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	–	67	–	–	–	–	67	127
Other OECD government-guaranteed debt	697	9	88	–	–	–	794	771
	988	82	195	33	115	–	1,413	1,397
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Canadian issuers	24	564	764	529	7	–	1,888	1,609
Other issuers	200	285	239	15	–	–	739	612
	224	849	1,003	544	7	–	2,627	2,221
<b>Total financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss</b>	<b>\$ 1,212</b>	<b>\$ 931</b>	<b>\$ 1,198</b>	<b>\$ 577</b>	<b>\$ 122</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 4,040</b>	<b>\$ 3,618</b>
<b>Securities at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 4,165	\$ 4,104	\$ 283	\$ 607	\$ 504	\$ –	\$ 9,663	\$ 12,731
Provinces	1,168	2,255	2,199	7,091	214	–	12,927	9,507
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	7,798	19,533	3,188	3,002	7,216	–	40,737	45,766
Other OECD government-guaranteed debt	5,162	8,524	250	471	–	–	14,407	20,096
Mortgage-backed securities	907	4,370	160	–	–	–	5,437	6,633
	19,200	38,786	6,080	11,171	7,934	–	83,171	94,733
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Asset-backed securities	61	4,188	4,490	2,490	4,659	–	15,888	21,969
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio	–	–	–	–	247	–	247	472
Corporate and other debt	1,021	4,016	895	1,879	23	–	7,834	8,507
	1,082	8,204	5,385	4,369	4,929	–	23,969	30,948
<b>Equity securities</b>								
Common shares	–	–	–	–	–	1,598	1,598	1,804
Preferred shares	–	–	–	–	–	242	242	370
	–	–	–	–	–	1,840	1,840	2,174
<b>Total securities at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>	<b>\$ 20,282</b>	<b>\$ 46,990</b>	<b>\$ 11,465</b>	<b>\$ 15,540</b>	<b>\$ 12,863</b>	<b>\$ 1,840</b>	<b>\$ 108,980</b>	<b>\$ 127,855</b>

<sup>1</sup> Represents contractual maturities. Actual maturities may differ due to prepayment privileges in the applicable contract.

**Securities Maturity Schedule** (continued)

(millions of Canadian dollars)

							As at	
							October 31	October 31
							2019	2018
	Remaining terms to maturities <sup>1</sup>						Total	Total
	Within 1 year	Over 1 year to 3 years	Over 3 years to 5 years	Over 5 years to 10 years	Over 10 years	With no specific maturity		
<b>Debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 992	\$ 515	\$ 872	\$ 435	\$ 1,957	\$ –	\$ 4,771	\$ 4,922
Provinces	–	40	766	1,243	222	–	2,271	782
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	1,365	3,744	9,286	12,173	16,646	–	43,214	29,148
Other OECD government guaranteed debt	7,161	10,138	9,512	1,208	–	–	28,019	25,683
	9,518	14,437	20,436	15,059	18,825	–	78,275	60,535
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Asset-backed securities	11	5,053	8,950	4,049	10,700	–	28,763	23,709
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio	–	–	–	–	16,236	–	16,236	15,867
Canadian issuers	–	–	–	99	–	–	99	–
Other issuers	1,649	2,454	2,601	418	2	–	7,124	7,060
	1,660	7,507	11,551	4,566	26,938	–	52,222	46,636
<b>Total debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses</b>	11,178	21,944	31,987	19,625	45,763	–	130,497	107,171
<b>Total securities</b>	\$ 46,631	\$ 90,775	\$ 55,784	\$ 44,135	\$ 67,496	\$ 58,016	\$ 362,837	\$ 349,671

<sup>1</sup> Represents contractual maturities. Actual maturities may differ due to prepayment privileges in the applicable contract.

**Unrealized Securities Gains (Losses)**

The following table summarizes the unrealized gains and losses as at October 31.

**Unrealized Securities Gains (Losses) for Securities at Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Cost/amortized cost <sup>1</sup>	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized (losses)	Fair value	Cost/amortized cost <sup>1</sup>	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized (losses)	Fair value
<b>Securities at Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income</b>								
<b>Government and government-related securities</b>								
Canadian government debt								
Federal	\$ 9,603	\$ 62	\$ (2)	\$ 9,663	\$ 12,740	\$ 38	\$ (47)	\$ 12,731
Provinces	12,890	77	(40)	12,927	9,443	75	(11)	9,507
U.S. federal, state, municipal governments, and agencies debt	40,703	86	(52)	40,737	45,857	265	(356)	45,766
Other OECD government-guaranteed debt	14,394	21	(8)	14,407	20,034	65	(3)	20,096
Mortgage-backed securities	5,407	31	(1)	5,437	6,575	59	(1)	6,633
	82,997	277	(103)	83,171	94,649	502	(418)	94,733
<b>Other debt securities</b>								
Asset-backed securities	15,890	29	(31)	15,888	21,901	87	(19)	21,969
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation portfolio	247	–	–	247	471	1	–	472
Corporate and other debt	7,832	27	(25)	7,834	8,534	31	(58)	8,507
	23,969	56	(56)	23,969	30,906	119	(77)	30,948
<b>Total debt securities</b>	106,966	333	(159)	107,140	125,555	621	(495)	125,681
<b>Equity securities</b>								
Common shares	1,594	31	(27)	1,598	1,725	118	(39)	1,804
Preferred shares	302	4	(64)	242	376	20	(26)	370
	1,896	35	(91)	1,840	2,101	138	(65)	2,174
<b>Total securities at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>	\$ 108,862	\$ 368	\$ (250)	\$ 108,980	\$ 127,656	\$ 759	\$ (560)	\$ 127,855

<sup>1</sup> Includes the foreign exchange translation of amortized cost balances at the period-end spot rate.

### Equity Securities Designated at Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income

The Bank designated certain equity securities shown in the following table as equity securities at FVOCI. The designation was made because the investments are held for purposes other than trading.

### Equity Securities Designated at Fair Value Through Other Comprehensive Income

	As at		For the year ended	
	October 31, 2019	October 31, 2018	October 31, 2019	October 31, 2018
		Fair value		Dividend income recognized
Common shares	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,804	\$ 64	\$ 71
Preferred shares	242	370	15	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,840</b>	<b>\$ 2,174</b>	<b>\$ 79</b>	<b>\$ 87</b>

The Bank disposed of certain equity securities in line with the Bank's investment strategy with a fair value of \$323 million during the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$22 million). The Bank realized a cumulative gain (loss) of \$68 million during the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$2 million), on disposal of these equity securities and recognized dividend income of \$3 million during the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – nil).

### Net Securities Gains (Losses)

	For the year ended	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Debt securities at amortized cost</b>		
Net realized gains (losses)	\$ 49	\$ 76
<b>Debt securities at fair value through other comprehensive income</b>		
Net realized gains (losses)	29	35
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 78</b>	<b>\$ 111</b>

### Credit Quality of Debt Securities

The Bank evaluates non-retail credit risk on an individual borrower basis, using both a BRR and FRR, as detailed in the shaded area of the "Managing Risk" section of the 2019 MD&A. This system is used to assess all non-retail exposures, including debt securities.

The following table provides the gross carrying amounts of debt securities measured at amortized cost and debt securities at FVOCI by internal risk ratings for credit risk management purposes, presenting separately those debt securities that are subject to Stage 1, Stage 2, and Stage 3 allowances.

### Debt Securities by Risk Ratings

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
<b>Debt securities</b>								
Investment grade	\$ 235,475	\$ –	\$ n/a	\$ 235,475	\$ 230,488	\$ –	\$ n/a	\$ 230,488
Non-Investment grade	2,109	54	n/a	2,163	2,140	54	n/a	2,194
Watch and classified	n/a	–	n/a	–	n/a	11	n/a	11
Default	n/a	n/a	–	–	n/a	n/a	234	234
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>237,584</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>237,638</b>	<b>232,628</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>232,927</b>
<b>Allowance for credit losses on debt securities at amortized cost</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Debt securities, net of allowance</b>	<b>\$ 237,583</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 237,637</b>	<b>\$ 232,627</b>	<b>\$ 61</b>	<b>\$ 164</b>	<b>\$ 232,852</b>

As at October 31, 2019, the allowance for credit losses on debt securities was \$4 million (October 31, 2018 – \$80 million), comprised of \$1 million (October 31, 2018 – \$75 million) for debt securities at amortized cost (DSAC) and \$3 million (October 31, 2018 – \$5 million) for debt securities at FVOCI. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank reported a provision (recovery) for credit losses of \$1 million (October 31, 2018 – provision (recovery) of credit losses of \$(2) million) on DSAC. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank reported a

provision (recovery) of credit losses of \$(2) million (October 31, 2018 – provision (recovery) for credit losses of \$10 million) on debt securities at FVOCI.

The difference between probability-weighted ECL and base ECL on debt securities at FVOCI and at amortized cost as at both October 31, 2019 and October 31, 2018, was insignificant. Refer to Note 3 for further details.

**Credit Quality of Loans**

In the retail portfolio, including individuals and small businesses, the Bank manages exposures on a pooled basis, using predictive credit scoring techniques. For non-retail exposures, each borrower is assigned a BRR that reflects the PD of the borrower using proprietary industry and sector-specific risk models and expert judgment. Refer to the shaded areas of the “Managing Risk” section of the 2019 MD&A for further details, as well as the mapping of PD ranges to risk levels for

retail exposures and the Bank’s 21-point BRR scale to risk levels and external ratings for non-retail exposures.

The following tables provide the gross carrying amounts of loans and credit risk exposures on loan commitments and financial guarantee contracts by internal risk ratings for credit risk management purposes, presenting separately those that are subject to Stage 1, Stage 2, and Stage 3 allowances.

**Loans by Risk Ratings<sup>1</sup>**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				As at October 31, 2018			
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
<b>Residential mortgages<sup>2,3,4</sup></b>								
Low Risk	\$ 181,748	\$ 77	\$ n/a	\$ 181,825	\$ 168,690	\$ 32	\$ n/a	\$ 168,722
Normal Risk	43,988	248	n/a	44,236	47,821	176	n/a	47,997
Medium Risk	5,817	433	n/a	6,250	5,106	267	n/a	5,373
High Risk	964	1,454	366	2,784	892	1,264	317	2,473
Default	n/a	n/a	545	545	n/a	n/a	626	626
<b>Total</b>	<b>232,517</b>	<b>2,212</b>	<b>911</b>	<b>235,640</b>	<b>222,509</b>	<b>1,739</b>	<b>943</b>	<b>225,191</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Loans, net of allowance</b>	<b>232,489</b>	<b>2,186</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>235,530</b>	<b>222,485</b>	<b>1,705</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>225,081</b>
<b>Consumer instalment and other personal<sup>5</sup></b>								
Low Risk	92,601	953	n/a	93,554	87,906	983	n/a	88,889
Normal Risk	46,878	973	n/a	47,851	48,008	1,190	n/a	49,198
Medium Risk	27,576	879	n/a	28,455	23,008	1,063	n/a	24,071
High Risk	6,971	2,435	618	10,024	6,158	2,386	817	9,361
Default	n/a	n/a	450	450	n/a	n/a	560	560
<b>Total</b>	<b>174,026</b>	<b>5,240</b>	<b>1,068</b>	<b>180,334</b>	<b>165,080</b>	<b>5,622</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>172,079</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses</b>	<b>690</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>1,249</b>	<b>574</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>1,103</b>
<b>Loans, net of allowance</b>	<b>173,336</b>	<b>4,856</b>	<b>893</b>	<b>179,085</b>	<b>164,506</b>	<b>5,273</b>	<b>1,197</b>	<b>170,976</b>
<b>Credit card</b>								
Low Risk	7,188	48	n/a	7,236	7,234	11	n/a	7,245
Normal Risk	10,807	82	n/a	10,889	9,780	66	n/a	9,846
Medium Risk	11,218	275	n/a	11,493	11,347	246	n/a	11,593
High Risk	4,798	1,670	355	6,823	4,435	1,445	333	6,213
Default	n/a	n/a	123	123	n/a	n/a	121	121
<b>Total</b>	<b>34,011</b>	<b>2,075</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>36,564</b>	<b>32,796</b>	<b>1,768</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>35,018</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>1,575</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>1,003</b>
<b>Loans, net of allowance</b>	<b>33,279</b>	<b>1,554</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>34,989</b>	<b>32,417</b>	<b>1,485</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>34,015</b>
<b>Business and government<sup>2,3,4,6</sup></b>								
Investment grade or Low/Normal Risk	120,940	153	n/a	121,093	118,414	57	n/a	118,471
Non-Investment grade or Medium Risk	119,256	5,298	n/a	124,554	108,678	5,272	n/a	113,950
Watch and classified or High Risk	951	4,649	158	5,758	666	3,746	97	4,509
Default	n/a	n/a	730	730	n/a	n/a	736	736
<b>Total</b>	<b>241,147</b>	<b>10,100</b>	<b>888</b>	<b>252,135</b>	<b>227,758</b>	<b>9,075</b>	<b>833</b>	<b>237,666</b>
<b>Allowance for loan losses</b>	<b>672</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>1,513</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>1,333</b>
<b>Loans, net of allowance</b>	<b>240,475</b>	<b>9,452</b>	<b>695</b>	<b>250,622</b>	<b>227,107</b>	<b>8,524</b>	<b>702</b>	<b>236,333</b>
<b>Total loans<sup>6,7</sup></b>	<b>681,701</b>	<b>19,627</b>	<b>3,345</b>	<b>704,673</b>	<b>648,143</b>	<b>18,204</b>	<b>3,607</b>	<b>669,954</b>
<b>Total Allowance for loan losses<sup>7</sup></b>	<b>2,122</b>	<b>1,579</b>	<b>746</b>	<b>4,447</b>	<b>1,628</b>	<b>1,217</b>	<b>704</b>	<b>3,549</b>
<b>Total loans, net of allowance<sup>6,7</sup></b>	<b>\$ 679,579</b>	<b>\$ 18,048</b>	<b>\$ 2,599</b>	<b>\$ 700,226</b>	<b>\$ 646,515</b>	<b>\$ 16,987</b>	<b>\$ 2,903</b>	<b>\$ 666,405</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> As at October 31, 2019, impaired loans with a balance of \$127 million (October 31, 2018 – \$124 million) did not have a related allowance for loan losses. An allowance was not required for these loans as the balance relates to loans where the realizable value of the collateral exceeded the loan amount.

<sup>3</sup> As at October 31, 2019, excludes trading loans and non-trading loans at FVTPL with a fair value of \$12 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$11 billion) and \$2 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$1 billion), respectively.

<sup>4</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes insured mortgages of \$88 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$95 billion).

<sup>5</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes Canadian government-insured real estate personal loans of \$13 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$14 billion).

<sup>6</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes loans that are measured at FVOCI of \$2 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$3 billion) and customers’ liability under acceptances of \$13 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$17 billion).

<sup>7</sup> As at October 31, 2019, Stage 3 includes ACI loans of \$313 million (October 31, 2018 – \$453 million) and a related allowance for loan losses of \$12 million (October 31, 2018 – \$18 million), which have been included in the “Default” risk rating category as they were impaired at acquisition.

## Loans by Risk Ratings – Off-Balance Sheet Credit Instruments<sup>1,2</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Total
<b>Retail Exposures<sup>3</sup></b>								
Low Risk	\$ 227,757	\$ 732	\$ n/a	\$ 228,489	\$ 236,456	\$ 1,007	\$ n/a	\$ 237,463
Normal Risk	67,245	570	n/a	67,815	50,116	654	n/a	50,770
Medium Risk	13,204	277	n/a	13,481	12,005	349	n/a	12,354
High Risk	1,869	854	–	2,723	1,423	986	–	2,409
Default	n/a	n/a	–	–	n/a	n/a	–	–
<b>Non-Retail Exposures<sup>4</sup></b>								
Investment grade	179,650	–	n/a	179,650	166,769	–	n/a	166,769
Non-Investment grade	64,553	3,397	n/a	67,950	61,763	1,957	n/a	63,720
Watch and classified	2	2,126	–	2,128	–	2,004	–	2,004
Default	n/a	n/a	108	108	n/a	n/a	96	96
<b>Total off-balance sheet credit instruments</b>	<b>554,280</b>	<b>7,956</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>562,344</b>	<b>528,532</b>	<b>6,957</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>535,585</b>
<b>Allowance for off-balance sheet credit instruments</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>585</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1,029</b>
<b>Total off-balance sheet credit instruments, net of allowance</b>	<b>\$ 553,987</b>	<b>\$ 7,679</b>	<b>\$ 93</b>	<b>\$ 561,759</b>	<b>\$ 527,982</b>	<b>\$ 6,480</b>	<b>\$ 94</b>	<b>\$ 534,556</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been recast to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Exclude mortgage commitments.

<sup>3</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes \$311 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$302 billion) of personal lines of credit and credit card lines, which are unconditionally cancellable at the Bank's discretion at any time.

<sup>4</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes \$41 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$37 billion) of the undrawn component of uncommitted credit and liquidity facilities.

The following table presents information related to the Bank's impaired loans as at October 31.

## Impaired Loans<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Unpaid principal balance <sup>2</sup>	Carrying value	Related allowance for credit losses	Average gross impaired loans	Unpaid principal balance <sup>2</sup>	Carrying value	Related allowance for credit losses	Average gross impaired loans
Residential mortgages	\$ 788	\$ 724	\$ 53	\$ 698	\$ 776	\$ 709	\$ 47	\$ 726
Consumer instalment and other personal	1,159	1,037	173	1,160	1,465	1,331	178	1,325
Credit card	478	478	322	465	454	454	341	422
Business and government	870	793	186	906	726	660	120	580
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,295</b>	<b>\$ 3,032</b>	<b>\$ 734</b>	<b>\$ 3,229</b>	<b>\$ 3,421</b>	<b>\$ 3,154</b>	<b>\$ 686</b>	<b>\$ 3,053</b>

<sup>1</sup> Balances exclude ACI loans.

<sup>2</sup> Represents contractual amount of principal owed.

The changes to the Bank's allowance for loan losses, as at and for the year ended October 31 are shown in the following tables.

## Allowance for Loan Losses<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	2019				2018			
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3 <sup>2</sup>	Total	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3 <sup>2</sup>	Total
<b>Residential Mortgages</b>								
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 24	\$ 34	\$ 52	\$ 110	\$ 24	\$ 26	\$ 57	\$ 107
Provision for credit losses								
Transfer to Stage 1 <sup>3</sup>	35	(33)	(2)	–	24	(23)	(1)	–
Transfer to Stage 2	(5)	13	(8)	–	(4)	8	(4)	–
Transfer to Stage 3	(2)	(8)	10	–	–	(9)	9	–
Net remeasurement due to transfers <sup>4</sup>	(16)	6	–	(10)	(14)	6	–	(8)
New originations or purchases <sup>5</sup>	14	n/a	n/a	14	14	n/a	n/a	14
Net repayments <sup>6</sup>	–	(1)	–	(1)	(1)	(1)	(5)	(7)
Derecognition of financial assets (excluding disposals and write-offs) <sup>7</sup>	(4)	(5)	(17)	(26)	(3)	(2)	(4)	(9)
Changes to risk, parameters, and models <sup>8</sup>	(18)	20	49	51	(16)	29	24	37
Disposals	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Write-offs	–	–	(31)	(31)	–	–	(31)	(31)
Recoveries	–	–	1	1	–	–	3	3
Foreign exchange and other adjustments	–	–	2	2	–	–	4	4
Balance at end of period	\$ 28	\$ 26	\$ 56	\$ 110	\$ 24	\$ 34	\$ 52	\$ 110
<b>Consumer Instalment and Other Personal</b>								
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, at beginning of period	\$ 599	\$ 392	\$ 180	\$ 1,171	\$ 529	\$ 355	\$ 171	\$ 1,055
Provision for credit losses								
Transfer to Stage 1 <sup>3</sup>	352	(333)	(19)	–	303	(285)	(18)	–
Transfer to Stage 2	(121)	164	(43)	–	(114)	152	(38)	–
Transfer to Stage 3	(15)	(164)	179	–	(21)	(172)	193	–
Net remeasurement due to transfers <sup>4</sup>	(149)	160	11	22	(125)	139	11	25
New originations or purchases <sup>5</sup>	326	n/a	n/a	326	322	n/a	n/a	322
Net repayments <sup>6</sup>	(88)	(30)	(12)	(130)	(49)	(24)	(15)	(88)
Derecognition of financial assets (excluding disposals and write-offs) <sup>7</sup>	(81)	(71)	(49)	(201)	(126)	(97)	(45)	(268)
Changes to risk, parameters, and models <sup>8</sup>	(105)	298	893	1,086	(127)	321	744	938
Disposals	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Write-offs	–	–	(1,220)	(1,220)	–	–	(1,077)	(1,077)
Recoveries	–	–	254	254	–	–	253	253
Foreign exchange and other adjustments	(1)	1	1	1	7	3	1	11
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, at end of period	717	417	175	1,309	599	392	180	1,171
Less: Allowance for off-balance sheet instruments <sup>9</sup>	27	33	–	60	25	43	–	68
Balance at end of period	\$ 690	\$ 384	\$ 175	\$ 1,249	\$ 574	\$ 349	\$ 180	\$ 1,103
<b>Credit Card<sup>10</sup></b>								
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, at beginning of period	\$ 819	\$ 580	\$ 341	\$ 1,740	\$ 763	\$ 521	\$ 321	\$ 1,605
Provision for credit losses								
Transfer to Stage 1 <sup>3</sup>	705	(623)	(82)	–	590	(521)	(69)	–
Transfer to Stage 2	(224)	288	(64)	–	(192)	259	(67)	–
Transfer to Stage 3	(30)	(563)	593	–	(38)	(475)	513	–
Net remeasurement due to transfers <sup>4</sup>	(240)	314	41	115	(209)	249	63	103
New originations or purchases <sup>5</sup>	144	n/a	n/a	144	171	n/a	n/a	171
Net repayments <sup>6</sup>	92	3	(22)	73	125	(51)	39	113
Derecognition of financial assets (excluding disposals and write-offs) <sup>7</sup>	(96)	(107)	(439)	(642)	(102)	(106)	(371)	(579)
Changes to risk, parameters, and models <sup>8</sup>	(236)	781	1,356	1,901	(276)	705	1,168	1,597
Disposals	–	–	–	–	(21)	(12)	(8)	(41)
Write-offs	–	–	(1,699)	(1,699)	–	–	(1,515)	(1,515)
Recoveries	–	–	297	297	–	–	260	260
Foreign exchange and other adjustments	–	–	–	–	8	11	7	26
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, at end of period	934	673	322	1,929	819	580	341	1,740
Less: Allowance for off-balance sheet instruments <sup>9</sup>	202	152	–	354	440	297	–	737
Balance at end of period	\$ 732	\$ 521	\$ 322	\$ 1,575	\$ 379	\$ 283	\$ 341	\$ 1,003

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Includes allowance for loan losses related to ACI loans.

<sup>3</sup> Transfers represent stage transfer movements prior to ECL remeasurement.

<sup>4</sup> Represents the remeasurement between twelve-month and lifetime ECLs due to stage transfers, excluding the change to risk, parameters, and models.

<sup>5</sup> Represents the increase in the allowance resulting from loans that were newly originated, purchased, or renewed.

<sup>6</sup> Represents the changes in the allowance related to cash flow changes associated with new draws or repayments on loans outstanding.

<sup>7</sup> Represents the decrease in the allowance resulting from loans that were fully repaid and excludes the decrease associated with loans that were disposed or fully written off.

<sup>8</sup> Represents the change in the allowance related to changes in risk including changes to macroeconomic factors, level of risk, associated parameters, and models.

<sup>9</sup> The allowance for loan losses for off-balance sheet instruments is recorded in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

<sup>10</sup> Credit cards are considered impaired and migrate to Stage 3 when they are 90 days past due and written off at 180 days past due. Refer to Note 2 for further details.

**Allowance for Loan Losses** (continued)<sup>1,2</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	2019				2018			
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3 <sup>3</sup>	Total	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3 <sup>3</sup>	Total
<b>Business and Government</b>								
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, as beginning of period	\$ 736	\$ 688	\$ 133	\$ 1,557	\$ 706	\$ 627	\$ 192	\$ 1,525
Provision for credit losses								
Transfer to Stage 1 <sup>4</sup>	214	(210)	(4)	–	133	(129)	(4)	–
Transfer to Stage 2	(127)	138	(11)	–	(106)	114	(8)	–
Transfer to Stage 3	(18)	(136)	154	–	(6)	(56)	62	–
Net remeasurement due to transfers <sup>4</sup>	(89)	115	2	28	(38)	68	5	35
New originations or purchases <sup>4</sup>	451	n/a	n/a	451	467	n/a	n/a	467
Net repayments <sup>4</sup>	(9)	(35)	(42)	(86)	(4)	(26)	(27)	(57)
Derecognition of financial assets (excluding disposals and write-offs) <sup>4</sup>	(340)	(382)	(85)	(807)	(338)	(365)	(57)	(760)
Changes to risk, parameters, and models <sup>4</sup>	(83)	564	241	722	(89)	447	68	426
Disposals	–	(3)	–	(3)	–	–	(5)	(5)
Write-offs	–	–	(228)	(228)	–	–	(155)	(155)
Recoveries	–	–	57	57	–	–	73	73
Foreign exchange and other adjustments	1	1	(9)	(7)	11	8	(11)	8
Balance, including off-balance sheet instruments, at end of period	736	740	208	1,684	736	688	133	1,557
Less: Allowance for off-balance sheet instruments <sup>5</sup>	64	92	15	171	85	137	2	224
Balance at end of period	672	648	193	1,513	651	551	131	1,333
<b>Total Allowance for Loan Losses at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 2,122</b>	<b>\$ 1,579</b>	<b>\$ 746</b>	<b>\$ 4,447</b>	<b>\$ 1,628</b>	<b>\$ 1,217</b>	<b>\$ 704</b>	<b>\$ 3,549</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Includes the allowance for loan losses related to customers' liability under acceptances.

<sup>3</sup> Includes allowance for loan losses related to ACI loans.

<sup>4</sup> For explanations regarding this line item, refer to the "Allowance for Loan Losses" table on the previous page in this Note.

<sup>5</sup> The allowance for loan losses for off-balance sheet instruments is recorded in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The allowance for credit losses on all remaining financial assets is not significant.

**FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

Relevant macroeconomic factors are incorporated in the risk parameters as appropriate. Additional macroeconomic factors that are industry-specific or segment-specific are also incorporated where relevant. The key macroeconomic variables that are incorporated in determining ECLs include regional unemployment rates for all retail exposures and regional housing price index for residential mortgages and home equity lines of credit. For business and government loans, the key macroeconomic variables include gross domestic product, unemployment rates, interest rates, and credit spreads. Refer to Note 2 for a discussion on how forward-looking information is considered in determining whether there has been a significant increase in credit risk and in the measurement of ECLs.

Forward-looking macroeconomic forecasts are generated by TD Economics as part of the ECL process: A base economic forecast

is accompanied with upside and downside estimates of realistically possible economic conditions. All economic forecasts are updated quarterly for each variable on a regional basis where applicable and incorporated as relevant into the quarterly modelling of base, upside and downside risk parameters used in the calculation of ECL scenarios and probability-weighted ECL. The macroeconomic variable estimations are statistically derived relative to the base forecast based on the historical distribution of each variable.

Select macroeconomic variables are projected over the forecast period, and they could have a material impact in determining ECLs. As the forecast period increases, information about the future becomes less readily available and projections are anchored on assumptions around structural relationships between economic parameters that are inherently much less certain. The following table represents the average values of the macroeconomic variables over the next twelve months and the remaining 4-year forecast period for the base, upside, and downside forecasts.



## Macroeconomic Variables

	As at					
	October 31, 2019					
	Base Forecasts		Upside		Downside	
	Next 12 months <sup>1</sup>	Remaining 4-year period <sup>1</sup>	Next 12 months <sup>1</sup>	Remaining 4-year period <sup>1</sup>	Next 12 months <sup>1</sup>	Remaining 4-year period <sup>1</sup>
Unemployment rate						
Canada	5.8%	5.8%	5.7%	5.2%	6.8%	8.0%
United States	3.8	4.1	3.6	3.5	4.9	6.1
Real gross domestic product (GDP) <sup>2</sup>						
Canada	1.6	1.8	1.8	2.2	0.6	0.3
United States	1.9	1.8	2.0	2.1	0.7	0.2
Home prices <sup>2</sup>						
Canada (average home price) <sup>3</sup>	7.1	2.7	8.9	5.9	2.7	(3.5)
United States (CoreLogic HPI) <sup>4</sup>	3.6	3.6	4.4	5.0	2.4	1.7
Central bank policy interest rate						
Canada	1.31	1.53	1.75	2.16	0.75	0.63
United States	1.75	2.20	2.00	2.86	1.06	1.00
U.S. 10-year treasury yield	1.76	2.50	2.25	3.44	1.32	1.79
U.S. 10-year BBB spread	1.80	1.80	1.73	1.59	1.96	2.19
Exchange rate (U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar)	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.69

October 31, 2018						
Unemployment rate						
Canada	6.0%	6.0%	5.8%	5.5%	6.7%	7.6%
United States	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.4	4.3	6.1
Real gross domestic product (GDP) <sup>2</sup>						
Canada	2.3	1.7	2.6	2.2	1.6	1.0
United States	2.9	1.8	3.1	2.1	2.6	1.0
Home prices <sup>2</sup>						
Canada (average home price) <sup>3</sup>	3.4	3.4	4.5	5.0	0.9	0.2
United States (CoreLogic HPI) <sup>4</sup>	5.1	4.0	5.4	4.8	4.1	2.4
Central bank policy interest rate						
Canada	1.88	2.47	2.00	3.00	1.69	1.75
United States	2.88	2.97	3.31	3.75	2.38	2.22
U.S. 10-year treasury yield	3.20	3.13	4.46	4.43	2.71	2.31
U.S. 10-year BBB spread	1.80	1.80	1.71	1.55	1.87	2.06
Exchange rate (U.S. dollar/Canadian dollar)	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.75

<sup>1</sup> The numbers represent average values for the quoted periods.

<sup>2</sup> The numbers represent annual % change.

<sup>3</sup> The average home price is the average transacted sale price of homes sold via the Multiple Listing Service (MLS); data is collected by the Canadian Real Estate Association (CREA).

<sup>4</sup> The CoreLogic home price index (HPI) is a repeat-sales index which tracks increases and decreases in the same home's sales price over time.

## SENSITIVITY OF ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES

The allowance for credit losses is sensitive to the inputs used in internally developed models, macroeconomic variables in the forward-looking forecasts and respective probability weightings in determining the probability-weighted ECL, and other factors considered when applying expert credit judgment. Changes in these inputs, assumptions, models, and judgments would have an impact on the assessment for significant increase in credit risk and the measurement of ECLs.

The following table presents the base ECL scenario compared to the probability-weighted ECL derived from using three ECL scenarios for performing loans and off-balance sheet instruments. The difference reflects the impact of deriving multiple scenarios around the base ECL and resultant change in ECL due to non-linearity and sensitivity to using macroeconomic forecasts.

### Change from Base to Probability-Weighted ECL<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)	As at	
	October 31, 2019	October 31, 2018
Probability-weighted ECL	\$ 4,271	\$ 3,872
Base ECL	4,104	3,772
Difference – in amount	\$ 167	\$ 100
Difference – in percentage	3.9%	2.6%

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

The allowance for credit losses for performing loans and off-balance sheet instruments consists of an aggregate amount of Stage 1 and Stage 2 probability-weighted ECL which are twelve-month ECLs and

lifetime ECLs, respectively. Transfers from Stage 1 to Stage 2 ACLs result from a significant increase in credit risk since initial recognition of the loan. The following table presents the estimated impact of staging on ACL for performing loans and off-balance sheet instruments if they were all calculated using twelve-month ECLs compared to the current aggregate probability-weighted ECL, holding all risk profiles constant.

### Incremental Lifetime ECL Impact<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)	As at	
	October 31, 2019	October 31, 2018
Aggregate Stage 1 and 2 probability-weighted ECL	\$ 4,271	\$ 3,872
All performing loans and off-balance sheet instruments using 12-month ECL	3,672	3,438
Incremental lifetime ECL impact	\$ 599	\$ 434

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

## FORECLOSED ASSETS

Foreclosed assets are repossessed non-financial assets where the Bank gains title, ownership, or possession of individual properties, such as real estate properties, which are managed for sale in an orderly manner with the proceeds used to reduce or repay any outstanding debt. The Bank does not generally occupy foreclosed properties for its business use. The Bank predominantly relies on third-party appraisals to determine the carrying value of foreclosed assets. Foreclosed assets held-for-sale were \$121 million as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$81 million), and were recorded in Other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## LOANS PAST DUE BUT NOT IMPAIRED

A loan is classified as past due when a borrower has failed to make a payment by the contractual due date. The following table summarizes loans that are contractually past due but not impaired as at October 31.

### Loans Past Due but not Impaired<sup>1,2</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	1-30 days	31-60 days	61-89 days	Total	1-30 days	31-60 days	61-89 days	Total
Residential mortgages	\$ 1,709	\$ 404	\$ 111	\$ 2,224	\$ 1,471	\$ 358	\$ 101	\$ 1,930
Consumer instalment and other personal	6,038	845	266	7,149	5,988	811	241	7,040
Credit card	1,401	351	229	1,981	1,403	340	213	1,956
Business and government	1,096	858	60	2,014	1,314	444	28	1,786
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,244</b>	<b>\$ 2,458</b>	<b>\$ 666</b>	<b>\$ 13,368</b>	<b>\$ 10,176</b>	<b>\$ 1,953</b>	<b>\$ 583</b>	<b>\$ 12,712</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes loans that are measured at FVOCI.

<sup>2</sup> Balances exclude ACI loans.

## MODIFIED FINANCIAL ASSETS

The amortized cost of financial assets with lifetime allowance that were modified during the year ended October 31, 2019 was \$407 million (October 31, 2018 – \$408 million) before modification, with insignificant modification gain or loss. The gross carrying amount of modified financial assets for which the loss allowance changed from lifetime to twelve-month ECLs during the year ended October 31, 2019 was \$243 million (October 31, 2018 – nil).

## COLLATERAL

As at October 31, 2019, the collateral held against total gross impaired loans represents 77% (October 31, 2018 – 81%) of total gross impaired loans. The fair value of non-financial collateral is determined at the origination date of the loan. A revaluation of non-financial collateral is performed if there has been a significant change in the terms and conditions of the loan and/or the loan is considered impaired. Management considers the nature of the collateral, seniority ranking of the debt, and loan structure in assessing the value of collateral. These estimated cash flows are reviewed at least annually, or more frequently when new information indicates a change in the timing or amount expected to be received.

## NOTE 9 TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS

### LOAN SECURITIZATIONS

The Bank securitizes loans through structured entity or non-structured entity third parties. Most loan securitizations do not qualify for derecognition since in most circumstances, the Bank continues to be exposed to substantially all of the prepayment, interest rate, and/or credit risk associated with the securitized financial assets and has not transferred substantially all of the risk and rewards of ownership of the securitized assets. Where loans do not qualify for derecognition, they are not derecognized from the balance sheet, retained interests are not recognized, and a securitization liability is recognized for the cash proceeds received. Certain transaction costs incurred are also capitalized and amortized using EIRM.

The Bank securitizes insured residential mortgages under the National Housing Act Mortgage-Backed Securities (NHA MBS) program sponsored by the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC). The MBS that are created through the NHA MBS program are sold to the Canada Housing Trust (CHT) as part of the CMB program, sold to third-party investors, or are held by the Bank. The CHT issues CMB to third-party investors and uses resulting proceeds to purchase NHA MBS from the Bank and other mortgage issuers in the Canadian

market. Assets purchased by the CHT are comingled in a single trust from which CMB are issued. The Bank continues to be exposed to substantially all of the risks of the underlying mortgages, through the retention of a seller swap which transfers principal and interest payment risk on the NHA MBS back to the Bank in return for coupon paid on the CMB issuance and as such, the sales do not qualify for derecognition.

The Bank securitizes U.S. originated residential mortgages with U.S. government agencies which qualify for derecognition from the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. As part of the securitization, the Bank retains the right to service the transferred mortgage loans. The MBS that are created through the securitization are typically sold to third-party investors.

The Bank also securitizes personal loans and business and government loans to entities which may be structured entities. These securitizations may give rise to derecognition of the financial assets depending on the individual arrangement of each transaction.

In addition, the Bank transfers credit card receivables, consumer instalment and other personal loans to structured entities that the Bank consolidates. Refer to Note 10 for further details.

The following table summarizes the securitized asset types that did not qualify for derecognition, along with their associated securitization liabilities as at October 31.

#### Financial Assets Not Qualifying for Derecognition Treatment as Part of the Bank's Securitization Programs

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018	
	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount
<b>Nature of transaction</b>				
Securitization of residential mortgage loans	\$ 23,705	\$ 23,689	\$ 23,124	\$ 23,334
Other financial assets transferred related to securitization <sup>1</sup>	3,525	3,524	4,230	4,235
<b>Total</b>	<b>27,230</b>	<b>27,213</b>	<b>27,354</b>	<b>27,569</b>
<b>Associated liabilities<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>\$ 27,316</b>	<b>\$ 27,144</b>	<b>\$ 27,272</b>	<b>\$ 27,301</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes asset-backed securities, asset-backed commercial paper (ABCP), cash, repurchase agreements, and Government of Canada securities used to fulfil funding requirements of the Bank's securitization structures after the initial securitization of mortgage loans.

<sup>2</sup> Includes securitization liabilities carried at amortized cost of \$14 billion as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$15 billion), and securitization liabilities carried at fair value of \$13 billion as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$13 billion).

#### Other Financial Assets Not Qualifying for Derecognition

The Bank enters into certain transactions where it transfers previously recognized commodities and financial assets, such as, debt and equity securities, but retains substantially all of the risks and rewards of those assets. These transferred assets are not derecognized and the transfers are accounted for as financing transactions. The most common transactions of this nature are repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements, in which the Bank retains substantially all of the associated credit, price, interest rate, and foreign exchange risks and rewards associated with the assets.

The following table summarizes the carrying amount of financial assets and the associated transactions that did not qualify for derecognition, as well as their associated financial liabilities as at October 31.

#### Other Financial Assets Not Qualifying for Derecognition

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Carrying amount of assets</b>		
<i>Nature of transaction</i>		
Repurchase agreements <sup>1,2</sup>	\$ 16,990	\$ 24,333
Securities lending agreements	38,338	27,124
<b>Total</b>	<b>55,328</b>	<b>51,457</b>
<b>Carrying amount of associated liabilities<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>\$ 17,428</b>	<b>\$ 24,701</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes \$1.3 billion, as at October 31, 2019, of assets related to repurchase agreements or swaps that are collateralized by physical precious metals (October 31, 2018 – \$2.0 billion).

<sup>2</sup> Associated liabilities are all related to repurchase agreements.

#### TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS QUALIFYING FOR DERECOGNITION

##### *Transferred financial assets that are derecognized in their entirety where the Bank has a continuing involvement*

Continuing involvement may arise if the Bank retains any contractual rights or obligations subsequent to the transfer of financial assets. Certain business and government loans securitized by the Bank are derecognized from the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. In instances where the Bank fully derecognizes business and government loans, the Bank may be exposed to the risks of transferred loans through a retained interest. As at October 31, 2019, the fair value of retained interests was \$19 million (October 31, 2018 – \$25 million). There are no ECLs on the retained interests of the securitized business and government loans as the underlying mortgages are all government insured. A gain or loss on sale of the loans is recognized immediately in other income after considering the effect of hedge accounting on the assets sold, if applicable. The amount of the gain or loss recognized depends on the previous carrying values of the loans involved in the transfer, allocated between the assets sold and the retained interests based on their relative fair values at the date of transfer. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the trading income recognized on the retained interest was \$1 million (October 31, 2018 – nil).

Certain portfolios of U.S. residential mortgages originated by the Bank are sold and derecognized from the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. In certain instances, the Bank has a continuing involvement to service those loans. As at October 31, 2019, the carrying value of these servicing rights was \$52 million (October 31, 2018 – \$39 million) and the fair value was \$51 million (October 31, 2018 – \$57 million). A gain or loss on sale of the loans is recognized immediately in other income. The gain (loss) on sale of the loans for the year ended October 31, 2019 was \$14 million (October 31, 2018 – \$18 million).

The Bank uses structured entities for a variety of purposes including: (1) to facilitate the transfer of specified risks to clients; (2) as financing vehicles for itself or for clients; or (3) to segregate assets on behalf of investors. The Bank is typically restricted from accessing the assets of the structured entity under the relevant arrangements.

The Bank is involved with structured entities that it sponsors, as well as entities sponsored by third parties. Factors assessed when determining if the Bank is the sponsor of a structured entity include whether the Bank is the predominant user of the entity; whether the entity's branding or marketing identity is linked with the Bank; and whether the Bank provides an implicit or explicit guarantee of the entity's performance to investors or other third parties. The Bank is not considered to be the sponsor of a structured entity if it only provides arm's-length services to the entity, for example, by acting as administrator, distributor, custodian, or loan servicer. Sponsorship of a structured entity may indicate that the Bank had power over the entity at inception; however, this is not sufficient to determine if the Bank consolidates the entity. Regardless of whether or not the Bank sponsors an entity, consolidation is determined on a case-by-case basis.

### SPONSORED STRUCTURED ENTITIES

The following section outlines the Bank's involvement with key sponsored structured entities.

#### Securitizations

The Bank securitizes its own assets and facilitates the securitization of client assets through structured entities, such as conduits, which issue ABCP or other securitization entities which issue longer-dated term securities. Securitizations are an important source of liquidity for the Bank, allowing it to diversify its funding sources and to optimize its balance sheet management approach. The Bank has no rights to the assets as they are owned by the securitization entity.

The Bank sponsors both single-seller and multi-seller securitization conduits. Depending on the specifics of the entity, the variable returns absorbed through ABCP may be significantly mitigated by variable returns retained by the sellers. The Bank provides liquidity facilities to certain single-seller and multi-seller conduits for the benefit of ABCP investors which are structured as loan facilities between the Bank, as the sole liquidity lender, and the Bank-sponsored trusts. If a trust experiences difficulty issuing ABCP due to illiquidity in the commercial market, the trust may draw on the loan facility, and use the proceeds to pay maturing ABCP. The liquidity facilities can only be drawn if preconditions are met ensuring that the Bank does not provide credit enhancement through the loan facilities to the conduit. The Bank's exposure to the variable returns of these conduits from its provision of liquidity facilities and any related commitments is mitigated by the sellers' continued exposure to variable returns, as described below. The Bank provides administration and securities distribution services to its sponsored securitization conduits, which may result in it holding an investment in the ABCP issued by these entities. In some cases, the Bank may also provide credit enhancements or may transact derivatives with securitization conduits. The Bank earns fees from the conduits which are recognized when earned.

The Bank sells assets to single-seller conduits which it controls and consolidates. Control results from the Bank's power over the entity's key economic decisions, predominantly, the mix of assets sold into the conduit and exposure to the variable returns of the transferred assets, usually through a derivative or the provision of credit mitigation in the form of cash reserves, over-collateralization, or guarantees over the performance of the entity's portfolio of assets.

Multi-seller conduits provide customers with alternate sources of financing through the securitization of their assets. These conduits are similar to single-seller conduits except that assets are received from

more than one seller and comingled into a single portfolio of assets. The Bank is typically deemed to have power over the entity's key economic decisions, namely, the selection of sellers and related assets sold as well as other decisions related to the management of risk in the vehicle. Sellers of assets in multi-seller conduits typically continue to be exposed to the variable returns of their portion of transferred assets, through derivatives or the provision of credit mitigation. The Bank's exposure to the variable returns of multi-seller conduits from its provision of liquidity facilities and any related commitments is mitigated by the sellers' continued exposure to variable returns from the entity. While the Bank may have power over multi-seller conduits, it is not exposed to significant variable returns and does not consolidate such entities.

#### Investment Funds and Other Asset Management Entities

As part of its asset management business, the Bank creates investment funds and trusts (including mutual funds), enabling it to provide its clients with a broad range of diversified exposure to different risk profiles, in accordance with the client's risk appetite. Such entities may be actively managed or may be passively directed, for example, through the tracking of a specified index, depending on the entity's investment strategy. Financing for these entities is obtained through the issuance of securities to investors, typically in the form of fund units. Based on each entity's specific strategy and risk profile, the proceeds from this issuance are used by the entity to purchase a portfolio of assets. An entity's portfolio may contain investments in securities, derivatives, or other assets, including cash. At the inception of a new investment fund or trust, the Bank will typically invest an amount of seed capital in the entity, allowing it to establish a performance history in the market. Over time, the Bank sells its seed capital holdings to third-party investors, as the entity's AUM increases. As a result, the Bank's holding of seed capital investment in its own sponsored investment funds and trusts is typically not significant to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Aside from any seed capital investments, the Bank's interest in these entities is generally limited to fees earned for the provision of asset management services. The Bank does not typically provide guarantees over the performance of these funds.

The Bank is typically considered to have power over the key economic decisions of sponsored asset management entities; however, it does not consolidate an entity unless it is also exposed to significant variable returns of the entity. This determination is made on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with the Bank's consolidation policy.

#### Financing Vehicles

The Bank may use structured entities to provide a cost-effective means of financing its operations, including raising capital or obtaining funding. These structured entities include: (1) TD Capital Trust III (Trust III) and TD Capital Trust IV (Trust IV) (together the "CaTS Entities"), and (2) TD Covered Bond (Legislative) Guarantor Limited Partnership (the "Covered Bond Entity"). On December 31, 2018, Trust III, a subsidiary of the Bank, redeemed all of the outstanding TD Capital Trust III Securities – Series 2008 (TD CaTS III) at a price of \$1 billion plus the unpaid distribution payable on the redemption date. Trust III was consolidated by the Bank and the TD CaTS III were included in Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries on the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. On June 30, 2019, Trust IV redeemed all of the outstanding \$550 million TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 1. Refer to Note 20 for additional details.

The CaTS Entities issued innovative capital securities which count as Tier 1 Capital of the Bank, but, under Basel III, are considered non-qualifying capital instruments and are subject to the Basel III

phase-out rules. The proceeds from these issuances were invested in assets purchased from the Bank which generate income for distribution to investors. The Bank is considered to have decision-making power over the key economic activities of the CaTS Entities; however, it does not consolidate an entity unless it is also exposed to significant variable returns of the entity. The Bank was exposed to the risks and returns from Trust III as it held the residual risks through retaining all the voting securities of the entity. The Bank was considered to be exposed to significant variable returns of Trust III's portfolio of assets and therefore consolidated the entity. Trust IV holds assets which are only exposed to the Bank's own credit risk. As a result, the Bank does not absorb significant variable returns of the entity as it is ultimately exposed only to its own credit risk, and therefore does not consolidate the entity. Refer to Note 20 for further details.

The Bank issues, or has issued, debt under its covered bond program where the principal and interest payments of the notes are guaranteed by the Covered Bond Entity. The Bank sold a portfolio of assets to the Covered Bond Entity and provided a loan to the Covered Bond Entity to facilitate the purchase. The Bank is restricted from accessing the Covered Bond Entity's assets under the relevant agreement. Investors in the Bank's covered bonds may have recourse to the Bank should the assets of the Covered Bond Entity be insufficient to satisfy the covered bond liabilities. The Bank consolidates the Covered Bond Entity as it has power over the key economic activities and retains all the variable returns in this entity.

### **THIRD-PARTY SPONSORED STRUCTURED ENTITIES**

In addition to structured entities sponsored by the Bank, the Bank is also involved with structured entities sponsored by third parties. Key involvement with third-party sponsored structured entities is described in the following section.

#### ***Third-party Sponsored Securitization Programs***

The Bank participates in the securitization program of government-sponsored structured entities, including the CMHC, a Crown corporation of the Government of Canada, and similar U.S. government-sponsored entities. The CMHC guarantees CMB issued through the CHT.

The Bank is exposed to the variable returns in the CHT, through its retention of seller swaps resulting from its participation in the CHT program. The Bank does not have power over the CHT as its key economic activities are controlled by the Government of Canada. The Bank's exposure to the CHT is included in the balance of residential mortgage loans as noted in Note 9, and is not disclosed in the table accompanying this Note.

The Bank participates in the securitization programs sponsored by U.S. government agencies. The Bank is not exposed to significant variable returns from these agencies and does not have power over the key economic activities of the agencies, which are controlled by the U.S. government.

#### ***Investment Holdings and Derivatives***

The Bank may hold interests in third-party structured entities, predominantly in the form of direct investments in securities or partnership interests issued by those structured entities, or through derivatives transacted with counterparties which are structured entities. Investments in, and derivatives with, structured entities are recognized on the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Bank does not typically consolidate third-party structured entities where its involvement is limited to investment holdings and/or derivatives as the Bank would not generally have power over the key economic decisions of these entities.

#### ***Financing Transactions***

In the normal course of business, the Bank may enter into financing transactions with third-party structured entities including commercial loans, reverse repurchase agreements, prime brokerage margin

lending, and similar collateralized lending transactions. While such transactions expose the Bank to the structured entities' counterparty credit risk, this exposure is mitigated by the collateral related to these transactions. The Bank typically has neither power nor significant variable returns due to financing transactions with structured entities and would not generally consolidate such entities. Financing transactions with third-party sponsored structured entities are included on the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements and have not been included in the table accompanying this Note.

#### ***Arm's-length Servicing Relationships***

In addition to the involvement outlined above, the Bank may also provide services to structured entities on an arm's-length basis, for example as sub-advisor to an investment fund or asset servicer. Similarly, the Bank's asset management services provided to institutional investors may include transactions with structured entities. As a consequence of providing these services, the Bank may be exposed to variable returns from these structured entities, for example, through the receipt of fees or short-term exposure to the structured entity's securities. Any such exposure is typically mitigated by collateral or some other contractual arrangement with the structured entity or its sponsor. The Bank generally has neither power nor significant variable returns from the provision of arm's-length services to a structured entity and, consequently does not consolidate such entities. Fees and other exposures through servicing relationships are included on the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements and have not been included in the table accompanying this Note.

### **INVOLVEMENT WITH CONSOLIDATED STRUCTURED ENTITIES**

#### ***Securitizations***

The Bank securitizes consumer instalment, and other personal loans through securitization entities, predominantly single-seller conduits. These conduits are consolidated by the Bank based on the factors described above. Aside from the exposure resulting from its involvement as seller and sponsor of consolidated securitization conduits described above, including the liquidity facilities provided, the Bank has no contractual or non-contractual arrangements to provide financial support to consolidated securitization conduits. The Bank's interests in securitization conduits generally rank senior to interests held by other parties, in accordance with the Bank's investment and risk policies. As a result, the Bank has no significant obligations to absorb losses before other holders of securitization issuances.

#### ***Other Structured Consolidated Structured Entities***

Depending on the specific facts and circumstances of the Bank's involvement with structured entities, the Bank may consolidate asset management entities, financing vehicles, or third-party sponsored structured entities, based on the factors described above. Aside from its exposure resulting from its involvement as sponsor or investor in the structured entities as previously discussed, the Bank does not typically have other contractual or non-contractual arrangements to provide financial support to these consolidated structured entities.

### **INVOLVEMENT WITH UNCONSOLIDATED STRUCTURED ENTITIES**

The following table presents information related to the Bank's unconsolidated structured entities. Unconsolidated structured entities include both TD and third-party sponsored entities. Securitizations include holdings in TD-sponsored multi-seller conduits, as well as third-party sponsored mortgage and asset-backed securitizations, including government-sponsored agency securities such as CMBs, and U.S. government agency issuances. Investment Funds and Trusts include holdings in third-party funds and trusts, as well as holdings in TD-sponsored asset management funds and trusts and commitments to certain U.S. municipal funds. Amounts in Other are predominantly related to investments in community-based U.S. tax-advantage entities described in Note 12. These holdings do not result in the consolidation of these entities as TD does not have power over these entities.

## Carrying Amount and Maximum Exposure to Unconsolidated Structured Entities<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019				October 31, 2018			
	Securitized	Investment funds and trusts	Other	Total	Securitized	Investment funds and trusts	Other	Total
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS</b>								
Trading loans, securities, and other	\$ 8,450	\$ 1,096	\$ –	\$ 9,546	\$ 9,460	\$ 719	\$ 11	\$ 10,190
Non-trading financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	3,649	488	–	4,137	1,810	367	–	2,177
Derivatives <sup>2</sup>	–	64	6	70	–	826	–	826
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss	–	4	–	4	–	3	–	3
Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income	34,451	1,550	9	36,010	47,575	1,262	–	48,837
Debt securities at amortized cost, net of allowance for credit losses	85,456	–	–	85,456	68,736	–	–	68,736
Loans	1,314	5	–	1,319	2,438	–	–	2,438
Other	6	–	3,027	3,033	6	–	2,897	2,903
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>133,326</b>	<b>3,207</b>	<b>3,042</b>	<b>139,575</b>	<b>130,025</b>	<b>3,177</b>	<b>2,908</b>	<b>136,110</b>
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES</b>								
Derivatives <sup>2</sup>	–	395	–	395	–	59	–	59
Obligations related to securities sold short	3,164	503	–	3,667	2,937	629	–	3,566
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>3,164</b>	<b>898</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>4,062</b>	<b>2,937</b>	<b>688</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>3,625</b>
<b>Off-balance sheet exposure<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>17,233</b>	<b>4,234</b>	<b>1,222</b>	<b>22,689</b>	<b>16,172</b>	<b>3,450</b>	<b>1,164</b>	<b>20,786</b>
<b>Maximum exposure to loss from involvement with unconsolidated structured entities</b>	<b>\$ 147,395</b>	<b>\$ 6,543</b>	<b>\$ 4,264</b>	<b>\$ 158,202</b>	<b>\$ 143,260</b>	<b>\$ 5,939</b>	<b>\$ 4,072</b>	<b>\$ 153,271</b>
<b>Size of sponsored unconsolidated structured entities<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>\$ 10,068</b>	<b>\$ 37,638</b>	<b>\$ 1,200</b>	<b>\$ 48,906</b>	<b>\$ 10,216</b>	<b>\$ 35,897</b>	<b>\$ 1,750</b>	<b>\$ 47,863</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been restated to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Derivatives primarily subject to vanilla interest rate or foreign exchange risk are not included in these amounts as those derivatives are designed to align the structured entity's cash flows with risks absorbed by investors and are not predominantly designed to expose the Bank to variable returns created by the entity.

<sup>3</sup> For the purposes of this disclosure, off-balance sheet exposure represents the notional value of liquidity facilities, guarantees, or other off-balance sheet commitments without considering the effect of collateral or other credit enhancements.

<sup>4</sup> The size of sponsored unconsolidated structured entities is provided based on the most appropriate measure of size for the type of entity: (1) The par value of notes issued by securitization conduits and similar liability issuers; (2) the total AUM of investment funds and trusts; and (3) the total fair value of partnership or equity shares in issue for partnerships and similar equity issuers.

### ***Sponsored Unconsolidated Structured Entities in which the Bank has no Significant Investment at the End of the Period***

Sponsored unconsolidated structured entities in which the Bank has no significant investment at the end of the period are predominantly investment funds and trusts created for the asset management business. The Bank would not typically hold investments, with the exception of seed capital, in these structured entities. However, the Bank continues to earn fees from asset management services provided to these entities, some of which could be based on the performance of the fund. Fees payable are generally senior in the entity's priority of payment and would also be backed by collateral, limiting the Bank's

exposure to loss from these entities. The Bank earned non-interest income of \$2.0 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$1.9 billion) from its involvement with these asset management entities for the year ended October 31, 2019, of which \$1.8 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$1.8 billion) was received directly from these entities. The total AUM in these entities as at October 31, 2019 was \$233.9 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$196.1 billion). Any assets transferred by the Bank during the period are co-mingled with assets obtained from third parties in the market. Except as previously disclosed, the Bank has no contractual or non-contractual arrangements to provide financial support to unconsolidated structured entities.

## DERIVATIVE PRODUCT TYPES AND RISK EXPOSURES

The majority of the Bank's derivative contracts are OTC transactions that are bilaterally negotiated between the Bank and the counterparty to the contract. The remainder are exchange-traded contracts transacted through organized and regulated exchanges and consist primarily of certain options and futures.

The Bank's derivative transactions relate to trading and non-trading activities. The purpose of derivatives held for non-trading activities is primarily for managing interest rate, foreign exchange, and equity risk related to the Bank's funding, lending, investment activities, and other asset/liability management activities. The Bank's risk management strategy for these risks is discussed in shaded sections of the "Managing Risk" section of the MD&A. The Bank also enters into derivative transactions to economically hedge certain exposures that do not otherwise qualify for hedge accounting, or where hedge accounting is not considered feasible.

Where hedge accounting is applied, only a specific or a combination of risk components are hedged, including benchmark interest rate, foreign exchange rate, and equity price components. All these risk components are observable in the relevant market environment and the change in the fair value or the variability in cash flows attributable to these risk components can be reliably measured for hedged items.

Where the derivatives are in hedge relationships, the main sources of ineffectiveness can be attributed to differences between hedging instruments and hedged items:

- Differences in fixed rates, when contractual coupons of the fixed rate hedged items are designated;
- Differences in the discounting factors, when hedging derivatives are collateralized and discounted using Overnight Indexed Swaps (OIS) curves, which are not applied to the fixed rate hedged items;
- CRVA on the hedging derivatives; and
- Mismatch in critical terms such as tenor and timing of cash flows between hedging instruments and hedged items.

To mitigate a portion of the ineffectiveness, the Bank designates the benchmark risk component of contractual cash flows of hedged items and executes hedging derivatives with high-quality counterparties. The majority of the Bank's hedging derivatives are collateralized.

### Interest Rate Derivatives

Interest rate swaps are OTC contracts in which two counterparties agree to exchange cash flows over a period of time based on rates applied to a specified notional amount. A typical interest rate swap would require one counterparty to pay a fixed market interest rate in exchange for a variable market interest rate determined from time to time, with both calculated on a specified notional amount. No exchange of principal amount takes place. Certain interest rate swaps are transacted and settled through a clearing house which acts as a central counterparty.

Forward rate agreements are OTC contracts that effectively fix a future interest rate for a period of time. A typical forward rate agreement provides that at a pre-determined future date, a cash settlement will be made between the counterparties based upon the difference between a contracted rate and a market rate to be determined in the future, calculated on a specified notional amount. No exchange of principal amount takes place.

Interest rate options are contracts in which one party (the purchaser of an option) acquires from another party (the writer of an option), in exchange for a premium, the right, but not the obligation, either to buy or sell, on a specified future date or series of future dates or within a specified time, a specified financial instrument at a contracted price. The underlying financial instrument will have a market price which varies in response to changes in interest rates. In managing the Bank's interest rate exposure, the Bank acts as both a writer and purchaser of these options. Options are transacted both OTC and through exchanges. Interest rate futures are standardized contracts

transacted on an exchange. They are based upon an agreement to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument on a specified future date, at a contracted price. These contracts differ from forward rate agreements in that they are in standard amounts with standard settlement dates and are transacted on an exchange.

The Bank uses interest rate swaps to hedge its exposure to benchmark interest rate risk by modifying the repricing or maturity characteristics of existing and/or forecasted assets and liabilities, including funding and investment activities. These swaps are designated in either fair value hedge against fixed rate asset/liability or cash flow hedge against floating rate asset/liability. For fair value hedges, the Bank assesses and measures the hedge effectiveness based on the change in the fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument relative to the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item attributable to benchmark interest rate risk. For cash flow hedges, the Bank uses the hypothetical derivative having terms that identically match the critical terms of the hedged item as the proxy for measuring the change in fair value or cash flows of the hedged item.

### Foreign Exchange Derivatives

Foreign exchange forwards are OTC contracts in which one counterparty contracts with another to exchange a specified amount of one currency for a specified amount of a second currency, at a future date or range of dates.

Swap contracts comprise foreign exchange swaps and cross-currency interest rate swaps. Foreign exchange swaps are transactions in which a foreign currency is simultaneously purchased in the spot market and sold in the forward market, or vice-versa. Cross-currency interest rate swaps are transactions in which counterparties exchange principal and interest cash flows in different currencies over a period of time. These contracts are used to manage currency and/or interest rate exposures.

Foreign exchange futures contracts are similar to foreign exchange forward contracts but differ in that they are in standard currency amounts with standard settlement dates and are transacted on an exchange.

Where hedge accounting is applied, the Bank assesses and measures the hedge effectiveness based on the change in the fair value of the hedging instrument relative to translation gains and losses of net investment in foreign operations or the change in cash flows of the foreign currency denominated asset/liability attributable to foreign exchange risk, using the hypothetical derivative method.

The Bank uses non-derivative instruments such as foreign currency deposit liabilities and derivative instruments such as cross-currency swaps and foreign exchange forwards to hedge its foreign currency exposure. These hedging instruments are designated in either net investment hedges or cash flow hedges.

### Credit Derivatives

The Bank uses credit derivatives such as credit default swaps (CDS) and total return swaps in managing risks of the Bank's corporate loan portfolio and other cash instruments. Credit risk is the risk of loss if a borrower or counterparty in a transaction fails to meet its agreed payment obligations. The Bank uses credit derivatives to mitigate industry concentration and borrower-specific exposure as part of the Bank's portfolio risk management techniques. The credit, legal, and other risks associated with these transactions are controlled through well established procedures. The Bank's policy is to enter into these transactions with investment grade financial institutions. Credit risk to these counterparties is managed through the same approval, limit, and monitoring processes that is used for all counterparties to which the Bank has credit exposure.

Credit derivatives are OTC contracts designed to transfer the credit risk in an underlying financial instrument (usually termed as a reference asset) from one counterparty to another. The most common credit derivatives are CDS (referred to as option contracts) and total return swaps (referred to as swap contracts). In option contracts, an option purchaser acquires credit protection on a

reference asset or group of assets from an option writer in exchange for a premium. The option purchaser may pay the agreed premium at inception or over a period of time. The credit protection compensates the option purchaser for deterioration in value of the reference asset or group of assets upon the occurrence of certain credit events such as bankruptcy, or changes in specified credit rating or credit index. Settlement may be cash based or physical, requiring the delivery of the reference asset to the option writer. In swap contracts, one counterparty agrees to pay or receive from the other cash amounts based on changes in the value of a reference asset or group of assets, including any returns such as interest earned on these assets in exchange for amounts that are based on prevailing market funding rates. These cash settlements are made regardless of whether there is a credit event.

### Other Derivatives

The Bank also transacts in equity and commodity derivatives in both the exchange and OTC markets.

Equity swaps are OTC contracts in which one counterparty agrees to pay, or receive from the other, cash amounts based on changes in the value of a stock index, a basket of stocks or a single stock. These contracts sometimes include a payment in respect of dividends.

Equity options give the purchaser of the option, for a premium, the right, but not the obligation, to buy from or sell to the writer of an option, an underlying stock index, basket of stocks or single stock at a contracted price. Options are transacted both OTC and through exchanges.

Equity index futures are standardized contracts transacted on an exchange. They are based on an agreement to pay or receive a cash amount based on the difference between the contracted price level of an underlying stock index and its corresponding market price level at a specified future date. There is no actual delivery of stocks that comprise the underlying index. These contracts are in standard amounts with standard settlement dates.

Commodity contracts include commodity forwards, futures, swaps, and options, such as precious metals and energy-related products in both OTC and exchange markets.

Where hedge accounting is applied, the Bank uses equity forwards and/or total return swaps to hedge its exposure to equity price risk. These derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges. The Bank assesses and measures the hedge effectiveness based on the change in the fair value of the hedging instrument relative to the change in the cash flows of the hedged item attributable to movement in equity price, using the hypothetical derivative method.

### Fair Value of Derivatives

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018	
	Fair value as at balance sheet date		Fair value as at balance sheet date	
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative
<b>Derivatives held or issued for trading purposes</b>				
Interest rate contracts				
Forward rate agreements	\$ 24	\$ 149	\$ 37	\$ 39
Swaps	11,244	11,952	9,931	7,229
Options written	–	1,099	–	566
Options purchased	1,168	–	516	–
Total interest rate contracts	12,436	13,200	10,484	7,834
Foreign exchange contracts				
Forward contracts	713	1,540	17,638	15,943
Swaps	12,734	12,613	–	–
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	14,721	12,913	18,489	15,692
Options written	–	302	–	543
Options purchased	289	–	486	–
Total foreign exchange contracts	28,457	27,368	36,613	32,178
Credit derivative contracts				
Credit default swaps – protection purchased	–	241	–	230
Credit default swaps – protection sold	16	–	9	1
Total credit derivative contracts	16	241	9	231
Other contracts				
Equity contracts	748	2,942	2,537	1,362
Commodity contracts	1,524	1,335	1,291	837
Total other contracts	2,272	4,277	3,828	2,199
<b>Fair value – trading</b>	<b>43,181</b>	<b>45,086</b>	<b>50,934</b>	<b>42,442</b>
<b>Derivatives held or issued for non-trading purposes</b>				
Interest rate contracts				
Forward rate agreements	–	2	2	–
Swaps	2,365	1,303	1,893	1,898
Options written	–	1	–	1
Options purchased	15	–	19	–
Total interest rate contracts	2,380	1,306	1,914	1,899
Foreign exchange contracts				
Forward contracts	660	90	333	327
Swaps	2	22	–	–
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	1,531	1,919	2,729	2,413
Total foreign exchange contracts	2,193	2,031	3,062	2,740
Credit derivative contracts				
Credit default swaps – protection purchased	–	179	–	155
Total credit derivative contracts	–	179	–	155
Other contracts				
Equity contracts	1,140	1,449	1,086	1,034
Total other contracts	1,140	1,449	1,086	1,034
<b>Fair value – non-trading</b>	<b>5,713</b>	<b>4,965</b>	<b>6,062</b>	<b>5,828</b>
<b>Total fair value</b>	<b>\$ 48,894</b>	<b>\$ 50,051</b>	<b>\$ 56,996</b>	<b>\$ 48,270</b>



The following table distinguishes derivatives held or issued for non-trading purposes between those that have been designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships and those which have not been designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships as at October 31.

### Fair Value of Non-Trading Derivatives<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

(millions of Canadian dollars)	As at									
	October 31, 2019									
	Derivative Assets					Derivative Liabilities				
	Derivatives in qualifying hedging relationships			Derivatives not in qualifying hedging relationships	Total	Derivatives in qualifying hedging relationships			Derivatives not in qualifying hedging relationships	Total
	Fair value	Cash flow	Net investment			Fair value	Cash flow	Net investment		
Derivatives held or issued for non-trading purposes										
Interest rate contracts	\$ 882	\$ 804	\$ –	\$ 694	\$ 2,380	\$ 786	\$ (46)	\$ –	\$ 566	\$ 1,306
Foreign exchange contracts	–	2,175	2	16	2,193	–	1,910	58	63	2,031
Credit derivative contracts	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	179	179
Other contracts	–	531	–	609	1,140	–	–	–	1,449	1,449
Fair value – non-trading	\$ 882	\$ 3,510	\$ 2	\$ 1,319	\$ 5,713	\$ 786	\$ 1,864	\$ 58	\$ 2,257	\$ 4,965

October 31, 2018

<b>Derivatives held or issued for non-trading purposes</b>										
Interest rate contracts	\$ 1,050	\$ (62)	\$ 4	\$ 922	\$ 1,914	\$ 858	\$ 187	\$ –	\$ 854	\$ 1,899
Foreign exchange contracts	–	2,948	4	110	3,062	–	2,399	314	27	2,740
Credit derivative contracts	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	155	155
Other contracts	–	594	–	492	1,086	–	–	–	1,034	1,034
<b>Fair value – non-trading</b>	<b>\$ 1,050</b>	<b>\$ 3,480</b>	<b>\$ 8</b>	<b>\$ 1,524</b>	<b>\$ 6,062</b>	<b>\$ 858</b>	<b>\$ 2,586</b>	<b>\$ 314</b>	<b>\$ 2,070</b>	<b>\$ 5,828</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain derivatives assets qualify to be offset with certain derivative liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Refer to Note 6 for further details.

### Fair Value Hedges

The following table presents the effects of fair value hedges on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and the Consolidated Statement of Income.

### Fair Value Hedges

(millions of Canadian dollars)

							For the years ended or as at October 31
							2019
	Change in value of hedged items for ineffectiveness measurement	Change in fair value of hedging instruments for ineffectiveness measurement	Hedge ineffectiveness	Carrying amounts for hedged items	Accumulated amount of fair value hedge adjustments on hedged items <sup>1</sup>	Accumulated amount of fair value hedge adjustments on de-designated hedged items	
<b>Assets</b>							
<b>Interest rate risk</b>							
Debt securities at amortized cost	\$ 2,144	\$ (2,160)	\$ (16)	\$ 46,888	\$ 1,502	\$ –	
Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income	3,286	(3,299)	(13)	78,688	580	(119)	
Loans	1,440	(1,458)	(18)	59,270	741	(6)	
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>6,870</b>	<b>(6,917)</b>	<b>(47)</b>	<b>184,846</b>	<b>2,823</b>	<b>(125)</b>	
<b>Liabilities</b>							
<b>Interest rate risk</b>							
Deposits	(4,566)	4,584	18	125,602	2,214	(11)	
Securitization liabilities at amortized cost	(149)	151	2	5,481	82	–	
Subordinated notes and debentures	(189)	190	1	5,071	(28)	(135)	
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(4,904)</b>	<b>4,925</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>136,154</b>	<b>2,268</b>	<b>(146)</b>	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,966</b>	<b>\$ (1,992)</b>	<b>\$ (26)</b>				

<sup>1</sup> The Bank has portfolios of fixed rate financial assets and liabilities whereby the notional amount changes frequently due to originations, issuances, maturities and prepayments. The interest rate risk hedges on these portfolios are rebalanced dynamically.

**Fair Value Hedges** (continued)

(millions of Canadian dollars)

For the years ended or as at October 31

					2018	
	Change in value of hedged items for ineffectiveness measurement	Change in fair value of hedging instruments for ineffectiveness measurement	Hedge ineffectiveness	Carrying amounts for hedged items	Accumulated amount of fair value hedge adjustments on hedged items <sup>1</sup>	Accumulated amount of fair value hedge adjustments on de-designated hedged items
<b>Assets</b>						
<b>Interest rate risk</b>						
Debt securities at amortized cost	\$ (501)	\$ 507	\$ 6	\$ 30,032	\$ (618)	\$ –
Financial assets at fair value through other comprehensive income	(1,874)	1,869	(5)	86,804	(2,699)	(172)
Loans	(792)	792	–	45,157	(726)	(8)
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>(3,167)</b>	<b>3,168</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>161,993</b>	<b>(4,043)</b>	<b>(180)</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>						
<b>Interest rate risk</b>						
Deposits	2,182	(2,179)	3	93,150	(2,301)	(4)
Securitization liabilities at amortized cost	71	(73)	(2)	4,960	(52)	–
Subordinated notes and debentures	112	(112)	–	4,027	(230)	(143)
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>2,365</b>	<b>(2,364)</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>102,137</b>	<b>(2,583)</b>	<b>(147)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (802)</b>	<b>\$ 804</b>	<b>\$ 2</b>			

2017

<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (933)</b>	<b>\$ 914</b>	<b>\$ (19)</b>
--------------	-----------------	---------------	----------------

<sup>1</sup> The Bank has portfolios of fixed rate financial assets and liabilities whereby the notional amount changes frequently due to originations, issuances, maturities and prepayments. The interest rate risk hedges on these portfolios are rebalanced dynamically.

**Cash Flow Hedges and Net Investment Hedges**

The following table presents the effects of cash flow hedges and net investment hedges on the Bank's Consolidated Statement of Income and the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income.

**Cash Flow and Net Investment Hedges**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

For the years ended October 31

					2019	
	Change in value of hedged items for ineffectiveness measurement	Change in fair value of hedging instruments for ineffectiveness measurement	Hedge ineffectiveness	Hedging gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income <sup>1</sup>	Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to earnings <sup>1</sup>	Net change in other comprehensive income (loss) <sup>1</sup>
<b>Cash flow hedges<sup>2</sup></b>						
Interest rate risk <sup>3</sup>	\$ (5,087)	\$ 5,089	\$ 2	\$ 5,041	\$ (218)	\$ 5,259
Foreign exchange risk <sup>4,5,6</sup>	251	(250)	1	(466)	(572)	106
Equity price risk	(122)	122	–	122	117	5
<b>Total cash flow hedges</b>	<b>\$ (4,958)</b>	<b>\$ 4,961</b>	<b>\$ 3</b>	<b>\$ 4,697</b>	<b>\$ (673)</b>	<b>\$ 5,370</b>
<b>Net investment hedges</b>	<b>\$ (180)</b>	<b>\$ 180</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 180</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 180</b>
						2018
<b>Cash flow hedges<sup>2</sup></b>						
Interest rate risk <sup>3</sup>	\$ 2,585	\$ (2,587)	\$ (2)	\$ (2,528)	\$ 335	\$ (2,863)
Foreign exchange risk <sup>4,5,6</sup>	(449)	449	–	362	306	56
Equity price risk	(66)	66	–	66	97	(31)
<b>Total cash flow hedges</b>	<b>\$ 2,070</b>	<b>\$ (2,072)</b>	<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (2,100)</b>	<b>\$ 738</b>	<b>\$ (2,838)</b>
<b>Net investment hedges</b>	<b>\$ 392</b>	<b>\$ (392)</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ (392)</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ (392)</b>
						2017
<b>Total cash flow hedges<sup>2</sup></b>			<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (2,229)</b>	<b>\$ 1,077</b>	
<b>Net investment hedges</b>			<b>–</b>	<b>890</b>	<b>(8)</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Effects on other comprehensive income are presented on a pre-tax basis.

<sup>2</sup> During the years ended October 31, 2019, October 31, 2018, and October 31, 2017, there were no instances where forecasted hedged transactions failed to occur.

<sup>3</sup> Hedged items include forecasted interest cash flows on loans, deposits, and securitization liabilities.

<sup>4</sup> For non-derivative instruments designated as hedging foreign exchange risk, fair value change is measured as the gains and losses due to spot foreign exchange movements.

<sup>5</sup> Cross-currency swaps may be used to hedge foreign exchange risk or a combination of interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk in a single hedging relationship. These hedges are disclosed in the above risk category (foreign exchange risk).

<sup>6</sup> Hedged items include principal and interest cash flows on foreign denominated securities, loans, deposits, other liabilities, and subordinated notes and debentures.

## Reconciliation of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

For the years ended October 31

	2019				
	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at beginning of year	Net changes in other comprehensive income (loss)	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at end of year	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on designated hedges	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) on de-designated hedges
<b>Cash flow hedges</b>					
Interest rate risk	\$ (3,656)	\$ 5,259	\$ 1,603	\$ 1,226	\$ 377
Foreign exchange risk	247	106	353	353	–
Equity price risk	20	5	25	25	–
<b>Total cash flow hedges</b>	<b>\$ (3,389)</b>	<b>\$ 5,370</b>	<b>\$ 1,981</b>	<b>\$ 1,604</b>	<b>\$ 377</b>
<b>Net investment hedges</b>					
Foreign translation risk	\$ (5,689)	\$ 180	\$ (5,509)	\$ (5,509)	\$ –
					2018
<b>Cash flow hedges</b>					
Interest rate risk	\$ (793)	\$ (2,863)	\$ (3,656)	\$ (2,245)	\$ (1,411)
Foreign exchange risk	191	56	247	247	–
Equity price risk	51	(31)	20	20	–
<b>Total cash flow hedges</b>	<b>\$ (551)</b>	<b>\$ (2,838)</b>	<b>\$ (3,389)</b>	<b>\$ (1,978)</b>	<b>\$ (1,411)</b>
<b>Net investment hedges</b>					
Foreign translation risk	\$ (5,297)	\$ (392)	\$ (5,689)	\$ (5,689)	\$ –

<sup>1</sup> Presented on a pre-tax basis and excludes the Bank's equity in the AOCI of an investment in TD Ameritrade.

## NOTIONAL AMOUNTS

The notional amounts are not recorded as assets or liabilities as they represent the face amount of the contract to which a rate or price is applied to determine the amount of cash flows to be exchanged. Notional amounts do not represent the potential gain or loss

associated with the market risk nor are they indicative of the credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments.

The following table discloses the notional amount of over-the-counter and exchange-traded derivatives.

## Over-the-Counter and Exchange-Traded Derivatives

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31 2019						As at October 31 2018
	Over-the-Counter <sup>1</sup>			Trading			
	Clearing house <sup>2</sup>	Non clearing house	Exchange-traded	Total	Non-trading <sup>3</sup>	Total	Total
<b>Notional</b>							
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>							
Futures	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 884,565	\$ 884,565	\$ –	\$ 884,565	\$ 575,825
Forward rate agreements	1,817,528	28,532	–	1,846,060	867	1,846,927	970,904
Swaps	9,380,140	390,123	–	9,770,263	1,642,583	11,412,846	9,442,704
Options written	–	109,532	136,264	245,796	472	246,268	200,948
Options purchased	–	122,159	187,260	309,419	5,374	314,793	227,775
<b>Total interest rate contracts</b>	<b>11,197,668</b>	<b>650,346</b>	<b>1,208,089</b>	<b>13,056,103</b>	<b>1,649,296</b>	<b>14,705,399</b>	<b>11,418,156</b>
<b>Foreign exchange contracts</b>							
Futures	–	–	16	16	–	16	24
Forward contracts	–	169,992	–	169,992	20,473	190,465	1,825,682
Swaps	–	1,747,596	–	1,747,596	1,955	1,749,551	6
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	–	757,780	–	757,780	100,921	858,701	785,946
Options written	–	27,639	15	27,654	–	27,654	34,090
Options purchased	–	27,293	2	27,295	–	27,295	32,655
<b>Total foreign exchange contracts</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>2,730,300</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2,730,333</b>	<b>123,349</b>	<b>2,853,682</b>	<b>2,678,403</b>
<b>Credit derivative contracts</b>							
Credit default swaps – protection purchased	9,222	249	–	9,471	3,199	12,670	12,612
Credit default swaps – protection sold	956	156	–	1,112	–	1,112	1,122
<b>Total credit derivative contracts</b>	<b>10,178</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>10,583</b>	<b>3,199</b>	<b>13,782</b>	<b>13,734</b>
<b>Other contracts</b>							
Equity contracts	–	92,327	66,590	158,917	29,454	188,371	145,327
Commodity contracts	100	46,885	49,702	96,687	–	96,687	73,193
<b>Total other contracts</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>139,212</b>	<b>116,292</b>	<b>255,604</b>	<b>29,454</b>	<b>285,058</b>	<b>218,520</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 11,207,946</b>	<b>\$ 3,520,263</b>	<b>\$ 1,324,414</b>	<b>\$ 16,052,623</b>	<b>\$ 1,805,298</b>	<b>\$ 17,857,921</b>	<b>\$ 14,328,813</b>

<sup>1</sup> Collateral held under a Credit Support Annex to help reduce counterparty credit risk is in the form of high-quality and liquid assets such as cash and high-quality government securities. Acceptable collateral is governed by the Collateralized Trading Policy.

<sup>2</sup> Derivatives executed through a central clearing house reduces settlement risk due to the ability to net settle offsetting positions for capital purposes and therefore

receive preferential capital treatment compared to those settled with non-central clearing house counterparties.

<sup>3</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes \$1,454 billion of OTC derivatives that are transacted with clearing houses (October 31, 2018 – \$1,244 billion) and \$352 billion of OTC derivatives that are transacted with non-clearing houses (October 31, 2018 – \$337 billion). There were no exchange-traded derivatives both as at October 31, 2019 and October 31, 2018.

The following table distinguishes the notional amount of derivatives held or issued for non-trading purposes between those that have been designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships and those which have not been designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships.

### Notional of Non-Trading Derivatives

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at				
	October 31, 2019				
	Derivatives in qualifying hedging relationships			Derivatives not in qualifying hedging relationships	Total
Derivatives held or issued for hedging (non-trading) purposes	Fair value	Cash flow <sup>1</sup>	Net investment <sup>1</sup>		
Interest rate contracts	\$ 337,374	\$ 234,134	\$ –	\$ 1,077,788	\$ 1,649,296
Foreign exchange contracts	–	117,532	1,292	4,525	123,349
Credit derivative contracts	–	–	–	3,199	3,199
Other contracts	–	2,079	–	27,375	29,454
<b>Total notional non-trading</b>	<b>\$ 337,374</b>	<b>\$ 353,745</b>	<b>\$ 1,292</b>	<b>\$ 1,112,887</b>	<b>\$ 1,805,298</b>

October 31, 2018					
Interest rate contracts	\$ 282,718	\$ 214,969	\$ 1,646	\$ 922,323	\$ 1,421,656
Foreign exchange contracts	–	113,183	1,249	11,674	126,106
Credit derivative contracts	–	–	–	2,745	2,745
Other contracts	–	2,058	–	28,372	30,430
<b>Total notional non-trading</b>	<b>\$ 282,718</b>	<b>\$ 330,210</b>	<b>\$ 2,895</b>	<b>\$ 965,114</b>	<b>\$ 1,580,937</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain cross-currency swaps are executed using multiple derivatives, including interest rate swaps. These derivatives are used to hedge foreign exchange rate risk in cash flow hedges and net investment hedges.

The following table discloses the notional principal amount of over-the-counter derivatives and exchange-traded derivatives based on their contractual terms to maturity.

### Derivatives by Remaining Term-to-Maturity

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31				As at
	2019				October 31
	Within 1 year	Over 1 year to 5 years	Over 5 years	Total	2018
<b>Notional Principal</b>					
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>					
Futures	\$ 672,570	\$ 211,995	\$ –	\$ 884,565	\$ 575,825
Forward rate agreements	1,793,862	53,065	–	1,846,927	970,904
Swaps	4,455,050	5,042,224	1,915,572	11,412,846	9,442,704
Options written	183,359	50,575	12,334	246,268	200,948
Options purchased	230,502	72,996	11,295	314,793	227,775
Total interest rate contracts	7,335,343	5,430,855	1,939,201	14,705,399	11,418,156
<b>Foreign exchange contracts</b>					
Futures	16	–	–	16	24
Forward contracts	177,645	12,719	101	190,465	1,825,682
Swaps	1,714,371	32,812	2,368	1,749,551	6
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	260,392	442,131	156,178	858,701	785,946
Options written	23,596	3,788	270	27,654	34,090
Options purchased	23,195	3,823	277	27,295	32,655
Total foreign exchange contracts	2,199,215	495,273	159,194	2,853,682	2,678,403
<b>Credit derivative contracts</b>					
Credit default swaps – protection purchased	2,066	4,316	6,288	12,670	12,612
Credit default swaps – protection sold	133	704	275	1,112	1,122
Total credit derivative contracts	2,199	5,020	6,563	13,782	13,734
<b>Other contracts</b>					
Equity contracts	146,954	41,404	13	188,371	145,327
Commodity contracts	79,394	16,460	833	96,687	73,193
Total other contracts	226,348	57,864	846	285,058	218,520
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 9,763,105</b>	<b>\$ 5,989,012</b>	<b>\$ 2,105,804</b>	<b>\$ 17,857,921</b>	<b>\$ 14,328,813</b>

## Interest Rate Benchmark Reform

The replacement of existing IBORs with alternative nearly risk-free rates (RFRs) is at different stages, and is progressing at different speeds, globally. Uncertainty exists related to timing and methods of transition for financial instruments affected by these changes, and also on whether some existing benchmarks will continue to be supported.

The Bank's hedging relationships have significant exposure to US LIBOR, EURIBOR and GBP LIBOR benchmark rates. Under IBOR reform, these benchmark rates may be subject to discontinuance, changes in methodology, or become illiquid when the adoption of RFRs as established benchmark rates increase.

As a result of these developments, significant judgment is required in determining whether certain hedging relationships that hedge the variability of cash flows and interest rate or foreign exchange risk due to changes in IBORs continue to qualify for hedge accounting. As a

result of the effects of IBOR reform, on September 26, 2019, the IASB issued *Interest Rate Benchmark Reform, Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39 and IFRS 7* ("Interest Rate Benchmark Reform"); of which the Bank adopted the applicable amendments to IFRS 7 relating to hedge accounting and will apply the remaining amendments related to IAS 39 as and when applicable, to the Bank's hedging relationships. Refer to Note 2 and Note 4 for more details.

Impacted hedging relationships will continue to be monitored for each significant benchmark rate subject to potential RFR transition. As the new RFRs are likely to differ from the prior benchmark rates, new or revised hedging strategies may be required to better align derivative hedging instruments with hedged items. However, given the market uncertainty, the assessment of the impact on the Bank's hedging strategies and its mitigation plans is in the early stages.

The following table discloses the notional amount and average price of derivative instruments designated in qualifying hedge accounting relationships.

## Hedging Instruments by Remaining Term-to-Maturity

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

				As at	
				October 31 2019	October 31 2018
	Within 1 year	Over 1 year to 5 years	Over 5 years	Total	Total
<b>Notional</b>					
<b>Interest rate risk</b>					
<b>Interest rate swaps<sup>1</sup></b>					
<b>Notional – pay fixed</b>	\$ 43,299	\$ 118,366	\$ 40,213	\$ 201,878	\$ 181,544
Average fixed interest rate %	1.72	1.85	2.21		
<b>Notional – received fixed</b>	32,511	162,263	54,005	248,779	212,013
Average fixed interest rate %	1.92	2.19	1.69		
<b>Total notional – interest rate risk</b>	<b>75,810</b>	<b>280,629</b>	<b>94,218</b>	<b>450,657</b>	<b>393,557</b>
<b>Foreign exchange risk<sup>2</sup></b>					
<b>Forward contracts</b>					
Notional – USD/CAD	784	279	–	1,063	1,610
Average FX forward rate	1.31	1.32	–		
Notional – EUR/CAD	3,001	12,434	1,574	17,009	17,283
Average FX forward rate	1.52	1.62	1.75		
Notional – other	1,292	–	–	1,292	1,249
<b>Cross-currency swaps<sup>3,4</sup></b>					
Notional – USD/CAD	12,149	35,023	2,283	49,455	49,487
Average FX rate	1.26	1.30	1.32		
Notional – EUR/CAD	5,509	14,660	3,305	23,474	17,049
Average FX rate	1.48	1.50	1.48		
Notional – GBP/CAD	341	4,692	–	5,033	3,954
Average FX rate	1.74	1.70	–		
Notional – other currency pairs <sup>5</sup>	8,718	12,423	327	21,468	23,799
<b>Total notional – foreign exchange risk</b>	<b>31,794</b>	<b>79,511</b>	<b>7,489</b>	<b>118,794</b>	<b>114,431</b>
<b>Equity Price Risk</b>					
Notional – equity forward contracts	2,092	–	–	2,092	2,058
<b>Total notional</b>	<b>\$ 109,696</b>	<b>\$ 360,140</b>	<b>\$ 101,707</b>	<b>\$ 571,543</b>	<b>\$ 510,046</b>

<sup>1</sup> The notional amount of interest rate swaps indexed to US LIBOR, EURIBOR, or GBP LIBOR, with a maturity date beyond December 31, 2021, is \$173.5 billion as at October 31, 2019. These instruments are being monitored for the impact of IBOR reform.

<sup>2</sup> Foreign currency denominated deposit liabilities are also used to hedge foreign exchange risk. As at October 31, 2019, the carrying value of these non-derivative hedging instruments was \$23.9 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$15.3 billion) designated under net investment hedges.

<sup>3</sup> Cross-currency swaps may be used to hedge foreign exchange risk or a combination of interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk in a single hedge relationship. Both these types of hedges are disclosed under the Foreign exchange risk as the risk category.

<sup>4</sup> Certain cross-currency swaps are executed using multiple derivatives, including interest rate swaps. The notional amount of these interest rate swaps, excluded from the above, is \$120.9 billion as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$105.8 billion). As at October 31, 2019, the notional amount of cross-currency swaps and interest rate swaps indexed to US LIBOR, EURIBOR, or GBP LIBOR, with a maturity date beyond December 31, 2021, are \$39.5 billion and \$26.8 billion, respectively, and are being monitored for the impact of IBOR reform.

<sup>5</sup> Includes derivatives executed to manage non-trading foreign currency exposures, when more than one currency is involved prior to hedging to the Canadian dollar, when the functional currency of the entity is not the Canadian dollar, or when the currency pair is not a significant exposure for the Bank.

## DERIVATIVE-RELATED RISKS

### Market Risk

Derivatives, in the absence of any compensating upfront cash payments, generally have no market value at inception. They obtain value, positive or negative, as relevant interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity, commodity or credit prices or indices change, such that the previously contracted terms of the derivative transactions have become more or less favourable than what can be negotiated under current market conditions for contracts with the same terms and the same remaining period to expiry.

The potential for derivatives to increase or decrease in value as a result of the foregoing factors is generally referred to as market risk. This market risk is managed by senior officers responsible for the Bank's trading and non-trading businesses and is monitored independently by the Bank's Risk Management group.

### Credit Risk

Credit risk on derivatives, also known as counterparty credit risk, is the risk of a financial loss occurring as a result of the failure of a counterparty to meet its obligation to the Bank. The Capital Markets Risk Management group is responsible for implementing and ensuring compliance with credit policies established by the Bank for the management of derivative credit exposures.

Derivative-related credit risks are subject to the same credit approval, limit and monitoring standards that are used for managing other transactions that create credit exposure. This includes evaluating the creditworthiness of counterparties, and managing the size, diversification and maturity structure of the portfolios. The Bank actively engages in risk mitigation strategies through the use of multi-product derivative master netting agreements, collateral and other risk mitigation techniques. Master netting agreements reduce risk to the Bank by allowing the Bank to close out and net transactions with counterparties subject to such agreements upon the occurrence of certain events. The effect of these master netting agreements is reflected in the following table. Also shown in this table, is the current replacement cost, which is the positive fair value of all outstanding derivatives. The credit equivalent amount is the sum of the current replacement cost and the potential future exposure, which is calculated by applying factors supplied by OSFI to the notional principal amount of the derivatives. The risk-weighted amount is determined by applying standard measures of counterparty credit risk to the credit equivalent amount.

### Credit Exposure of Derivatives<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019			As at October 31, 2018		
	Current replacement cost	Credit equivalent amount	Risk-weighted amount	Current replacement cost	Credit equivalent amount	Risk-weighted amount
<b>Interest rate contracts</b>						
Forward rate agreements	\$ 31	\$ 536	\$ 449	\$ 21	\$ 56	\$ 15
Swaps	3,210	9,635	1,809	11,630	15,557	4,193
Options purchased	133	459	102	508	776	299
Total interest rate contracts	3,374	10,630	2,360	12,159	16,389	4,507
<b>Foreign exchange contracts</b>						
Forward contracts	434	2,555	375	17,605	35,543	4,247
Swaps	1,961	14,286	1,635	—	—	—
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	1,812	10,288	1,183	21,218	40,942	7,012
Options purchased	48	363	83	486	1,029	212
Total foreign exchange contracts	4,255	27,492	3,276	39,309	77,514	11,471
<b>Other contracts</b>						
Credit derivatives	6	634	149	3	358	145
Equity contracts	151	5,706	667	3,043	7,383	920
Commodity contracts	383	3,083	627	1,101	2,546	514
Total other contracts	540	9,423	1,443	4,147	10,287	1,579
<b>Total derivatives</b>	<b>8,169</b>	<b>47,545</b>	<b>7,079</b>	<b>55,615</b>	<b>104,190</b>	<b>17,557</b>
Less: impact of master netting agreements	n/a	n/a	n/a	34,205	54,039	11,464
<b>Total derivatives after netting</b>	<b>8,169</b>	<b>47,545</b>	<b>7,079</b>	<b>21,410</b>	<b>50,151</b>	<b>6,093</b>
Less: impact of collateral	n/a	n/a	n/a	8,884	9,602	1,173
<b>Net derivatives</b>	<b>8,169</b>	<b>47,545</b>	<b>7,079</b>	<b>12,526</b>	<b>40,549</b>	<b>4,920</b>
Qualifying Central Counterparty (QCCP) Contracts	3,085	12,967	349	155	14,332	2,058
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 11,254</b>	<b>\$ 60,512</b>	<b>\$ 7,428</b>	<b>\$ 12,681</b>	<b>\$ 54,881</b>	<b>\$ 6,978</b>

<sup>1</sup> Effective November 1, 2018, the Bank implemented the standardized approach for counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR) in determining the calculation of current replacement costs, credit equivalent amount and RWA which includes the impact of master netting agreements and collateral. Prior period comparatives are based on previous methodology, under which these impacts were presented separately.

## Current Replacement Cost of Derivatives

(millions of Canadian dollars,  
except as noted)

	Canada <sup>1</sup>		United States <sup>1</sup>		Other international <sup>1</sup>		As at
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	Total October 31 2018
<b>By sector</b>							
Financial	\$ 2,416	\$ 29,608	\$ 80	\$ 930	\$ 245	\$ 7,104	\$ 37,642
Government	1,836	9,737	43	102	221	4,704	14,543
Other	1,279	1,995	1,531	359	518	1,076	3,430
Current replacement cost	\$ 5,531	\$ 41,340	\$ 1,654	\$ 1,391	\$ 984	\$ 12,884	\$ 55,615
Less: impact of master netting agreements and collateral						n/a	43,089
<b>Total current replacement cost</b>						\$ 8,169	\$ 12,526
<b>By location of risk</b>							
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2019 % mix	October 31 2018 % mix			
Canada	\$ 2,768	\$ 3,898	33.9%	31.1%			
United States	2,936	4,887	36.0	39.0			
Other international							
United Kingdom	501	487	6.1	3.9			
Europe – other	1,211	2,183	14.8	17.4			
Other	753	1,071	9.2	8.6			
Total Other international	2,465	3,741	30.1	29.9			
<b>Total current replacement cost</b>	\$ 8,169	\$ 12,526	100.0%	100.0%			

<sup>1</sup> Based on geographic location of unit responsible for recording revenue.

Certain of the Bank's derivative contracts are governed by master derivative agreements having provisions that may permit the Bank's counterparties to require, upon the occurrence of a certain contingent event: (1) the posting of collateral or other acceptable remedy such as assignment of the affected contracts to an acceptable counterparty; or (2) settlement of outstanding derivative contracts. Most often, these contingent events are in the form of a downgrade of the senior debt rating of the Bank, either as counterparty or as guarantor of one of the Bank's subsidiaries. At October 31, 2019, the aggregate net liability position of those contracts would require: (1) the posting of collateral or other acceptable remedy totalling \$102 million (October 31, 2018 – \$300 million) in the event of a one-notch or two-notch downgrade in the Bank's senior debt rating; and (2) funding totalling \$0.5 million (October 31, 2018 – \$10 million) following the termination and settlement of outstanding derivative contracts in the event of a one-notch or two-notch downgrade in the Bank's senior debt rating.

Certain of the Bank's derivative contracts are governed by master derivative agreements having credit support provisions that permit the Bank's counterparties to call for collateral depending on the net mark-to-market exposure position of all derivative contracts governed by that master derivative agreement. Some of these agreements may permit the Bank's counterparties to require, upon the downgrade of the credit rating of the Bank, to post additional collateral. As at October 31, 2019, the fair value of all derivative instruments with credit risk related contingent features in a net liability position was \$11 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$8 billion). The Bank has posted \$13 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$10 billion) of collateral for this exposure in the normal course of business. As at October 31, 2019, the impact of a one-notch downgrade in the Bank's credit rating would require the Bank to post an additional \$147 million (October 31, 2018 – \$38 million) of collateral to that posted in the normal course of business. A two-notch downgrade in the Bank's credit rating would require the Bank to post an additional \$192 million (October 31, 2018 – \$44 million) of collateral to that posted in the normal course of business.

## NOTE 12 INVESTMENT IN ASSOCIATES AND JOINT VENTURES

### INVESTMENT IN TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION

The Bank has significant influence over TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation (TD Ameritrade) and accounts for its investment in TD Ameritrade using the equity method. The Bank's equity share in TD Ameritrade's earnings, excluding dividends, is reported on a one-month lag basis. The Bank takes into account changes in the subsequent period that would significantly affect the results.

As at October 31, 2019, the Bank's reported investment in TD Ameritrade was 43.19% (October 31, 2018 – 41.61%) of the outstanding shares of TD Ameritrade with a fair value of \$12 billion (US\$9 billion) (October 31, 2018 – \$16 billion (US\$12 billion)) based on the closing price of US\$38.38 (October 31, 2018 – US\$51.72) on the New York Stock Exchange.

During the year ended October 31, 2019, TD Ameritrade repurchased 21.5 million shares (for the year ended October 31, 2018 – 5.5 million shares). Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement in relation to the Bank's equity investment in TD Ameritrade, if stock repurchases by TD Ameritrade cause the Bank's ownership percentage to exceed

45%, the Bank is required to use reasonable efforts to sell or dispose of such excess stock, subject to the Bank's commercial judgment as to the optimal timing, amount, and method of sales with a view to maximizing proceeds from such sales. However, in the event that stock repurchases by TD Ameritrade cause the Bank's ownership percentage to exceed 45%, the Bank has no absolute obligation to reduce its ownership percentage to 45%. In addition, stock repurchases by TD Ameritrade cannot result in the Bank's ownership percentage exceeding 47%.

In connection with TD Ameritrade's acquisition of Scottrade Financial Services, Inc. (Scottrade) on September 18, 2017, TD Ameritrade issued 38.8 million shares, of which the Bank purchased 11.1 million pursuant to its pre-emptive rights. The Bank purchased the shares at a price of US\$36.12. As a result of the share issuance, the Bank's common stock ownership percentage in TD Ameritrade decreased and the Bank realized a dilution gain of \$204 million recorded in Other Income on the Consolidated Statement of Income. Refer to Note 13 for a discussion on the acquisition of Scottrade Bank.

Pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement in relation to the Bank's equity investment in TD Ameritrade, the Bank has the right to designate five of twelve members of TD Ameritrade's Board of Directors. The Bank's designated directors currently include the Bank's Group President and Chief Executive Officer and four independent directors of TD or TD's U.S. subsidiaries.

TD Ameritrade has no significant contingent liabilities to which the Bank is exposed. During the years ended October 31, 2019, and October 31, 2018, TD Ameritrade did not experience any significant restrictions to transfer funds in the form of cash dividends, or repayment of loans or advances.

The condensed financial statements of TD Ameritrade, based on its consolidated financial statements, are included in the following tables.

### Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	<i>As at</i>	
	September 30 2019	September 30 2018
<b>Assets</b>		
Receivables from brokers, dealers, and clearing organizations	\$ 3,212	\$ 1,809
Receivables from clients, net	27,156	29,773
Other assets, net	27,303	17,811
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 57,671</b>	<b>\$ 49,393</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Payable to brokers, dealers, and clearing organizations	\$ 4,357	\$ 3,923
Payable to clients	35,650	30,126
Other liabilities	6,205	4,809
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>46,212</b>	<b>38,858</b>
<b>Stockholders' equity<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>11,459</b>	<b>10,535</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 57,671</b>	<b>\$ 49,393</b>

<sup>1</sup> Customers' securities are reported on a settlement date basis whereas the Bank reports customers' securities on a trade date basis.

<sup>2</sup> The difference between the carrying value of the Bank's investment in TD Ameritrade and the Bank's share of TD Ameritrade's stockholders' equity is comprised of goodwill, other intangibles, and the cumulative translation adjustment.

### Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	<i>For the years ended September 30</i>		
	2019	2018	2017
<b>Revenues</b>			
Net interest revenue	\$ 2,036	\$ 1,635	\$ 903
Fee-based and other revenue	5,947	5,365	3,923
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>7,983</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>4,826</b>
<b>Operating expenses</b>			
Employee compensation and benefits	1,756	1,992	1,260
Other	2,245	2,434	1,639
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>4,001</b>	<b>4,426</b>	<b>2,899</b>
<b>Other expense (income)</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Pre-tax income</b>	<b>3,888</b>	<b>2,432</b>	<b>1,832</b>
Provision for income taxes	957	535	686
<b>Net income<sup>1,2</sup></b>	<b>\$ 2,931</b>	<b>\$ 1,897</b>	<b>\$ 1,146</b>
Earnings per share – basic (Canadian dollars)	\$ 5.27	\$ 3.34	\$ 2.17
Earnings per share – diluted (Canadian dollars)	5.26	3.32	2.16

<sup>1</sup> The Bank's equity share of net income of TD Ameritrade is based on the published consolidated financial statements of TD Ameritrade after converting into Canadian dollars and is subject to adjustments relating to the amortization of certain intangibles.

<sup>2</sup> The Bank's equity share in TD Ameritrade earnings for the year ended October 31, 2018 includes a net favourable adjustment of \$41 million (US\$32 million) primarily representing the Bank's share of TD Ameritrade's remeasurement of its deferred income tax balances as a result of the reduction in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate.

### INVESTMENT IN IMMATERIAL ASSOCIATES OR JOINT VENTURES

Except for TD Ameritrade as disclosed above, no associate or joint venture was individually material to the Bank as of October 31, 2019, or October 31, 2018. The carrying amount of the Bank's investment in individually immaterial associates and joint ventures during the period was \$3.2 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$3.0 billion).

Individually immaterial associates and joint ventures consisted predominantly of investments in private funds or partnerships that make equity investments, provide debt financing or support community-based tax-advantaged investments. The investments in these entities generate a return primarily through the realization of

U.S. federal and state income tax credits, including Low Income Housing Tax Credits, New Markets Tax Credits, and Historic Tax Credits.

The Bank recorded an impairment loss during the year ended October 31, 2018 of \$89 million representing the immediate impact of lower future tax deductions on Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) investments as a result of the reduction in the U.S. federal corporate tax rate, which was recorded in Other income (loss) on the Consolidated Statement of Income. This impairment loss does not include losses taken upon tax credit-related investments including LIHTC on a normal course basis. Refer to Note 25 for further details on the reduction of the U.S. federal corporate tax rate.



**Agreement for Air Canada Credit Card Loyalty Program**

On January 10, 2019, the Bank's long-term loyalty program agreement (the "Loyalty Agreement") with Air Canada became effective in conjunction with Air Canada completing its acquisition of Aimia Canada Inc., which operates the Aeroplan loyalty business (the "Transaction"). Under the terms of the Loyalty Agreement, the Bank will become the primary credit card issuer for Air Canada's new loyalty program when it launches in 2020 through to 2030. TD Aeroplan cardholders will become members of Air Canada's new loyalty program and their miles will be transitioned when Air Canada's new loyalty program launches in 2020.

In connection with the Transaction, the Bank paid \$622 million plus applicable sales tax to Air Canada, of which \$547 million (\$446 million after sales and income taxes) was recognized in Non-interest expenses – Other on the Consolidated Statement of Income, and \$75 million was recognized as an intangible asset which will be amortized over the Loyalty Agreement term. In addition, the Bank prepaid \$308 million plus applicable sales tax for the future purchase of loyalty points over a ten-year period.

**Acquisition of Greystone Managed Investments Inc.**

On November 1, 2018, the Bank acquired 100% of the outstanding equity of Greystone Capital Management Inc., the parent company of Greystone Managed Investments Inc. ("Greystone") for consideration of \$821 million, of which \$479 million was paid in cash and \$342 million was paid in the Bank's common shares. The value of 4.7 million common shares issued as consideration was based on the volume weighted-average market price of the Bank's common shares over the 10 trading day period immediately preceding the fifth business day prior to the acquisition date and was recorded based on market price at close. Common shares of \$167 million issued to employee shareholders in respect of the purchase price are being held in escrow for two years post-acquisition, subject to their continued employment, and are being recorded as a compensation expense over the two-year escrow period.

The acquisition was accounted for as a business combination under the purchase method. As at November 1, 2018, the acquisition contributed \$165 million of assets and \$46 million of liabilities. The excess of accounting consideration over the fair value of the identifiable net assets has been allocated to customer relationship intangibles of \$140 million, deferred tax liability of \$37 million, and

goodwill of \$432 million. Goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The results of the acquisition have been consolidated from the acquisition date and reported in the Canadian Retail segment. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the contribution of Greystone to the Bank's revenue and net income was not significant.

**Acquisition of Scottrade Bank**

On September 18, 2017, the Bank acquired 100% of the outstanding equity of Scottrade Bank, a federal savings bank wholly-owned by Scottrade, for cash consideration of approximately \$1.6 billion (US\$1.4 billion). Scottrade Bank merged with TD Bank, N.A. In connection with the acquisition, TD agreed to accept sweep deposits from Scottrade clients, expanding the Bank's existing sweep deposit activities. The acquisition is consistent with the Bank's U.S. strategy.

The acquisition was accounted for as a business combination under the purchase method. Goodwill of \$34 million reflects the excess of the consideration paid over the fair value of the identifiable net assets. Goodwill is deductible for tax purposes. The results of the acquisition have been consolidated with the Bank's results and are reported in the U.S. Retail segment. For the year ended October 31, 2017, the contribution of Scottrade Bank to the Bank's revenue and net income was not significant nor would it have been significant if the acquisition had occurred as of November 1, 2016.

The following table presents the estimated fair values of the assets and liabilities acquired as of the date of acquisition.

**Fair Value of Identifiable Net Assets Acquired**

(millions of Canadian dollars)	Amount
<b>Assets acquired</b>	
Cash and due from banks	\$ 750
Securities	14,474
Loans	5,284
Other assets	149
	20,657
<b>Less: Liabilities assumed</b>	
Deposits	18,992
Other liabilities	57
Fair value of identifiable net assets acquired	1,608
Goodwill	34
<b>Total purchase consideration</b>	<b>\$ 1,642</b>

## NOTE 14 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

The recoverable amount of the Bank's CGUs is determined from internally developed valuation models that consider various factors and assumptions such as forecasted earnings, growth rates, price-earnings multiples, discount rates and terminal multiples. Management is required to use judgment in estimating the recoverable amount of CGUs, and the use of different assumptions and estimates in the calculations could influence the determination of the existence of impairment and the valuation of goodwill. Management believes that the assumptions and estimates used are reasonable and supportable. Where possible, assumptions generated internally are compared to relevant market information. The carrying amounts of the Bank's CGUs are determined by management using risk based capital models to adjust net assets and liabilities by CGU. These models consider various factors including market risk, credit risk, and operational risk, including investment capital (comprised of goodwill and other intangibles). Any capital not directly attributable to the CGUs is held within the Corporate segment. As at the date of the last impairment test, the

amount of capital was approximately \$14.6 billion and primarily related to treasury assets and excess capital managed within the Corporate segment. The Bank's capital oversight committees provide oversight to the Bank's capital allocation methodologies.

**Key Assumptions**

The recoverable amount of each CGU or group of CGUs has been determined based on its estimated value-in-use. In assessing value-in-use, estimated future cash flows based on the Bank's internal forecast are discounted using an appropriate pre-tax discount rate.

The following were the key assumptions applied in the goodwill impairment testing:

**Discount Rate**

The pre-tax discount rates used reflect current market assessments of the risks specific to each group of CGUs and are dependent on the risk profile and capital requirements of each group of CGUs.

### Terminal Value

The earnings included in the goodwill impairment testing for each operating segment were based on the Bank's internal forecast, which projects expected cash flows over the next five years. Beyond the Bank's internal forecast, cash flows were assumed to grow at a steady terminal growth rate. Terminal growth rates were based on the expected long-term growth of gross domestic product and inflation and ranged from 2.0% to 4.0% (2018 – 2.0% to 4.0%). The pre-tax terminal multiples for the period after the Bank's internal forecast

were consistent with observable multiples of comparable financial institutions and ranged from 9 times to 13 times (2018 – 9 times to 14 times).

In considering the sensitivity of the key assumptions discussed above, management determined that a reasonable change in any of the above would not result in the recoverable amount of any of the groups of CGUs to be less than their carrying amount.

### Goodwill by Segment

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Canadian Retail	U.S. Retail <sup>1</sup>	Wholesale Banking	Total
Carrying amount of goodwill as at November 1, 2017	\$ 2,303	\$ 13,693	\$ 160	\$ 16,156
Additions	82	–	–	82
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	18	280	–	298
Carrying amount of goodwill as at October 31, 2018	\$ 2,403	\$ 13,973	\$ 160	\$ 16,536
Additions	432	–	–	432
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	1	7	–	8
<b>Carrying amount of goodwill as at October 31, 2019<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>\$ 2,836</b>	<b>\$ 13,980</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ 16,976</b>
<b>Pre-tax discount rates</b>				
2018	9.7–10.7%	10.1–11.8%	12.2%	
2019	9.7–11.0	9.6–11.8	12.7	

<sup>1</sup> Goodwill predominantly relates to U.S. personal and commercial banking.

<sup>2</sup> Accumulated impairment as at October 31, 2019 was nil (October 31, 2018 – nil).

### OTHER INTANGIBLES

The following table presents details of other intangibles as at October 31.

#### Other Intangibles

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Core deposit intangibles	Credit card related intangibles	Internally generated software	Other software	Other intangibles	Total
<b>Cost</b>						
As at November 1, 2017	\$ 2,523	\$ 756	\$ 2,549	\$ 308	\$ 565	\$ 6,701
Additions	–	–	567	87	14	668
Disposals	–	–	(82)	(2)	–	(84)
Fully amortized intangibles	–	–	(275)	(89)	–	(364)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	52	3	1	(4)	7	59
As at October 31, 2018	\$ 2,575	\$ 759	\$ 2,760	\$ 300	\$ 586	\$ 6,980
Additions	–	83	541	63	163	850
Disposals	–	–	(40)	–	–	(40)
Fully amortized intangibles	–	–	(322)	(79)	–	(401)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	1	–	(12)	11	(6)	(6)
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>\$ 2,576</b>	<b>\$ 842</b>	<b>\$ 2,927</b>	<b>\$ 295</b>	<b>\$ 743</b>	<b>\$ 7,383</b>
<b>Amortization and impairment</b>						
As at November 1, 2017	\$ 2,260	\$ 442	\$ 888	\$ 180	\$ 313	\$ 4,083
Disposals	–	–	(11)	(2)	–	(13)
Impairment losses	–	–	–	5	–	5
Amortization charge for the year	96	98	423	78	44	739
Fully amortized intangibles	–	–	(275)	(89)	–	(364)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	48	2	6	12	3	71
As at October 31, 2018	\$ 2,404	\$ 542	\$ 1,031	\$ 184	\$ 360	\$ 4,521
Disposals	–	–	(14)	–	–	(14)
Impairment losses	–	–	4	–	1	5
Amortization charge for the year	76	86	474	82	58	776
Fully amortized intangibles	–	–	(322)	(79)	–	(401)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	1	–	(6)	4	(6)	(7)
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>\$ 2,481</b>	<b>\$ 628</b>	<b>\$ 1,167</b>	<b>\$ 191</b>	<b>\$ 413</b>	<b>\$ 4,880</b>
<b>Net Book Value:</b>						
As at October 31, 2018	\$ 171	\$ 217	\$ 1,729	\$ 116	\$ 226	\$ 2,459
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>1,760</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>2,503</b>

**NOTE 15 LAND, BUILDINGS, EQUIPMENT, AND OTHER DEPRECIABLE ASSETS**

The following table presents details of the Bank's land, buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets as at October 31.

**Land, Buildings, Equipment, and Other Depreciable Assets**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Land	Buildings	Computer equipment	Furniture, fixtures, and other depreciable assets	Leasehold improvements	Total
<b>Cost</b>						
As at November 1, 2017	\$ 969	\$ 3,315	\$ 853	\$ 1,285	\$ 1,884	\$ 8,306
Additions	2	164	141	134	160	601
Disposals	(5)	(37)	(13)	(44)	(33)	(132)
Fully depreciated assets	–	(90)	(143)	(69)	(57)	(359)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	5	26	(9)	9	39	70
<b>As at October 31, 2018</b>	<b>\$ 971</b>	<b>\$ 3,378</b>	<b>\$ 829</b>	<b>\$ 1,315</b>	<b>\$ 1,993</b>	<b>\$ 8,486</b>
Additions	30	194	259	147	227	857
Acquisitions through business combinations	–	–	–	1	2	3
Disposals	(2)	(29)	(119)	(35)	(48)	(233)
Fully depreciated assets	–	(45)	(156)	(63)	(53)	(317)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	(12)	(10)	–	(14)	18	(18)
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>\$ 987</b>	<b>\$ 3,488</b>	<b>\$ 813</b>	<b>\$ 1,351</b>	<b>\$ 2,139</b>	<b>\$ 8,778</b>
<b>Accumulated depreciation and impairment/losses</b>						
As at November 1, 2017	\$ –	\$ 1,151	\$ 433	\$ 552	\$ 857	\$ 2,993
Depreciation charge for the year	–	120	170	128	158	576
Disposals	–	(14)	(13)	(22)	(32)	(81)
Fully depreciated assets	–	(90)	(143)	(69)	(57)	(359)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	–	6	2	16	9	33
<b>As at October 31, 2018</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 1,173</b>	<b>\$ 449</b>	<b>\$ 605</b>	<b>\$ 935</b>	<b>\$ 3,162</b>
Depreciation charge for the year	–	120	168	138	179	605
Disposals	–	(19)	(85)	(31)	(38)	(173)
Fully depreciated assets	–	(45)	(156)	(63)	(53)	(317)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	–	(11)	1	(1)	(1)	(12)
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 1,218</b>	<b>\$ 377</b>	<b>\$ 648</b>	<b>\$ 1,022</b>	<b>\$ 3,265</b>
<b>Net Book Value:</b>						
As at October 31, 2018	\$ 971	\$ 2,205	\$ 380	\$ 710	\$ 1,058	\$ 5,324
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>	<b>987</b>	<b>2,270</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>5,513</b>

**NOTE 16 OTHER ASSETS**
**Other Assets**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
Accounts receivable and other items	\$ 9,069	\$ 8,938
Accrued interest	2,479	2,343
Current income tax receivable	2,468	1,614
Defined benefit asset	13	113
Insurance-related assets, excluding investments	1,761	1,638
Prepaid expenses	1,297	950
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 17,087</b>	<b>\$ 15,596</b>

**NOTE 17 DEPOSITS**

Demand deposits are those for which the Bank does not have the right to require notice prior to withdrawal. These deposits are in general chequing accounts.

Notice deposits are those for which the Bank can legally require notice prior to withdrawal. These deposits are in general savings accounts.

Term deposits are those payable on a fixed date of maturity purchased by customers to earn interest over a fixed period. The terms are from one day to ten years. The deposits are generally term

deposits, guaranteed investment certificates, senior debt, and similar instruments. The aggregate amount of term deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or more as at October 31, 2019 was \$309 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$293 billion).

Certain deposit liabilities are classified as Trading deposits on the Consolidated Balance Sheet and accounted for at fair value with the change in fair value recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Certain deposits have been designated at FVTPL on the Consolidated Balance Sheet to reduce an accounting mismatch from related economic hedges. These deposits are accounted for at fair value with the change in fair value recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Income, except for the amount of change in fair value attributable to changes in the Bank's own credit risk, which is recognized on the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income.

For deposits designated at FVTPL, the estimated amount that the Bank would be contractually required to pay at maturity, which is based on notional amounts, was \$328 million less than its fair value as at October 31, 2019.

## Deposits

(millions of Canadian dollars)

							October 31 2019	October 31 2018
	By Type			By Country			Total	Total
	Demand	Notice	Term <sup>1</sup>	Canada	United States	International		
Personal	\$ 14,105	\$ 431,319	\$ 58,006	\$ 234,278	\$ 269,128	\$ 24	\$ 503,430	\$ 477,644
Banks <sup>2</sup>	7,969	385	8,397	11,919	95	4,737	16,751	16,712
Business and government <sup>3,4</sup>	81,913	139,625	145,258	267,193	96,357	3,246	366,796	357,083
Trading <sup>2</sup>	–	–	26,885	16,817	2,120	7,948	26,885	114,704
Designated at fair value through profit or loss <sup>5</sup>	–	–	105,100	44,288	52,890	7,922	105,100	–
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 103,987</b>	<b>\$ 571,329</b>	<b>\$ 343,646</b>	<b>\$ 574,495</b>	<b>\$ 420,590</b>	<b>\$ 23,877</b>	<b>\$ 1,018,962</b>	<b>\$ 966,143</b>
<b>Non-interest-bearing deposits included above</b>								
In domestic offices							\$ 43,887	\$ 42,402
In foreign offices							53,381	54,488
<b>Interest-bearing deposits included above</b>								
In domestic offices							530,608	505,295
In foreign offices							391,076	362,890
U.S. federal funds deposited <sup>2</sup>							10	1,068
<b>Total<sup>5,6</sup></b>							<b>\$ 1,018,962</b>	<b>\$ 966,143</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes \$16,589 million (October 31, 2018 – \$53 million) of senior debt which is subject to the bank recapitalization "bail-in" regime. This regime provides certain statutory powers to the Canada Deposit Insurance Corporation, including the ability to convert specified eligible shares and liabilities into common shares in the event that the Bank becomes non-viable.

<sup>2</sup> Includes deposits and advances with the Federal Home Loan Bank.

<sup>3</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes \$40 billion relating to covered bondholders (October 31, 2018 – \$36 billion) and \$1 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$2 billion) due to Trust IV.

<sup>4</sup> Trust IV redeemed all of the outstanding TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 1 on June 30, 2019.

<sup>5</sup> Financial liabilities designated at FVTPL consist of deposits designated at FVTPL and \$31 million (October 31, 2018 – \$16 million) of loan commitments and financial guarantees designated at FVTPL.

<sup>6</sup> As at October 31, 2019, includes deposits of \$580 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$548 billion) denominated in U.S. dollars and \$52 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$55 billion) denominated in other foreign currencies.

## Term Deposits by Remaining Term-to-Maturity

(millions of Canadian dollars)

							October 31 2019	October 31 2018
	Within 1 year	Over 1 year to 2 years	Over 2 years to 3 years	Over 3 years to 4 years	Over 4 years to 5 years	Over 5 years	Total	Total
Personal	\$ 38,941	\$ 9,374	\$ 6,168	\$ 1,863	\$ 1,639	\$ 21	\$ 58,006	\$ 53,064
Banks	8,387	–	–	3	–	7	8,397	8,784
Business and government	57,346	34,130	14,190	15,939	16,059	7,594	145,258	150,618
Trading	18,819	2,430	2,073	851	1,090	1,622	26,885	114,704
Designated at fair value through profit or loss	104,744	356	–	–	–	–	105,100	–
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 228,237</b>	<b>\$ 46,290</b>	<b>\$ 22,431</b>	<b>\$ 18,656</b>	<b>\$ 18,788</b>	<b>\$ 9,244</b>	<b>\$ 343,646</b>	<b>\$ 327,170</b>

## Term Deposits due within a Year

(millions of Canadian dollars)

				October 31 2019	October 31 2018
	Within 3 months	Over 3 months to 6 months	Over 6 months to 12 months	Total	Total
Personal	\$ 14,208	\$ 9,459	\$ 15,274	\$ 38,941	\$ 32,928
Banks	8,230	150	7	8,387	8,773
Business and government	28,625	7,569	21,152	57,346	66,492
Trading	8,862	4,166	5,791	18,819	109,256
Designated at fair value through profit or loss	47,543	15,798	41,403	104,744	–
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 107,468</b>	<b>\$ 37,142</b>	<b>\$ 83,627</b>	<b>\$ 228,237</b>	<b>\$ 217,449</b>

## NOTE 18 OTHER LIABILITIES

### Other Liabilities<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, and other items	\$ 5,229	\$ 4,958
Accrued interest	1,393	1,283
Accrued salaries and employee benefits	3,245	3,344
Cheques and other items in transit	1,042	454
Current income tax payable	169	84
Deferred tax liabilities	193	175
Defined benefit liability	2,781	1,747
Liabilities related to structured entities	5,857	5,627
Provisions	1,095	1,502
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,004</b>	<b>\$ 19,174</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

## NOTE 19 SUBORDINATED NOTES AND DEBENTURES

Subordinated notes and debentures are direct unsecured obligations of the Bank or its subsidiaries and are subordinated in right of payment to the claims of depositors and certain other creditors. Redemptions,

cancellations, exchanges, and modifications of subordinated debentures qualifying as regulatory capital are subject to the consent and approval of OSFI.

### Subordinated Notes and Debentures

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	As at				
Maturity date	Interest rate (%)	Reset spread (%)	Earliest par redemption date	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
May 26, 2025	9.150	n/a	–	\$ 198	\$ 198
June 24, 2025 <sup>2</sup>	2.692 <sup>1</sup>	1.210 <sup>1</sup>	June 24, 2020	1,496	1,474
September 30, 2025 <sup>2</sup>	2.982 <sup>1</sup>	1.830 <sup>1</sup>	September 30, 2020	996	982
September 14, 2028 <sup>2</sup>	3.589 <sup>1</sup>	1.060 <sup>1</sup>	September 14, 2023	1,738	1,711
July 25, 2029 <sup>2</sup>	3.224 <sup>1</sup>	1.250 <sup>1</sup>	July 25, 2024	1,509	1,427
March 4, 2031 <sup>2</sup>	4.859 <sup>1</sup>	3.490 <sup>1</sup>	March 4, 2026	1,206	1,124
September 15, 2031 <sup>2</sup>	3.625 <sup>3</sup>	2.205 <sup>3</sup>	September 15, 2026	1,842	1,824
January 26, 2032 <sup>2</sup>	3.060 <sup>1</sup>	1.330 <sup>1</sup>	January 26, 2027 <sup>4</sup>	1,740	–
<b>Total</b>				<b>\$ 10,725</b>	<b>\$ 8,740</b>

<sup>1</sup> Interest rate is for the period to but excluding the earliest par redemption date, and thereafter, it will be reset at a rate of 3-month Bankers' Acceptance rate plus the reset spread noted.

<sup>2</sup> Non-viability contingent capital (NVCC). The subordinated notes and debentures qualify as regulatory capital under OSFI's Capital Adequacy Requirements (CAR) guideline. If a NVCC conversion were to occur in accordance with the NVCC Provisions, the maximum number of common shares that could be issued based on the formula for conversion set out in the respective prospectus supplements, assuming there is no declared and unpaid interest on the respective subordinated notes, would be 450 million for the 2.692% subordinated debentures due June 24, 2025, 300 million for the 2.982% subordinated debentures due September 30, 2025, 525 million for the 3.589% subordinated debentures due September 14, 2028, 450 million for the 3.224% subordinated debentures due July 25, 2029, 375 million for the 4.859% subordinated debentures due March 4, 2031, 450 million for the 3.625% subordinated debentures due

September 15, 2031 (assuming a Canadian to U.S. dollar exchange rate of 1.00), and 525 million for the 3.060% subordinated debentures due January 26, 2032.

<sup>3</sup> Interest rate is for the period to but excluding the earliest par redemption date, and thereafter, it will be reset at a rate of 5-year Mid-Swap Rate plus the reset spread noted.

<sup>4</sup> On June 25, 2019, the Bank issued \$1.75 billion of NVCC medium-term notes constituting subordinated indebtedness of the Bank (the "Notes"). The Notes will bear interest at a fixed rate of 3.060% per annum (paid semi-annually) until January 26, 2027, and at the three-month Bankers' Acceptance rate plus 1.33% thereafter (paid quarterly) until maturity on January 26, 2032. With the prior approval of OSFI, the Bank may, at its option, redeem the Notes on or after January 26, 2027, in whole or in part, at par plus accrued and unpaid interest. Not more than 60 nor less than 30 days' notice is required to be given to the Notes' holders for such redemptions.

The total change in subordinated notes and debentures for the year ended October 31, 2019 primarily relates to the issuance and redemption of subordinated debentures, foreign exchange translation, and the basis adjustment for fair value hedges.

### REPAYMENT SCHEDULE

The aggregate remaining maturities of the Bank's subordinated notes and debentures are as follows:

### Maturities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
Within 1 year	\$ –	\$ –
Over 1 year to 3 years	–	–
Over 3 years to 4 years	–	–
Over 4 years to 5 years	–	–
Over 5 years	10,725	8,740
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,725</b>	<b>\$ 8,740</b>

The Bank issued innovative capital securities through two structured entities: Trust III and Trust IV.

#### TD CAPITAL TRUST III SECURITIES – SERIES 2008

On September 17, 2008, Trust III, a closed-end trust, issued TD CaTS III. The proceeds from the issuance were invested in trust assets purchased from the Bank. On December 31, 2018, Trust III redeemed all of the outstanding TD CaTS III at a price of \$1 billion plus the unpaid distribution payable on the redemption date. TD CaTS III were reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries.

#### TD CAPITAL TRUST IV NOTES – SERIES 1 TO 3

On January 26, 2009, Trust IV issued TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 1 due June 30, 2108 (TD CaTS IV – 1) and TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 2 due June 30, 2108 (TD CaTS IV – 2) and on September 15, 2009, issued TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 3 due June 30, 2108 (TD CaTS IV – 3, and collectively TD CaTS IV Notes). The proceeds from the issuances were invested in bank deposit notes. On June 30, 2019, Trust IV redeemed all of the outstanding TD CaTS IV – 1. Each TD CaTS IV – 2 may be automatically exchanged into non-cumulative Class A First Preferred Shares, Series A10 of

the Bank and each TD CaTS IV – 3 may be automatically exchanged into non-cumulative Class A First Preferred Shares, Series A11 of the Bank, in each case, without the consent of the holders, on the occurrence of certain events. On each interest payment date in respect of which certain events have occurred, holders of TD CaTS IV Notes will be required to invest interest paid on such TD CaTS IV Notes in a new series of non-cumulative Class A First Preferred Shares of the Bank. The Bank does not consolidate Trust IV because it does not absorb significant returns of Trust IV as it is ultimately exposed only to its own credit risk. Therefore, TD CaTS IV Notes are not reported on the Bank's Consolidated Balance Sheet but the deposit notes issued to Trust IV are reported in Deposits on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Refer to Notes 10 and 17 for further details.

TD announced on February 7, 2011, that, based on OSFI's February 4, 2011 Advisory which outlined OSFI's expectations regarding the use of redemption rights triggered by regulatory event clauses in non-qualifying capital instruments, it expects to exercise a regulatory event redemption right only in 2022 in respect of the TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 2 outstanding at that time.

### Capital Trust Securities

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

						As at
	Thousands of units	Distribution/Interest payment dates	Annual yield	Redemption date At the option of the issuer	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Included in Non-controlling interests in subsidiaries on the Consolidated Balance Sheet</b>						
TD Capital Trust III Securities – Series 2008	1,000	June 30, Dec. 31	7.243% <sup>1</sup>	Dec. 31, 2013 <sup>2</sup>	\$ –	\$ 993
<b>TD CaTS IV Notes issued by Trust IV</b>						
TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 1	550	June 30, Dec. 31	9.523% <sup>3</sup>	June 30, 2014 <sup>4</sup>	–	550
TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 2	450	June 30, Dec. 31	10.000% <sup>5</sup>	June 30, 2014 <sup>6</sup>	450	450
TD Capital Trust IV Notes – Series 3	750	June 30, Dec. 31	6.631% <sup>7</sup>	Dec. 31, 2014 <sup>6</sup>	750	750
	1,750				\$ 1,200	\$ 1,750

<sup>1</sup> From and including September 17, 2008, to but excluding December 31, 2018, and thereafter at a rate of one half of the sum of 6-month Bankers' Acceptance rate plus 4.30%.

<sup>2</sup> On December 31, 2018, Trust III, a subsidiary of the Bank, redeemed all of the outstanding TD CaTS III at a price of \$1 billion plus the unpaid distribution payable on the redemption date.

<sup>3</sup> From and including January 26, 2009, to but excluding June 30, 2019. Starting on June 30, 2019, and on every fifth anniversary thereafter, the interest rate will reset to equal the then 5-year Government of Canada yield plus 10.125%.

<sup>4</sup> On June 30, 2019, Trust IV redeemed all of the outstanding \$550 million TD CaTS IV – 1 at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount plus any accrued and unpaid interest payable on the date of redemption.

<sup>5</sup> From and including January 26, 2009, to but excluding June 30, 2039. Starting on June 30, 2039, and on every fifth anniversary thereafter, the interest rate will reset to equal the then 5-year Government of Canada yield plus 9.735%.

<sup>6</sup> On or after the redemption date, Trust IV may, with regulatory approval, redeem the TD CaTS IV – 2 or TD CaTS IV – 3, respectively, in whole or in part, without the consent of the holders. Due to the phase-out of non-qualifying instruments under OSFI's CAR guideline, the Bank expects to exercise a regulatory event redemption right in 2022 in respect of the TD CaTS IV – 2 outstanding at that time.

<sup>7</sup> From and including September 15, 2009, to but excluding June 30, 2021. Starting on June 30, 2021, and on every fifth anniversary thereafter, the interest rate will reset to equal the then 5-year Government of Canada yield plus 4.0%.

### COMMON SHARES

The Bank is authorized by its shareholders to issue an unlimited number of common shares, without par value, for unlimited consideration. The common shares are not redeemable or convertible. Dividends are typically declared by the Board of Directors of the Bank on a quarterly basis and the amount may vary from quarter to quarter.

### PREFERRED SHARES

The Bank is authorized by its shareholders to issue, in one or more series, an unlimited number of Class A First Preferred Shares, without nominal or par value. Non-cumulative preferential dividends are

payable quarterly, as and when declared by the Board of Directors of the Bank. All preferred shares include NVCC Provisions, necessary for the preferred shares to qualify as regulatory capital under OSFI's CAR guideline. NVCC Provisions require the conversion of the preferred shares into a variable number of common shares of the Bank if OSFI determines that the Bank is, or is about to become, non-viable and that after conversion of all non-common capital instruments, the viability of the Bank is expected to be restored, or if the Bank has accepted or agreed to accept a capital injection or equivalent support from a federal or provincial government without which the Bank would have been determined by OSFI to be non-viable.

The following table summarizes the shares issued and outstanding and treasury shares held as at October 31.

### Common and Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding and Treasury Shares Held

(millions of shares and millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018	
	Number of shares	Amount	Number of shares	Amount
<b>Common Shares</b>				
Balance as at beginning of year	1,830.4	\$ 21,221	1,842.5	\$ 20,931
Proceeds from shares issued on exercise of stock options	2.3	124	2.9	152
Shares issued as a result of dividend reinvestment plan	4.8	357	5.0	366
Shares issued in connection with acquisitions <sup>1</sup>	5.0	366	–	–
Purchase of shares for cancellation and other	(30.0)	(355)	(20.0)	(228)
<b>Balance as at end of year – common shares</b>	<b>1,812.5</b>	<b>\$ 21,713</b>	<b>1,830.4</b>	<b>\$ 21,221</b>
<b>Preferred Shares – Class A<sup>2</sup></b>				
Series 1	20.0	\$ 500	20.0	\$ 500
Series 3	20.0	500	20.0	500
Series 5	20.0	500	20.0	500
Series 7	14.0	350	14.0	350
Series 9	8.0	200	8.0	200
Series 11	6.0	150	6.0	150
Series 12	28.0	700	28.0	700
Series 14	40.0	1,000	40.0	1,000
Series 16	14.0	350	14.0	350
Series 18	14.0	350	14.0	350
Series 20	16.0	400	16.0	400
Series 22	14.0	350	–	–
Series 24	18.0	450	–	–
<b>Balance as at end of year – preferred shares</b>	<b>232.0</b>	<b>\$ 5,800</b>	<b>200.0</b>	<b>\$ 5,000</b>
<b>Treasury shares – common<sup>3</sup></b>				
Balance as at beginning of year	2.1	\$ (144)	2.9	\$ (176)
Purchase of shares	132.3	(9,782)	110.6	(8,295)
Sale of shares	(133.8)	9,885	(111.4)	8,327
<b>Balance as at end of year – treasury shares – common</b>	<b>0.6</b>	<b>\$ (41)</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>\$ (144)</b>
<b>Treasury shares – preferred<sup>3</sup></b>				
Balance as at beginning of year	0.3	\$ (7)	0.3	\$ (7)
Purchase of shares	7.0	(151)	5.2	(129)
Sale of shares	(7.0)	152	(5.2)	129
<b>Balance as at end of year – treasury shares – preferred</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>\$ (6)</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>\$ (7)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes 4.7 million shares issued for \$342 million that form part of the consideration paid for Greystone, as well as 0.3 million shares issued for \$24 million as share-based compensation to replace share-based payment awards of Greystone. Refer to Note 13 for a discussion on the acquisition of Greystone.

<sup>2</sup> All series of preferred shares – Class A include NVCC Provisions and qualify as regulatory capital under OSFI's CAR guideline. If a NVCC conversion were to occur in accordance with the NVCC Provisions, the maximum number of common shares that could be issued based on the formula for conversion set out in the respective terms and conditions applicable to each Series of shares, assuming there are

no declared and unpaid dividends on the respective Series of shares at the time of conversion, as applicable, would be 100 million for Series 1, 100 million for Series 3, 100 million for Series 5, 70 million for Series 7, 40 million for Series 9, 30 million for Series 11, 140 million for Series 12, 200 million for Series 14, 70 million for Series 16, 70 million for Series 18, 80 million for Series 20, 70 million for Series 22, and 90 million for Series 24.

<sup>3</sup> When the Bank purchases its own shares as part of its trading business, they are classified as treasury shares and the cost of these shares is recorded as a reduction in equity.

## Preferred Shares Terms and Conditions

	Issue date	Annual yield (%) <sup>1</sup>	Reset spread (%) <sup>1</sup>	Next redemption/ conversion date <sup>1</sup>	Convertible into <sup>1</sup>
<b>NVCC Fixed Rate Preferred Shares</b>					
Series 11	July 21, 2015	4.9	n/a	October 31, 2020 <sup>2</sup>	n/a
<b>NVCC Rate Reset Preferred Shares<sup>3</sup></b>					
Series 1 <sup>4</sup>	June 4, 2014	3.662	2.24	October 31, 2024	Series 2
Series 3 <sup>5</sup>	July 31, 2014	3.681	2.27	July 31, 2024	Series 4
Series 5	December 16, 2014	3.75	2.25	January 31, 2020	Series 6
Series 7	March 10, 2015	3.6	2.79	July 31, 2020	Series 8
Series 9	April 24, 2015	3.7	2.87	October 31, 2020	Series 10
Series 12	January 14, 2016	5.5	4.66	April 30, 2021	Series 13
Series 14	September 8, 2016	4.85	4.12	October 31, 2021	Series 15
Series 16	July 14, 2017	4.50	3.01	October 31, 2022	Series 17
Series 18	March 14, 2018	4.70	2.70	April 30, 2023	Series 19
Series 20	September 13, 2018	4.75	2.59	October 31, 2023	Series 21
Series 22	January 28, 2019	5.20	3.27	April 30, 2024	Series 23
Series 24	June 4, 2019	5.10	3.56	July 31, 2024	Series 25

<sup>1</sup> Non-cumulative preferred dividends for each Series are payable quarterly, as and when declared by the Board of Directors. The dividend rate of the Rate Reset Preferred Shares will reset on the next redemption/conversion date and every 5 years thereafter to equal the then 5-year Government of Canada bond yield plus the reset spread noted. Rate Reset Preferred Shares are convertible to the corresponding Series of Floating Rate Preferred Shares, and vice versa. If converted into a Series of Floating Rate Preferred Shares, the dividend rate for the quarterly period will be equal to the then 90-day Government of Canada Treasury bill yield plus the reset spread noted.

<sup>2</sup> Subject to regulatory consent, redeemable on or after October 31, 2020, at a redemption price of \$26, and thereafter, at a declining redemption price.

<sup>3</sup> Subject to regulatory consent, redeemable on the redemption date noted and every 5 years thereafter, at \$25 per share. Convertible on the conversion date noted and every 5 years thereafter if not redeemed. If converted, the holders have the option to convert back to the original Series of preferred shares every 5 years.

<sup>4</sup> On October 16, 2019, the Bank announced that none of its 20 million Non-Cumulative 5-Year Rate Reset Preferred Shares NVCC, Series 1 (the "Series 1 Shares") would be converted on October 31, 2019, into Non-Cumulative Floating Rate Preferred Shares NVCC, Series 2. As previously announced on October 1, 2019, the dividend rate for the Series 1 Shares for the 5-year period from and including October 31, 2019, but excluding October 31, 2024, will be 3.662%.

<sup>5</sup> On July 18, 2019, the Bank announced that none of its 20 million Non-Cumulative 5-Year Rate Reset Preferred Shares NVCC, Series 3 (the "Series 3 Shares") would be converted on July 31, 2019, into Non-Cumulative Floating Rate Preferred Shares NVCC, Series 4. As previously announced on July 2, 2019, the dividend rate for the Series 3 Shares for the 5-year period from and including July 31, 2019, but excluding July 31, 2024, will be 3.681%.

## NORMAL COURSE ISSUER BID

On October 24, 2019, the Bank announced that, subject to the approval of OSFI and the Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX), it intends to terminate its current normal course issuer bid (Current NCIB) and launch a new normal course issuer bid (New NCIB) to repurchase for cancellation up to 30 million of its common shares. The Current NCIB to repurchase up to 20 million common shares commenced on June 18, 2019 and is scheduled to terminate on June 17, 2020 unless terminated earlier in accordance with its terms. The Bank has repurchased all 20 million of its common shares under the Current NCIB, at an average price of \$75.35 per share for a total amount of \$1.5 billion.

During the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank repurchased an aggregate of 30 million common shares under the Current NCIB and a prior NCIB, at an average price of \$74.48 per share, for a total amount of \$2.2 billion.

During the year ended October 31, 2018, the Bank repurchased 20 million common shares under its then current NCIB at an average price of \$75.07 per share for a total amount of \$1.5 billion.

## DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

The Bank offers a dividend reinvestment plan for its common shareholders. Participation in the plan is optional and under the terms of the plan, cash dividends on common shares are used to purchase additional common shares. At the option of the Bank, the common shares may be issued from the Bank's treasury at an average market price based on the last five trading days before the date of the dividend payment, with a discount of between 0% to 5% at the Bank's discretion, or from the open market at market price. During the year, 4.8 million common shares at a discount of 0% were issued from the Bank's treasury (2018 – 5.0 million common shares at a discount of 0%) under the dividend reinvestment plan.

## DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

The Bank is prohibited by the *Bank Act* from declaring dividends on its preferred or common shares if there are reasonable grounds for believing that the Bank is, or the payment would cause the Bank to be, in contravention of the capital adequacy and liquidity regulations of the *Bank Act* or directions of OSFI. The Bank does not anticipate that this condition will restrict it from paying dividends in the normal course of business.

The Bank is also restricted from paying dividends in the event that Trust IV fails to pay interest in full to holders of its trust securities, TD CaTS IV Notes. In addition, the ability to pay dividends on common shares without the approval of the holders of the outstanding preferred shares is restricted unless all dividends on the preferred shares have been declared and paid or set apart for payment. Currently, these limitations do not restrict the payment of dividends on common shares or preferred shares.

## NON-CONTROLLING INTERESTS IN SUBSIDIARIES

The following are included in non-controlling interests in subsidiaries of the Bank.

	(millions of Canadian dollars)	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
TD Capital Trust III Securities – Series 2008 <sup>1</sup>	\$ –	\$ 993
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 993</b>

<sup>1</sup> On December 31, 2018, Trust III, a subsidiary of the Bank, redeemed all of the outstanding TD CaTS III at a price of \$1 billion plus the unpaid distribution payable on the redemption date.



**INSURANCE REVENUE AND EXPENSES**

Insurance revenue and expenses are presented on the Consolidated Statement of Income under insurance revenue and insurance claims and related expenses, respectively, net of impact of reinsurance.

This includes the results of property and casualty insurance, life and health insurance, as well as reinsurance assumed and ceded in Canada and internationally.

**Insurance Revenue and Insurance Claims and Related Expenses**

	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
<i>(millions of Canadian dollars)</i>			
<b>Insurance Revenue</b>			
Earned Premiums			
Gross	\$ 4,632	\$ 4,398	\$ 4,132
Reinsurance ceded	915	915	915
Net earned premiums	3,717	3,483	3,217
Fee income and other revenue <sup>1</sup>	565	562	543
<b>Insurance Revenue</b>	<b>4,282</b>	<b>4,045</b>	<b>3,760</b>
<b>Insurance Claims and Related Expenses</b>			
Gross	2,987	2,676	2,381
Reinsurance ceded	200	232	135
<b>Insurance Claims and Related Expenses</b>	<b>\$ 2,787</b>	<b>\$ 2,444</b>	<b>\$ 2,246</b>

<sup>1</sup> Ceding commissions received and paid are included within fee income and other revenue. Ceding commissions paid and netted against fee income in 2019 were \$123 million (2018 – \$130 million; 2017 – \$127 million).

**RECONCILIATION OF CHANGES IN INSURANCE LIABILITIES**

Insurance-related liabilities are comprised of provision for unpaid claims (section (a) below), unearned premiums (section (b) below) and other liabilities (section (c) below).

**(a) Movement in Provision for Unpaid Claims**

The following table presents movements in the property and casualty insurance provision for unpaid claims during the year.

**Movement in Provision for Unpaid Claims**

	October 31, 2019			October 31, 2018		
	Gross	Reinsurance/ Other recoverable	Net	Gross	Reinsurance/ Other recoverable	Net
<b>Balance as at beginning of year</b>	<b>\$ 4,812</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ 4,652</b>	<b>\$ 4,965</b>	<b>\$ 192</b>	<b>\$ 4,773</b>
Claims costs for current accident year	2,727	–	2,727	2,673	42	2,631
Prior accident years claims development (favourable) unfavourable	(410)	(2)	(408)	(460)	(6)	(454)
Increase (decrease) due to changes in assumptions:						
Discount rate	95	1	94	(78)	–	(78)
Provision for adverse deviation	(7)	(1)	(6)	(19)	(1)	(18)
<b>Claims and related expenses</b>	<b>2,405</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>2,407</b>	<b>2,116</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2,081</b>
Claims paid during the year for:						
Current accident year	(1,239)	–	(1,239)	(1,238)	(15)	(1,223)
Prior accident years	(1,147)	(26)	(1,121)	(1,023)	(44)	(979)
	(2,386)	(26)	(2,360)	(2,261)	(59)	(2,202)
Increase (decrease) in reinsurance/other recoverables	9	9	–	(8)	(8)	–
<b>Balance as at end of year</b>	<b>\$ 4,840</b>	<b>\$ 141</b>	<b>\$ 4,699</b>	<b>\$ 4,812</b>	<b>\$ 160</b>	<b>\$ 4,652</b>

**(b) Movement in Unearned Premiums**

The following table presents movements in the property and casualty insurance unearned premiums during the year.

**Movement in Provision for Unearned Premiums**

	October 31, 2019			October 31, 2018		
	Gross	Reinsurance	Net	Gross	Reinsurance	Net
<b>Balance as at beginning of year</b>	<b>\$ 1,674</b>	<b>\$ 19</b>	<b>\$ 1,655</b>	<b>\$ 1,581</b>	<b>\$ –</b>	<b>\$ 1,581</b>
Written premiums	3,528	105	3,423	3,185	114	3,071
Earned premiums	(3,333)	(107)	(3,226)	(3,092)	(95)	(2,997)
<b>Balance as at end of year</b>	<b>\$ 1,869</b>	<b>\$ 17</b>	<b>\$ 1,852</b>	<b>\$ 1,674</b>	<b>\$ 19</b>	<b>\$ 1,655</b>

### (c) Other Movements in Insurance Liabilities

Other insurance liabilities, which include actuarial liabilities on life and health insurance and other contractual liabilities related to insurance contracts, were \$211 million as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$212 million).

### PROPERTY AND CASUALTY CLAIMS DEVELOPMENT

The following table shows the estimates of cumulative claims incurred, including IBNR, with subsequent developments during the periods and together with cumulative payments to date. The original reserve estimates are evaluated monthly for redundancy or deficiency. The evaluation is based on actual payments in full or partial settlement of claims and current estimates of claims liabilities for claims still open or claims still unreported.

### Incurred Claims by Accident Year

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Accident year										
	2010 and prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
<b>Net ultimate claims cost at end of accident year</b>	<b>\$ 3,998</b>	<b>\$ 1,724</b>	<b>\$ 1,830</b>	<b>\$ 2,245</b>	<b>\$ 2,465</b>	<b>\$ 2,409</b>	<b>\$ 2,438</b>	<b>\$ 2,425</b>	<b>\$ 2,631</b>	<b>\$ 2,727</b>	
<b>Revised estimates</b>											
One year later	4,119	1,728	1,930	2,227	2,334	2,367	2,421	2,307	2,615	–	
Two years later	4,368	1,823	1,922	2,191	2,280	2,310	2,334	2,258	–	–	
Three years later	4,584	1,779	1,885	2,158	2,225	2,234	2,264	–	–	–	
Four years later	4,560	1,768	1,860	2,097	2,147	2,162	–	–	–	–	
Five years later	4,603	1,739	1,818	2,047	2,084	–	–	–	–	–	
Six years later	4,537	1,702	1,793	2,004	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Seven years later	4,488	1,696	1,761	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Eight years later	4,473	1,675	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Nine years later	4,431	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
<b>Current estimates of cumulative claims</b>	<b>4,431</b>	<b>1,675</b>	<b>1,761</b>	<b>2,004</b>	<b>2,084</b>	<b>2,162</b>	<b>2,264</b>	<b>2,258</b>	<b>2,615</b>	<b>2,727</b>	
Cumulative payments to date	(4,290)	(1,633)	(1,680)	(1,882)	(1,867)	(1,794)	(1,708)	(1,569)	(1,710)	(1,239)	
Net undiscounted provision for unpaid claims	141	42	81	122	217	368	556	689	905	1,488	\$ 4,609
Effect of discounting											(318)
Provision for adverse deviation											408
<b>Net provision for unpaid claims</b>											<b>\$ 4,699</b>

### SENSITIVITY TO INSURANCE RISK

A variety of assumptions are made related to the future level of claims, policyholder behaviour, expenses and sales levels when products are designed and priced, as well as when actuarial liabilities are determined. Such assumptions require a significant amount of professional judgment. The insurance claims provision is sensitive to certain assumptions. It has not been possible to quantify the sensitivity of certain assumptions such as legislative changes or uncertainty in the estimation process. Actual experience may differ from the assumptions made by the Bank.

For property and casualty insurance, the main assumption underlying the claims liability estimates is that past claims development experience can be used to project future claims development and hence ultimate claims costs. As such, these methods extrapolate the development of paid and incurred losses, average costs per claim, and claim numbers based on the observed development of earlier years and expected loss

ratios. Claims liabilities estimates are based on various quantitative and qualitative factors including the discount rate, the margin for adverse deviation, reinsurance, trends in claims severity and frequency, and other external drivers.

Qualitative and other unforeseen factors could negatively impact the Bank's ability to accurately assess the risk of the insurance policies that the Bank underwrites. In addition, there may be significant lags between the occurrence of an insured event and the time it is actually reported to the Bank and additional lags between the time of reporting and final settlements of claims.

The following table outlines the sensitivity of the Bank's property and casualty insurance claims liabilities to reasonably possible movements in the discount rate, the margin for adverse deviation, and the frequency and severity of claims, with all other assumptions held constant. Movements in the assumptions may be non-linear.

### Sensitivity of Critical Assumptions – Property and Casualty Insurance Contract Liabilities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31, 2019		As at October 31, 2018	
	Impact on net income (loss) before income taxes	Impact on equity	Impact on net income (loss) before income taxes	Impact on equity
<b>Impact of a 1% change in key assumptions</b>				
Discount rate				
Increase in assumption	\$ 122	\$ 89	\$ 121	\$ 88
Decrease in assumption	(131)	(96)	(129)	(95)
Margin for adverse deviation				
Increase in assumption	(45)	(33)	(45)	(33)
Decrease in assumption	45	33	45	33
<b>Impact of a 5% change in key assumptions</b>				
Frequency of claims				
Increase in assumption	\$ (52)	\$ (38)	\$ (41)	\$ (30)
Decrease in assumption	52	38	41	30
Severity of claims				
Increase in assumption	(220)	(161)	(210)	(153)
Decrease in assumption	220	161	210	153

For life and health insurance, the processes used to determine critical assumptions are as follows:

- Mortality, morbidity, and lapse assumptions are based on industry and historical company data.
- Expense assumptions are based on an annually updated expense study that is used to determine expected expenses for future years.
- Asset reinvestment rates are based on projected earned rates, and liabilities are calculated using the Canadian Asset Liability Method (CALM).

A sensitivity analysis for possible movements in the life and health insurance business assumptions was performed and the impact is not significant to the Bank's Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### CONCENTRATION OF INSURANCE RISK

Concentration risk is the risk resulting from large exposures to similar risks that are positively correlated.

Risk associated with automobile, residential and other products may vary in relation to the geographical area of the risk insured. Exposure to concentrations of insurance risk, by type of risk, is mitigated by ceding these risks through reinsurance contracts, as well as careful selection and implementation of underwriting strategies, which is in

turn largely achieved through diversification by line of business and geographical areas. For automobile insurance, legislation is in place at a provincial level and this creates differences in the benefits provided among the provinces.

As at October 31, 2019, for the property and casualty insurance business, 66.0% of net written premiums were derived from automobile policies (October 31, 2018 – 66.2%) followed by residential with 33.5% (October 31, 2018 – 33.3%). The distribution by provinces show that business is mostly concentrated in Ontario with 53.9% of net written premiums (October 31, 2018 – 55.0%). The Western provinces represented 31.2% (October 31, 2018 – 30.4%), followed by the Atlantic provinces with 8.8% (October 31, 2018 – 8.5%), and Québec at 6.1% (October 31, 2018 – 6.0%).

Concentration risk is not a major concern for the life and health insurance business as it does not have a material level of regional specific characteristics like those exhibited in the property and casualty insurance business. Reinsurance is used to limit the liability on a single claim. Concentration risk is further limited by diversification across uncorrelated risks. This limits the impact of a regional pandemic and other concentration risks. To improve understanding of exposure to this risk, a pandemic scenario is tested annually.

#### NOTE 23 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

##### STOCK OPTION PLAN

The Bank maintains a stock option program for certain key employees. Options on common shares are periodically granted to eligible employees of the Bank under the plan for terms of ten years and vest over a four-year period. These options provide holders with the right to purchase common shares of the Bank at a fixed price equal to the closing market price of the shares on the day prior to the date the

options were issued. Under this plan, 16 million common shares have been reserved for future issuance (October 31, 2018 – 18 million). The outstanding options expire on various dates to December 12, 2028. The following table summarizes the Bank's stock option activity and related information, adjusted to reflect the impact of the stock dividend on a retrospective basis, for the years ended October 31.

##### Stock Option Activity

(millions of shares and Canadian dollars)

	2019		2018		2017	
	Number of shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Number of shares	Weighted-average exercise price	Number of shares	Weighted-average exercise price
Number outstanding, beginning of year	13.1	\$ 53.12	14.3	\$ 48.17	15.4	\$ 44.18
Granted	2.2	69.39	1.9	72.64	2.0	65.75
Exercised	(2.3)	44.07	(3.0)	41.21	(3.0)	38.59
Forfeited/cancelled	(0.2)	66.59	(0.1)	60.46	(0.1)	54.58
<b>Number outstanding, end of year</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>\$ 57.35</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>\$ 53.12</b>	<b>14.3</b>	<b>\$ 48.17</b>
Exercisable, end of year	4.7	\$ 44.77	4.7	\$ 40.61	5.4	\$ 38.00

The weighted-average share price for the options exercised in 2019 was \$74.15 (2018 – \$74.99; 2017 – \$67.79).

The following table summarizes information relating to stock options outstanding and exercisable as at October 31, 2019.

##### Range of Exercise Prices

(millions of shares and Canadian dollars)

	Options outstanding			Options exercisable	
	Number of shares outstanding	Weighted-average remaining contractual life (years)	Weighted-average exercise price	Number of shares exercisable	Weighted-average exercise price
\$32.99 – \$36.64	1.2	1.7	36.58	1.2	36.58
\$40.54 – \$47.59	2.1	3.5	44.22	2.1	44.22
\$52.46 – \$53.15	3.7	5.6	52.88	1.4	52.46
\$65.75 – \$69.39	4.0	8.1	67.67	–	–
\$72.64	1.8	8.0	72.64	–	–

For the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank recognized compensation expense for stock option awards of \$11.1 million (October 31, 2018 – \$11.5 million; October 31, 2017 – \$14.8 million). For the year ended October 31, 2019, 2.2 million (October 31, 2018 – 1.9 million; October 31, 2017 – 2.0 million) options were granted by the Bank at a weighted-average fair value of \$5.64 per option (2018 – \$6.28 per option; 2017 – \$5.81 per option).

The following table summarizes the assumptions used for estimating the fair value of options for the twelve months ended October 31.

#### Assumptions Used for Estimating the Fair Value of Options

(in Canadian dollars, except as noted)	2019	2018	2017
Risk-free interest rate	2.03%	1.71%	1.24%
Expected option life	6.3 years	6.3 years	6.3 years
Expected volatility <sup>1</sup>	12.64%	13.91%	14.92%
Expected dividend yield	3.48%	3.50%	3.47%
Exercise price/share price	\$ 69.39	\$ 72.64	\$ 65.75

<sup>1</sup> Expected volatility is calculated based on the average daily volatility measured over a historical period corresponding to the expected option life.

#### OTHER SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Bank operates restricted share unit and performance share unit plans which are offered to certain employees of the Bank. Under these plans, participants are awarded share units equivalent to the Bank's common shares that generally vest over three years. During the vesting period, dividend equivalents accrue to the participants in the form of additional share units. At the maturity date, the participant receives cash representing the value of the share units. The final number of performance share units will typically vary from 80% to 120% of the number of units outstanding at maturity (consisting of initial units awarded plus additional units in lieu of dividends) based on the Bank's total shareholder return relative to the average of a peer group of large financial institutions. The number of such share units outstanding under these plans as at October 31, 2019 was 22 million (2018 – 23 million).

The Bank also offers deferred share unit plans to eligible employees and non-employee directors. Under these plans, a portion of the participant's annual incentive award may be deferred, or in the case of non-employee directors, a portion of their annual compensation may be delivered as share units equivalent to the Bank's common shares.

The deferred share units are not redeemable by the participant until termination of employment or directorship. Once these conditions are met, the deferred share units must be redeemed for cash no later than the end of the next calendar year. Dividend equivalents accrue to the participants in the form of additional units. As at October 31, 2019, 6.6 million deferred share units were outstanding (October 31, 2018 – 6.6 million).

Compensation expense for these plans is recorded in the year the incentive award is earned by the plan participant. Changes in the value of these plans are recorded, net of the effects of related hedges, on the Consolidated Statement of Income. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank recognized compensation expense, net of the effects of hedges, for these plans of \$546 million (2018 – \$509 million; 2017 – \$490 million). The compensation expense recognized before the effects of hedges was \$662 million (2018 – \$607 million; 2017 – \$917 million). The carrying amount of the liability relating to these plans, based on the closing share price, was \$2.0 billion at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$2.1 billion), and is reported in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

#### EMPLOYEE OWNERSHIP PLAN

The Bank also operates a share purchase plan available to Canadian employees. Employees can contribute any amount of their eligible earnings (net of source deductions), subject to an annual cap of 10% of salary to the Employee Ownership Plan. For participating employees below the level of Vice President, the Bank matches 100% of the first \$250 of employee contributions each year and the remainder of employee contributions at 50% to an overall maximum of 3.5% of the employee's eligible earnings or \$2,250, whichever comes first. The Bank's contributions vest once an employee has completed two years of continuous service with the Bank. For the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank's contributions totalled \$74 million (2018 – \$72 million; 2017 – \$70 million) and were expensed as salaries and employee benefits. As at October 31, 2019, an aggregate of 20 million common shares were held under the Employee Ownership Plan (October 31, 2018 – 20 million). The shares in the Employee Ownership Plan are purchased in the open market and are considered outstanding for computing the Bank's basic and diluted earnings per share. Dividends earned on the Bank's common shares held by the Employee Ownership Plan are used to purchase additional common shares for the Employee Ownership Plan in the open market.

**DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION AND OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFIT (OPEB) PLANS**

The Bank's principal pension plans, consisting of The Pension Fund Society of The Toronto-Dominion Bank (the "Society") and the TD Pension Plan (Canada) (TDPP), are defined benefit plans for Canadian Bank employees. The Society was closed to new members on January 30, 2009, and the TDPP commenced on March 1, 2009. Benefits under the principal pension plans are determined based upon the period of plan participation and the average salary of the member in the best consecutive five years in the last ten years of combined plan membership. Effective December 31, 2018, the defined benefit portion of the TDPP was closed to new employees hired after that date. All new permanent employees hired in Canada on or after January 1, 2019 are eligible to join the defined contribution portion of the TDPP after one year of service.

Funding for the Bank's principal pension plans is provided by contributions from the Bank and members of the plans. In accordance with legislation, the Bank contributes amounts, as determined on an actuarial basis, to the plans and has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the liabilities of the plans are adequately funded over time. The Bank's contributions to the principal pension plans during 2019 were \$352 million (2018 – \$355 million). The 2019 and 2018 contributions were made in accordance with the actuarial valuation reports for funding purposes as at October 31, 2018 and October 31, 2017, respectively, for both of the principal pension plans. For both of the principal pension plans, a valuation for funding purposes is being prepared as of October 31, 2019.

The Bank also provides certain post-retirement benefits, which are generally unfunded. Post-retirement benefit plans, where offered, generally include health care and dental benefits or an annual discount amount to be used to reduce the cost of coverage. Employees must meet certain age and service requirements to be eligible for post-retirement benefits and are generally required to pay a portion of the cost of the benefits. Effective June 1, 2017, the Bank's principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan was closed to new employees hired on or after that date.

**INVESTMENT STRATEGY AND ASSET ALLOCATION**

The primary objective of each of the Society and the TDPP is to achieve a rate of return that meets or exceeds the change in value of the plan's respective liabilities over rolling five-year periods. The investments of the Society and the TDPP are managed with the primary objective of providing reasonable rates of return, consistent with available market opportunities, consideration of plan liabilities, prudent portfolio management, and levels of risk commensurate with the return expectations and asset mix policy as set out by the risk budget of 6% and 14% surplus volatility, respectively. The investment policies for the principal pension plans generally do not apply to the Pension Enhancement Account (PEA) assets, which are invested at the members' discretion in certain mutual and pooled funds.

The asset allocations by asset category for the principal pension plans are as follows:

**Plan Asset Allocation**

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	Target range	% of total	Society <sup>1</sup>		Target range	% of total	TDPP <sup>1</sup>	
			Quoted	Fair value Unquoted			Quoted	Fair value Unquoted
<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>								
Debt	40-70%	55%	\$ –	\$ 3,374	25-50%	34%	\$ –	\$ 634
Equity	24-42	32	1,002	976	30-70	54	504	503
Alternative investments <sup>2</sup>	6-35	13	–	760	5-35	12	–	229
Other <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	–	(276)	n/a	n/a	–	111
<b>Total</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,002</b>	<b>\$ 4,834</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 504</b>	<b>\$ 1,477</b>

As at October 31, 2018

Debt	40-70%	55%	\$ –	\$ 2,885	25-50%	34%	\$ –	\$ 497
Equity	24-42	34	897	869	30-65	58	396	470
Alternative investments <sup>2</sup>	6-35	11	–	551	3-25	8	–	122
Other <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	–	(107)	n/a	n/a	–	63
<b>Total</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 897</b>	<b>\$ 4,198</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 396</b>	<b>\$ 1,152</b>

As at October 31, 2017

Debt	40-70%	57%	\$ –	\$ 2,903	25-56%	36%	\$ –	\$ 484
Equity	24-42	35	1,248	511	30-65	59	324	478
Alternative investments <sup>2</sup>	0-35	8	42	376	0-20	5	–	68
Other <sup>3</sup>	n/a	n/a	–	46	n/a	n/a	–	56
<b>Total</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,290</b>	<b>\$ 3,836</b>		<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 324</b>	<b>\$ 1,086</b>

<sup>1</sup> The principal pension plans invest in investment vehicles which may hold shares or debt issued by the Bank.

<sup>2</sup> The principal pension plans' alternative investments primarily include private equity, infrastructure, and real estate funds.

<sup>3</sup> Consists mainly of amounts due to and due from brokers for securities traded but not yet settled, PEA assets, and interest and dividends receivable.

Public debt instruments of both the Society and the TDPP must meet or exceed a credit rating of BBB- at the time of purchase. There are no limitations on the maximum amount allocated to each credit rating above BBB+ for the total public debt portfolio.

With respect to the Society's public debt portfolio, up to 15% of the total fund can be invested in a bond mandate subject to the following constraints:

- Debt instruments rated BBB+ to BBB- must not exceed 25% of the mandate in total;
- Asset-backed securities must have a minimum credit rating of AAA and not exceed 25% of the mandate in total;
- Debt instruments of non-government entities must not exceed 80% in total;
- Debt instruments of foreign government entities must not exceed 20% in total;
- Debt instruments of either a single non-government or single foreign government entity must not exceed 10%; and
- Debt instruments issued by the Government of Canada, provinces of Canada, or municipalities must in total not exceed 100%, 75%, or 10%, respectively.

Also with respect to the Society's public debt portfolio, up to a further 10% of the total fund can be invested in a bond mandate subject to the following constraints:

- Debt instruments rated BBB+ to BBB- must not exceed 50% of the mandate in total;
- Asset-backed securities must have a minimum credit rating of AAA and not exceed 25% of the mandate in total; and
- Limitation of 10% for any one issuer.

The remainder of the Society's public debt portfolio is not permitted to invest in the debt instruments of foreign or non-government entities.

With respect to the TDPP's public debt portfolio, up to 15% of the total fund can be invested in a passively managed bond mandate that is based on an index entirely comprised of investment-grade debt instruments issued by the Government of Canada, provinces of Canada, Canadian municipalities, and Canadian non-government entities.

The remainder of the TDPP's public debt portfolio is not permitted to invest in the debt instruments of foreign or non-government entities.

The equity portfolios of both the Society and the TDPP are broadly diversified primarily across small to large capitalization quality companies and income trusts with no individual holding exceeding 10% of the equity portfolio or 10% of the outstanding securities of any one company or income trust at any time. Foreign equities are permitted to be included to further diversify the portfolio. A maximum of 10% of a total fund may be invested in emerging market equities.

For both the Society and the TDPP, derivatives can be utilized, provided they are not used to create financial leverage, but rather for risk management purposes. Both the Society and the TDPP are also permitted to invest in other alternative investments, such as private equity, infrastructure equity, and real estate.

## RISK MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

The principal pension plans' investments include financial instruments which are exposed to various risks. These risks include market risk (including foreign currency, interest rate, inflation, price, credit spread risks), credit risk, and liquidity risk. Key material risks faced by all plans are a decline in interest rates or credit spreads, which could increase the defined benefit obligation by more than the change in the value of plan assets, or from longevity risk (that is, lower mortality rates).

Asset-liability matching strategies are focused on obtaining an appropriate balance between earning an adequate return and having changes in liability values being hedged by changes in asset values.

The principal pension plans manage these financial risks in accordance with the *Pension Benefits Standards Act, 1985*, applicable regulations, as well as both the principal pension plans' Statement of Investment Policies and Procedures (SIPP) and the Management Operating Policies and Procedures (MOPP). The following are some specific risk management practices employed by the principal pension plans:

- Monitoring credit exposure of issuers;
- Monitoring adherence to asset allocation guidelines;
- Monitoring asset class performance against benchmarks; and
- Monitoring the return on the plans' assets relative to the plans' liabilities.

The Bank's principal pension plans are overseen by a single retirement governance structure established by the Human Resources Committee of the Bank's Board of Directors. The governance structure utilizes retirement governance committees who have responsibility to oversee plan operations and investments, acting in a fiduciary capacity. Strategic, material plan changes require the approval of the Bank's Board of Directors.

## OTHER PENSION AND RETIREMENT PLANS

### CT Pension Plan

As a result of the acquisition of CT Financial Services Inc. (CT), the Bank sponsors a defined benefit pension plan. The defined benefit plan was closed to new members after May 31, 1987. However, plan members were permitted to continue in the plan for future service. Funding for the plan is provided by contributions from the Bank and members of the plan.

### TD Bank, N.A. Retirement Plans

TD Bank, N.A. and its subsidiaries maintain a defined contribution 401(k) plan covering all employees. The contributions to the plan for the year ended October 31, 2019 were \$146 million (October 31, 2018 – \$134 million; October 31, 2017 – \$124 million). Annual expense is equal to the Bank's contributions to the plan.

TD Bank, N.A. also has frozen defined benefit retirement plans covering certain legacy TD Banknorth and TD Auto Finance (legacy Chrysler Financial) employees. TD Bank, N.A. also has closed post-retirement benefit plans, which include limited medical coverage and life insurance benefits, covering certain groups of employees from legacy organizations.

### Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans

Supplemental employee retirement plans for eligible employees are not funded by the Bank.

### Government Pension Plans

The Bank also makes contributions to government pension plans, including the Canada Pension Plan, Quebec Pension Plan and U.S. Federal Insurance Contribution Act. The contributions to government pension plans for the year ended October 31, 2019 were \$324 million (October 31, 2018 – \$293 million; October 31, 2017 – \$277 million).

The following table presents the financial position of the Bank's principal pension plans, the principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan, and the Bank's significant other pension and retirement plans. Other employee benefit plans operated by the Bank and certain of its subsidiaries are not considered material for disclosure purposes.

## Employee Benefit Plans' Obligations, Assets and Funded Status

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	Principal pension plans			Principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan <sup>1</sup>			Other pension and retirement plans <sup>2</sup>		
	2019	2018	2017	2019	2018	2017	2019	2018	2017
<b>Change in projected benefit obligation</b>									
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 6,539	\$ 7,082	\$ 6,805	\$ 535	\$ 558	\$ 568	\$ 2,569	\$ 2,750	\$ 2,863
Obligations included due to The Retirement Benefit Plan merger <sup>3</sup>	–	6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Service cost – benefits earned	326	407	439	14	15	16	9	10	11
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	240	217	196	20	18	17	106	96	95
Remeasurement (gain) loss – financial	1,565	(969)	(148)	92	(42)	–	430	(190)	(27)
Remeasurement (gain) loss – demographic	–	–	25	(26)	–	(42)	2	(8)	13
Remeasurement (gain) loss – experience	83	22	(15)	–	2	15	6	14	1
Members' contributions	107	104	80	–	–	–	–	–	–
Benefits paid	(303)	(330)	(291)	(15)	(16)	(16)	(143)	(137)	(138)
Change in foreign currency exchange rate	–	–	–	–	–	–	(1)	31	(68)
Past service cost (credit) <sup>4</sup>	1	–	(9)	–	–	–	(30)	3	–
<b>Projected benefit obligation as at October 31</b>	<b>8,558</b>	<b>6,539</b>	<b>7,082</b>	<b>620</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>2,948</b>	<b>2,569</b>	<b>2,750</b>
<b>Change in plan assets</b>									
Plan assets at fair value at beginning of year	6,643	6,536	5,823	–	–	–	1,733	1,855	1,895
Assets included due to The Retirement Benefit Plan merger <sup>3</sup>	–	10	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Interest income on plan assets	253	209	174	–	–	–	73	66	64
Remeasurement gain (loss) – return on plan assets less interest income	773	(231)	195	–	–	–	205	(109)	59
Members' contributions	107	104	80	–	–	–	–	–	–
Employer's contributions	352	355	565	15	16	16	96	37	37
Benefits paid	(303)	(330)	(291)	(15)	(16)	(16)	(143)	(137)	(138)
Change in foreign currency exchange rate	–	–	–	–	–	–	(1)	27	(58)
Defined benefit administrative expenses	(8)	(10)	(10)	–	–	–	(4)	(6)	(4)
<b>Plan assets at fair value as at October 31</b>	<b>7,817</b>	<b>6,643</b>	<b>6,536</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>–</b>	<b>1,959</b>	<b>1,733</b>	<b>1,855</b>
Excess (deficit) of plan assets at fair value over projected benefit obligation	(741)	104	(546)	(620)	(535)	(558)	(989)	(836)	(895)
Effect of asset limitation and minimum funding requirement	–	–	–	–	–	–	(13)	(13)	–
<b>Net defined benefit asset (liability)</b>	<b>(741)</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>(546)</b>	<b>(620)</b>	<b>(535)</b>	<b>(558)</b>	<b>(1,002)</b>	<b>(849)</b>	<b>(895)</b>
<b>Annual expense</b>									
Net employee benefits expense includes the following:									
Service cost – benefits earned	326	407	439	14	15	16	9	10	11
Net interest cost (income) on net defined benefit liability (asset)	(13)	8	22	20	18	17	33	30	31
Past service cost (credit) <sup>4</sup>	1	–	(9)	–	–	–	(30)	3	–
Defined benefit administrative expenses	10	10	10	–	–	–	6	4	4
<b>Total expense</b>	<b>\$ 324</b>	<b>\$ 425</b>	<b>\$ 462</b>	<b>\$ 34</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>\$ 33</b>	<b>\$ 18</b>	<b>\$ 47</b>	<b>\$ 46</b>
<b>Actuarial assumptions used to determine the projected benefit obligation as at October 31 (percentage)</b>									
Weighted-average discount rate for projected benefit obligation	3.08%	4.10%	3.60%	3.07%	4.10%	3.60%	3.12%	4.37%	3.74%
Weighted-average rate of compensation increase	2.57	2.54	2.54	3.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.03	1.14

<sup>1</sup> The rate of increase for health care costs for the next year used to measure the expected cost of benefits covered for the principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan is 4.18%. The rate is assumed to decrease gradually to 2.42% by the year 2040 and remain at that level thereafter.

<sup>2</sup> Includes CT defined benefit pension plan, TD Banknorth defined benefit pension plan, TD Auto Finance retirement plans, and supplemental employee retirement plans. The TD Banknorth defined benefit pension plan was frozen as of December 31, 2008, and no service credits can be earned after that date.

Certain TD Auto Finance defined benefit pension plans were frozen as of April 1, 2012, and no service credits can be earned after March 31, 2012.

<sup>3</sup> During 2018, The Retirement Benefit Plan of The Toronto-Dominion Bank (the "RBP") was deemed to be merged with the Society and previously undisclosed obligations and assets of the RBP are now included in fiscal 2018.

<sup>4</sup> Includes a gain of \$33 million related to the TD Auto Finance post-retirement benefit plan that was amended during fiscal 2019.

During the year ended October 31, 2020, the Bank expects to contribute \$342 million to its principal pension plans, \$18 million to its principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan, and \$39 million to its other pension and retirement plans. Future contribution amounts may change upon the Bank's review of its contribution levels during the year.

Assumptions related to future mortality which have been used to determine the defined benefit obligation and net benefit cost are as follows:

## Assumed Life Expectancy at Age 65

(number of years)

	Principal pension plans			Principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan			Other pension and retirement plans		
							As at October 31		
	2019	2018	2017	2019	2018	2017	2019	2018	2017
Male aged 65 at measurement date	23.4	23.3	23.2	23.4	23.3	23.2	22.1	22.1	21.8
Female aged 65 at measurement date	24.1	24.1	24.0	24.1	24.1	24.0	23.7	23.7	23.4
Male aged 40 at measurement date	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	22.9	23.0	22.9
Female aged 40 at measurement date	25.3	25.2	25.2	25.3	25.2	25.2	24.8	24.8	25.1

The weighted-average duration of the defined benefit obligation for the Bank's principal pension plans, principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan, and other pension and retirement plans at the end of the reporting period are 16 years (2018 – 15 years, 2017 – 15 years), 18 years (2018 – 17 years, 2017 – 18 years), and 13 years (2018 – 12 years, 2017 – 13 years), respectively.

The following table provides the sensitivity of the projected benefit obligation for the Bank's principal pension plans, the principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan, and the Bank's significant other pension and retirement plans to actuarial assumptions considered significant by the Bank. These include discount rate, life expectancy, rates of compensation increase, and health care cost initial trend rates, as applicable. For each sensitivity test, the impact of a reasonably possible change in a single factor is shown with other assumptions left unchanged.

## Sensitivity of Significant Actuarial Assumptions

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	As at		
	October 31, 2019		
	Obligation Increase (Decrease)		
	Principal pension plans	Principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan	Other pension and retirement plans
<b>Impact of an absolute change in significant actuarial assumptions</b>			
Discount rate			
1% decrease in assumption	\$ 1,520	\$ 116	\$ 409
1% increase in assumption	(1,163)	(90)	(333)
Rates of compensation increase			
1% decrease in assumption	(313)	— <sup>1</sup>	— <sup>1</sup>
1% increase in assumption	305	— <sup>1</sup>	— <sup>1</sup>
Life expectancy			
1 year decrease in assumption	(179)	(21)	(94)
1 year increase in assumption	177	21	93
Health care cost initial trend rate			
1% decrease in assumption	n/a	(89)	n/a
1% increase in assumption	n/a	113	n/a

<sup>1</sup> An absolute change in this assumption is immaterial.

The Bank recognized the following amounts on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at		
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2017
<b>Other assets</b>			
Principal pension plans	\$ —	\$ 104	\$ —
Other pension and retirement plans	6	3	7
Other employee benefit plans <sup>1</sup>	7	6	6
<b>Total other assets</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Other liabilities</b>			
Principal pension plans	741	—	546
Principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan	620	535	558
Other pension and retirement plans	1,008	852	902
Other employee benefit plans <sup>1</sup>	412	360	457
<b>Total other liabilities</b>	<b>2,781</b>	<b>1,747</b>	<b>2,463</b>
<b>Net amount recognized</b>	<b>\$ (2,768)</b>	<b>\$ (1,634)</b>	<b>\$ (2,450)</b>

<sup>1</sup> Consists of other defined benefit pension and other post-employment benefit plans operated by the Bank and its subsidiaries that are not considered material for disclosure purposes.



The Bank recognized the following amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Other Comprehensive Income.

#### Amounts Recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Other Comprehensive Income<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	October 31 2019	For the years ended	
		October 31 2018	October 31 2017
Actuarial gains (losses) recognized in Other Comprehensive Income			
Principal pension plans	\$ (873)	\$ 720	\$ 333
Principal non-pension post-retirement benefit plan	(66)	40	27
Other pension and retirement plans	(231)	60	72
Other employee benefit plans <sup>2</sup>	(75)	45	22
<b>Total actuarial gains (losses) recognized in Other Comprehensive Income</b>	<b>\$ (1,245)</b>	<b>\$ 865</b>	<b>\$ 454</b>

<sup>1</sup> Amounts are presented on pre-tax basis.

<sup>2</sup> Consists of other defined benefit pension and other post-employment benefit plans operated by the Bank and its subsidiaries that are not considered material for disclosure purposes.

#### NOTE 25 INCOME TAXES

The provision for (recovery of) income taxes is comprised of the following:

#### Provision for (Recovery of) Income Taxes

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	2019	For the years ended October 31	
		2018	2017
<b>Provision for income taxes – Consolidated Statement of Income</b>			
<b>Current income taxes</b>			
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes for the current period	\$ 2,675	\$ 2,873	\$ 2,073
Adjustments in respect of prior years and other	93	(76)	5
<b>Total current income taxes</b>	<b>2,768</b>	<b>2,797</b>	<b>2,078</b>
<b>Deferred income taxes</b>			
Provision for (recovery of) deferred income taxes related to the origination and reversal of temporary differences	54	76	215
Effect of changes in tax rates	10	302	13
Adjustments in respect of prior years and other	(97)	7	(53)
<b>Total deferred income taxes</b>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>Total provision for income taxes – Consolidated Statement of Income</b>	<b>2,735</b>	<b>3,182</b>	<b>2,253</b>
<b>Provision for (recovery of) income taxes – Statement of Other Comprehensive Income</b>			
Current income taxes	37	(48)	261
Deferred income taxes	1,070	(701)	(755)
	<b>1,107</b>	<b>(749)</b>	<b>(494)</b>
<b>Income taxes – other non-income related items including business combinations and other adjustments</b>			
Current income taxes	(7)	(3)	29
Deferred income taxes	(6)	(2)	–
	<b>(13)</b>	<b>(5)</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Total provision for (recovery of) income taxes</b>	<b>3,829</b>	<b>2,428</b>	<b>1,788</b>
<b>Current income taxes</b>			
Federal	1,256	1,491	1,115
Provincial	891	1,055	797
Foreign	651	200	456
	<b>2,798</b>	<b>2,746</b>	<b>2,368</b>
<b>Deferred income taxes</b>			
Federal	127	(244)	(233)
Provincial	87	(160)	(156)
Foreign	817	86	(191)
	<b>1,031</b>	<b>(318)</b>	<b>(580)</b>
<b>Total provision for (recovery of) income taxes</b>	<b>\$ 3,829</b>	<b>\$ 2,428</b>	<b>\$ 1,788</b>

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. government enacted comprehensive tax legislation commonly referred to as the *Tax Cuts and Jobs Act* (the "U.S. Tax Act"), which made broad and complex changes to the U.S. tax code.

The reduction of the U.S. federal corporate tax rate enacted by the U.S. Tax Act resulted in an adjustment during 2018 to the Bank's U.S. deferred tax assets and liabilities to the lower base rate of 21%. The impact for the year ended October 31, 2018 was a reduction in the value of the Bank's net deferred tax assets resulting in a \$366 million

income tax expense recorded in the Provision for (recovery of) income taxes on the Consolidated Statement of Income, a \$22 million deferred income tax benefit recorded in OCI and a \$12 million deferred income tax expense recorded in retained earnings.

The impact of the U.S. Tax Act on the Bank's statutory and effective tax rate is outlined in the following table as part of the Rate differentials on international operations.

The Bank's statutory and effective tax rate is outlined in the following table.

#### Reconciliation to Statutory Income Tax Rate

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	2019		2018		2017	
Income taxes at Canadian statutory income tax rate	\$ 3,502	26.5%	\$ 3,648	26.5%	\$ 3,262	26.5%
Increase (decrease) resulting from:						
Dividends received	(104)	(0.8)	(142)	(1.0)	(498)	(4.0)
Rate differentials on international operations	(728)	(5.5)	(343)	(2.5)	(515)	(4.2)
Other – net	65	0.5	19	0.1	4	–
<b>Provision for income taxes and effective income tax rate</b>	<b>\$ 2,735</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>\$ 3,182</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>\$ 2,253</b>	<b>18.3%</b>

The Canada Revenue Agency (CRA), Revenu Québec Agency (RQA) and Alberta Tax and Revenue Administration (ATRA) are denying certain dividend deductions claimed by the Bank. During fiscal 2019, the CRA reassessed the Bank for \$255 million of additional income tax and interest in respect of its 2014 taxation year, and the RQA reassessed the Bank for \$6 million of additional income tax and interest in respect

of its 2013 taxation year. To date, the CRA, RQA, and ATRA have reassessed the Bank for approximately \$814 million of income tax and interest for the years 2011 to 2014. The Bank expects the CRA, RQA, and ATRA to reassess subsequent years on the same basis. The Bank is of the view that its tax filing positions were appropriate and intends to challenge all reassessments.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities comprise of the following:

#### Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Deferred tax assets</b>		
Allowance for credit losses	\$ 965	\$ 845
Securities	–	920
Trading loans	50	54
Employee benefits	844	739
Pensions	344	59
Losses available for carry forward	95	94
Tax credits	228	326
Other	88	92
<b>Total deferred tax assets</b>	<b>2,614</b>	<b>3,129</b>
<b>Deferred tax liabilities</b>		
Securities	527	–
Land, buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets	242	223
Deferred (income) expense	91	12
Intangibles	40	163
Goodwill	108	94
<b>Total deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>1,008</b>	<b>492</b>
<b>Net deferred tax assets</b>	<b>1,606</b>	<b>2,637</b>
<b>Reflected on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as follows:</b>		
Deferred tax assets	1,799	2,812
Deferred tax liabilities <sup>1</sup>	193	175
<b>Net deferred tax assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,606</b>	<b>\$ 2,637</b>

<sup>1</sup> Included in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The amount of temporary differences, unused tax losses, and unused tax credits for which no deferred tax asset is recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheet was \$461 million as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$806 million), of which \$3 million (October 31, 2018 – \$2 million) is scheduled to expire within five years.

Certain taxable temporary differences associated with the Bank's investments in subsidiaries, branches and associates, and interests in joint ventures did not result in the recognition of deferred tax liabilities as at October 31, 2019. The total amount of these temporary differences was \$71 billion as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$61 billion).

The movement in the net deferred tax asset for the years ended October 31 was as follows:

#### Deferred Income Tax Expense (Recovery)

(millions of Canadian dollars)				2019	2018			
	Consolidated statement of income	Other comprehensive income	Business combinations and other	Total	Consolidated statement of income	Other comprehensive income	Business combinations and other	Total
<b>Deferred income tax expense (recovery)</b>								
Allowance for credit losses	\$ (120)	\$ –	\$ –	\$ (120)	\$ 79	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 79
Trading loans	4	–	–	4	36	–	–	36
Employee benefits	(87)	(18)	–	(105)	61	14	–	75
Pensions	19	(303)	–	(284)	(20)	230	–	210
Losses available for carry forward	(1)	–	–	(1)	37	–	–	37
Tax credits	98	–	–	98	(304)	–	–	(304)
Other deferred tax assets	7	–	(4)	3	54	–	(2)	52
Securities	56	1,391	–	1,447	240	(945)	–	(705)
Land, buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets	19	–	–	19	216	–	–	216
Deferred (income) expense	79	–	–	79	95	–	–	95
Intangibles	(123)	–	–	(123)	(81)	–	–	(81)
Goodwill	16	–	(2)	14	(28)	–	–	(28)
<b>Total deferred income tax expense (recovery)</b>	<b>\$ (33)</b>	<b>\$ 1,070</b>	<b>\$ (6)</b>	<b>\$ 1,031</b>	<b>\$ 385</b>	<b>\$ (701)</b>	<b>\$ (2)</b>	<b>\$ (318)</b>

#### NOTE 26 EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated using the same method as basic earnings per share except that certain adjustments are made to net income attributable to common shareholders and the

weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the effects of all dilutive potential common shares that are assumed to be issued by the Bank.

The following table presents the Bank's basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended October 31.

#### Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)				For the years ended October 31		
		2019	2018	2017		
<b>Basic earnings per share</b>						
Net income attributable to common shareholders		\$ 11,416	\$ 11,048	\$ 10,203		
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (millions)		1,824.2	1,835.4	1,850.6		
<b>Basic earnings per share (Canadian dollars)</b>		<b>\$ 6.26</b>	<b>\$ 6.02</b>	<b>\$ 5.51</b>		
<b>Diluted earnings per share</b>						
Net income attributable to common shareholders		\$ 11,416	\$ 11,048	\$ 10,203		
Net income available to common shareholders including impact of dilutive securities		11,416	11,048	10,203		
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding (millions)		1,824.2	1,835.4	1,850.6		
Effect of dilutive securities						
Stock options potentially exercisable (millions) <sup>1</sup>		3.1	4.1	4.2		
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding – diluted (millions)		1,827.3	1,839.5	1,854.8		
<b>Diluted earnings per share (Canadian dollars)<sup>1</sup></b>		<b>\$ 6.25</b>	<b>\$ 6.01</b>	<b>\$ 5.50</b>		

<sup>1</sup> For the years ended October 31, 2019, October 31, 2018, and October 31, 2017, no outstanding options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

**PROVISIONS**

The following table summarizes the Bank's provisions.

**Provisions**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	Restructuring <sup>1</sup>	Litigation and Other	Total
Balance as at November 1, 2018	\$ 121	\$ 352	\$ 473
Additions	184	222	406
Amounts used	(53)	(219)	(272)
Release of unused amounts	(9)	(78)	(87)
Foreign currency translation adjustments and other	(2)	(8)	(10)
<b>Balance as at October 31, 2019, before allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet instruments</b>	<b>\$ 241</b>	<b>\$ 269</b>	<b>510</b>
Add: allowance for credit losses for off-balance sheet instruments <sup>2</sup>			585
<b>Balance as at October 31, 2019</b>			<b>\$ 1,095</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes provisions for onerous lease contracts.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 8 for further details.

**LITIGATION**

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank and its subsidiaries are involved in various legal and regulatory actions. The Bank establishes legal provisions when it becomes probable that the Bank will incur a loss and the amount can be reliably estimated. The Bank also estimates the aggregate range of reasonably possible losses (RPL) in its legal and regulatory actions (that is, those which are neither probable nor remote), in excess of provisions. As at October 31, 2019, the Bank's RPL is from zero to approximately \$606 million. The Bank's provisions and RPL represent the Bank's best estimates based upon currently available information for actions for which estimates can be made, but there are a number of factors that could cause the Bank's provisions and/or RPL to be significantly different from its actual or reasonably possible losses. For example, the Bank's estimates involve significant judgment due to the varying stages of the proceedings, the existence of multiple defendants in many proceedings whose share of liability has yet to be determined, the numerous yet-unresolved issues in many of the proceedings, some of which are beyond the Bank's control and/or involve novel legal theories and interpretations, the attendant uncertainty of the various potential outcomes of such proceedings, and the fact that the underlying matters will change from time to time. In addition, some actions seek very large or indeterminate damages.

In management's opinion, based on its current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, the ultimate disposition of these actions, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or the consolidated cash flows of the Bank. However, because of the factors listed above, as well as other uncertainties inherent in litigation and regulatory matters, there is a possibility that the ultimate resolution of legal or regulatory actions may be material to the Bank's consolidated results of operations for any particular reporting period.

**Stanford Litigation** – The Bank was named as a defendant in *Rotstain v. Trustmark National Bank, et al.*, a putative class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas related to a US\$7.2 billion Ponzi scheme perpetrated by R. Allen Stanford, the owner of Stanford International Bank, Limited (SIBL), an offshore bank based in Antigua. Plaintiffs purport to represent a class of investors in SIBL issued certificates of deposit. The Bank provided certain correspondent banking services to SIBL.

Plaintiffs allege that the Bank and four other banks aided and abetted or conspired with Mr. Stanford to commit fraud and that the bank defendants received fraudulent transfers from SIBL by collecting fees for providing certain services.

The Official Stanford Investors Committee (OSIC), a court-approved committee representing investors, received permission to intervene in the lawsuit and has brought similar claims against all the bank defendants. The court denied in part and granted in part the Bank's motion to dismiss the lawsuit on April 21, 2015. The court also entered a class certification scheduling order requiring the parties to conduct discovery and submit briefing regarding class certification. The class certification motion was fully submitted on October 26, 2015. The class plaintiffs filed an amended complaint asserting certain additional state law claims against the Bank on June 23, 2015. The Bank's motion to dismiss the newly amended complaint in its entirety was fully submitted on August 18, 2015. On April 22, 2016, the Bank filed a motion to reconsider the court's April 2015 dismissal decision with respect to certain claims by OSIC under the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act based on an intervening change in the law announced by the Texas Supreme Court on April 1, 2016. On July 28, 2016, the court issued a decision denying defendants' motions to dismiss the class plaintiffs' complaint and to reconsider with respect to OSIC's complaint. The Bank filed its answer to the class plaintiffs' complaint on August 26, 2016. OSIC filed an amended intervenor complaint against the Bank on November 4, 2016 and the Bank filed its answer to this amended complaint on December 19, 2016.

On November 7, 2017, the Court issued a decision denying the class certification motion. The court found that the plaintiffs failed to show that common issues of fact would predominate given the varying sales presentations they allegedly received.

On November 21, 2017, the class plaintiffs filed a Rule 23(f) petition seeking permission to appeal the District Court's denial of class certification to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. The Bank filed an opposition to the class plaintiffs' petition on December 4, 2017. The Fifth Circuit denied the class plaintiffs' petition on April 20, 2018.

On February 28, 2019, the Bank, along with the other bank defendants, filed a motion for judgment on the pleadings in OSIC's case seeking dismissal of three claims (aiding and abetting fraud, aiding and abetting conversion, and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty). The motion was fully briefed as of April 4, 2019.

On May 3, 2019, two groups of plaintiffs comprising more than 950 investors in certificates of deposit issued by SIBL filed motions to intervene in OSIC's case against the Bank and the other bank defendants. On September 18, 2019, the Court denied the motions to intervene. On October 14, 2019, one group of plaintiffs (comprising 147 investors) filed a notice of appeal to the Fifth Circuit.

On September 10, 2019, OSIC filed a motion for leave to amend its complaint against the Bank and the other bank defendants to insert additional fact allegations. The motion was fully briefed as of October 15, 2019.

On November 1, 2019, a second group of plaintiffs (comprising 1,286 investors) filed a petition in Texas state court against the Bank and other bank defendants alleging claims similar to those alleged in the *Rotstain v. Trustmark National Bank, et al.* action. Discovery against the bank defendants is ongoing, and the Court has set a ready-for-trial date of January 11, 2021.

The Bank is also a defendant in two cases filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice: (1) *Wide & Dickson v. The Toronto-Dominion Bank*, an action filed by the Joint Liquidators of SIBL appointed by the Eastern Caribbean Supreme Court, and (2) *Dynasty Furniture Manufacturing Ltd., et al. v. The Toronto-Dominion Bank*, an action filed by five investors in certificates of deposits sold by Stanford. The suits assert that the Bank acted negligently and provided knowing assistance to SIBL's fraud. The court denied the Bank's motion for summary judgment in the Joint Liquidators case to dismiss the action based on the applicable statute of limitations on November 9, 2015, and designated the limitations issues to be addressed as part of a future trial on the merits. The two cases filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice are being managed jointly. A trial date has been scheduled for January 11, 2021.

**Overdraft Litigation** – TD Bank, N.A. was named as a defendant in eleven putative nationwide class actions challenging the overdraft practices of TD Bank, N.A. from August 16, 2010 to the present and the overdraft practices of Carolina First Bank prior to its merger into TD Bank, N.A. in September 2010. These actions were consolidated for pretrial proceedings as MDL 2613 in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina: *In re TD Bank, N.A. Debit Card Overdraft Fee Litigation*, No. 6:15-MN-02613 (D.S.C.). On December 10, 2015, TD Bank, N.A.'s motion to dismiss the consolidated amended class action complaint was granted in part and denied in part. Discovery, briefing, and a hearing on class certification were complete as of May 24, 2017.

On January 5, 2017, TD Bank, N.A. was named as a defendant in a twelfth class action complaint (Dorsey) challenging an overdraft practice that was already the subject of the consolidated amended class action complaint. The Dorsey action was consolidated into MDL 2613, and dismissed by the Court. The Dorsey plaintiff appealed the dismissal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

On December 5, 2017, TD Bank, N.A. was named as a defendant in a thirteenth class action complaint (Lawrence) challenging the Bank's overdraft practices. The Lawrence action, which was also transferred to MDL 2613, concerns the Bank's treatment of certain transactions as "recurring" for overdraft purposes. The Bank moved to dismiss the claims.

On February 22, 2018, the Court issued an order certifying a class as to certain claims in the consolidated amended class action complaint and denying certification as to others. The Fourth Circuit denied the Bank's 23(f) petition seeking permission to appeal certain portions of the district court's order.

On February 1, 2019, the parties filed a Joint Notice of Settlement of all claims consolidated in MDL 2613 on a class-wide basis. In response to the Notice of Settlement, on February 4, 2019, the Court issued an order suspending all deadlines. On June 26, 2019, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving settlement of all claims consolidated in MDL 2613 on a class-wide basis and directing notice to settlement class members. A final approval hearing is scheduled for January 8, 2020. The Fourth Circuit suspended appeal proceedings in Dorsey pending the district court's review of the proposed settlement. In addition, the district court dismissed the Bank's motion to dismiss the Lawrence complaint without prejudice to refile pending its review of the settlement.

**Credit Card Fees** – Between 2011 and 2013, seven proposed class actions were commenced, five of which remain in British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario and Québec: *Coburn and Watson's Metropolitan Home v. Bank of America Corporation, et al.*; *Macaronies Hair Club v. BOFA Canada Bank, et al.*; *Hello Baby Equipment Inc. v. BOFA Canada Bank, et al.*; *Bancroft-Snell, et al. v. Visa Canada Corporation, et al.*; and *9085-4886 Québec Inc. v. Visa Canada Corporation, et al.* Subject to court approval of certain settlements, the remaining defendants in each action are the Bank and several other financial institutions. The plaintiff class members are Canadian merchants who accept payment for products and services by Visa Canada Corporation (Visa) and/or MasterCard International Incorporated (MasterCard) (collectively, the "Networks"). While there is some variance, in most of the actions it is alleged that, from March 2001 to the present, the Networks conspired with their issuing banks and acquirers to fix excessive fees and that certain rules have the effect of increasing the merchant fees.

The five actions that remain include claims of civil conspiracy, breach of the Competition Act, interference with economic relations, and unjust enrichment. Plaintiffs seek general and punitive damages. In the lead case proceeding in British Columbia, the decision to partially certify the action as a class proceeding was released on March 27, 2014. The certification decision was appealed by both plaintiff class representatives and defendants. The appeal hearing took place in December 2014 and the decision was released on August 19, 2015. While both the plaintiffs and defendants succeeded in part on their respective appeals, the class period for the plaintiffs' key claims was shortened significantly. At a hearing in October 2016, the plaintiffs sought to amend their claims to reinstate the extended class period. The plaintiffs' motion to amend their claims to reinstate the extended class period was denied by the motions judge and subsequently by the B.C. Court of Appeal. The plaintiffs have sought and were refused leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. The trial of the British Columbia action is currently scheduled to proceed in October 2020. In Québec, the motion for authorization proceeded on November 6-7, 2017 and the matter was authorized on similar grounds and for a similar period as in British Columbia. The plaintiffs appealed this decision. On July 25, 2019, the Quebec Court of Appeal granted the plaintiff's appeal, thereby reinstating the extended class period for the Quebec proceeding.

**Consumer Class Actions** – The Bank, along with several other Canadian financial institutions, is a defendant in a number of matters brought by consumers alleging provincial and/or national class claims in connection with various fees, interest rate calculations, and credit decisions. The cases are in various stages of maturity. The one matter where the Bank is the sole defendant has been settled in principle with approval pending.

## COMMITMENTS

### Credit-related Arrangements

In the normal course of business, the Bank enters into various commitments and contingent liability contracts. The primary purpose of these contracts is to make funds available for the financing needs of customers. The Bank's policy for requiring collateral security with respect to these contracts and the types of collateral security held is generally the same as for loans made by the Bank.

Financial and performance standby letters of credit represent irrevocable assurances that the Bank will make payments in the event that a customer cannot meet its obligations to third parties and they carry the same credit risk, recourse, and collateral security requirements as loans extended to customers. Performance standby letters of credit are considered non-financial guarantees as payment does not depend on the occurrence of a credit event and is generally related to a non-financial trigger event. Refer to the Guarantees section in this Note for further details.

Documentary and commercial letters of credit are instruments issued on behalf of a customer authorizing a third party to draw drafts on the Bank up to a certain amount subject to specific terms and conditions. The Bank is at risk for any drafts drawn that are not ultimately settled by the customer, and the amounts are collateralized by the assets to which they relate.

Commitments to extend credit represent unutilized portions of authorizations to extend credit in the form of loans and customers' liability under acceptances. A discussion on the types of liquidity facilities the Bank provides to its securitization conduits is included in Note 10.

The values of credit instruments reported as follows represent the maximum amount of additional credit that the Bank could be obligated to extend should contracts be fully utilized.

### Credit Instruments

(millions of Canadian dollars)	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Financial and performance standby letters of credit</b>	<b>\$ 26,887</b>	<b>\$ 26,431</b>
<b>Documentary and commercial letters of credit</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>197</b>
<b>Commitments to extend credit<sup>1</sup></b>		
Original term-to-maturity of one year or less	56,676	50,028
Original term-to-maturity of more than one year	150,170	134,148
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 233,840</b>	<b>\$ 210,804</b>

<sup>1</sup> Commitments to extend credit exclude personal lines of credit and credit card lines, which are unconditionally cancellable at the Bank's discretion at any time.

In addition, as at October 31, 2019, the Bank is committed to fund \$374 million (October 31, 2018 – \$205 million) of private equity investments.

### Long-term Commitments or Leases

The Bank has obligations under long-term non-cancellable leases for premises and equipment. Future minimum operating lease commitments including rental payments, related taxes and estimated operating expenses for premises and equipment, where the annual payment is in excess of \$100 thousand, is estimated at \$988 million for 2020, \$936 million for 2021, \$884 million for 2022, \$790 million for 2023, \$658 million for 2024, \$3,365 million for 2025, and thereafter.

Future minimum finance lease commitments where the annual payment is in excess of \$100 thousand, is estimated at \$21 million for 2020, \$22 million for 2021, \$20 million for 2022, \$15 million for 2023, \$4 million for 2024, \$1 million for 2025, and thereafter.

The premises and equipment net rental expense, included under Non-interest expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Income, was \$1.2 billion for the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$1.1 billion; October 31, 2017 – \$1.1 billion).

## PLEDGED ASSETS AND COLLATERAL

In the ordinary course of business, securities and other assets are pledged against liabilities or contingent liabilities, including repurchase agreements, securitization liabilities, covered bonds, obligations related to securities sold short, and securities borrowing transactions. Assets are also deposited for the purposes of participation in clearing and payment systems and depositories or to have access to the facilities of central banks in foreign jurisdictions, or as security for contract settlements with derivative exchanges or other derivative counterparties.

Details of assets pledged against liabilities and collateral assets held or repledged are shown in the following table:

### Sources and Uses of Pledged Assets and Collateral

(millions of Canadian dollars)	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Sources of pledged assets and collateral</b>		
Bank assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 820	\$ 1,219
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	4,918	3,301
Loans	87,415	83,637
Securities	85,237	83,370
Other assets	850	1,278
	<b>179,240</b>	<b>172,805</b>
Third-party assets <sup>1</sup>		
Collateral received and available for sale or repledging	274,765	243,168
Less: Collateral not repledged	(61,260)	(57,845)
	<b>213,505</b>	<b>185,323</b>
	<b>392,745</b>	<b>358,128</b>
<b>Uses of pledged assets and collateral<sup>2</sup></b>		
Derivatives	11,468	8,083
Obligations related to securities sold under repurchase agreements	120,352	105,665
Securities borrowing and lending	107,587	85,544
Obligations related to securities sold short	27,575	39,007
Securitization	32,024	32,067
Covered bond	41,937	38,033
Clearing systems, payment systems, and depositories	8,338	7,540
Foreign governments and central banks	1,167	1,390
Other	42,297	40,799
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 392,745</b>	<b>\$ 358,128</b>

<sup>1</sup> Includes collateral received from reverse repurchase agreements, securities borrowing, margin loans, and other client activity.

<sup>2</sup> Includes \$45.6 billion of on-balance sheet assets that the Bank has pledged and that the counterparty can subsequently repledge as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$43.9 billion).

## ASSETS SOLD WITH RECOURSE

In connection with its securitization activities, the Bank typically makes customary representations and warranties about the underlying assets which may result in an obligation to repurchase the assets. These representations and warranties attest that the Bank, as the seller, has executed the sale of assets in good faith, and in compliance with relevant laws and contractual requirements. In the event that they do not meet these criteria, the loans may be required to be repurchased by the Bank.

## GUARANTEES

In addition to financial and performance standby letters of credit, the following types of transactions represent the principal guarantees that the Bank has entered into.

### Credit Enhancements

The Bank guarantees payments to counterparties in the event that third-party credit enhancements supporting asset pools are insufficient.

### Indemnification Agreements

In the normal course of operations, the Bank provides indemnification agreements to various counterparties in transactions such as service agreements, leasing transactions, and agreements relating to

acquisitions and dispositions. Under these agreements, the Bank is required to compensate counterparties for costs incurred as a result of various contingencies such as changes in laws and regulations and litigation claims. The nature of certain indemnification agreements prevent the Bank from making a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount that the Bank would be required to pay such counterparties.

The Bank also indemnifies directors, officers, and other persons, to the extent permitted by law, against certain claims that may be made against them as a result of their services to the Bank or, at the Bank's request, to another entity.

## NOTE 28 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Parties are considered to be related if one party has the ability to directly or indirectly control the other party or exercise significant influence over the other party in making financial or operational decisions. The Bank's related parties include key management personnel, their close family members and their related entities, subsidiaries, associates, joint ventures, and post-employment benefit plans for the Bank's employees.

### TRANSACTIONS WITH KEY MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL, THEIR CLOSE FAMILY MEMBERS, AND THEIR RELATED ENTITIES

Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing, and controlling the activities of the Bank, directly or indirectly. The Bank considers certain of its officers and directors to be key management personnel. The Bank makes loans to its key management personnel, their close family members, and their related entities on market terms and conditions with the exception of banking products and services for key management personnel, which are subject to approved policy guidelines that govern all employees.

As at October 31, 2019, \$121 million (October 31, 2018 – \$149 million) of related party loans were outstanding from key management personnel, their close family members, and their related entities.

### COMPENSATION

The remuneration of key management personnel was as follows:

#### Compensation

(millions of Canadian dollars)	For the years ended October 31		
	2019	2018	2017
Short-term employee benefits	\$ 33	\$ 34	\$ 33
Post-employment benefits	2	3	3
Share-based payments	35	37	32
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 70</b>	<b>\$ 74</b>	<b>\$ 68</b>

In addition, the Bank offers deferred share and other plans to non-employee directors, executives, and certain other key employees. Refer to Note 23 for further details.

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank also provides various banking services to associated and other related corporations on terms similar to those offered to non-related parties.

### TRANSACTIONS WITH SUBSIDIARIES, TD AMERITRADE, AND SYMCOR INC.

Transactions between the Bank and its subsidiaries meet the definition of related party transactions. If these transactions are eliminated on consolidation, they are not disclosed as related party transactions.

Transactions between the Bank, TD Ameritrade, and Symcor Inc. (Symcor) also qualify as related party transactions. There were no significant transactions between the Bank, TD Ameritrade, and Symcor during the year ended October 31, 2019, other than as described in the following sections and in Note 12.

#### Other Transactions with TD Ameritrade and Symcor

##### i) TD AMERITRADE HOLDING CORPORATION

A description of significant transactions of the Bank and its affiliates with TD Ameritrade is set forth below.

##### Insured Deposit Account Agreement

The Bank is party to an insured deposit account (IDA) agreement with TD Ameritrade, pursuant to which the Bank makes available to clients of TD Ameritrade, FDIC-insured money market deposit accounts as either designated sweep vehicles or as non-sweep deposit accounts. TD Ameritrade provides marketing and support services with respect to the IDA. The Bank paid fees of \$2.2 billion during the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$1.9 billion; October 31, 2017 – \$1.5 billion) to TD Ameritrade related to deposit accounts. The amount paid by the Bank is based on the average insured deposit balance of \$140 billion for the year ended October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$140 billion; October 31, 2017 – \$124 billion) with a portion of the amount tied to the actual yield earned by the Bank on the investments, less the actual interest paid to clients of TD Ameritrade, and the balance tied to an agreed rate of return. The Bank earns a servicing fee of 25 bps on the aggregate average daily balance in the sweep accounts (subject to adjustment based on a specified formula).

As at October 31, 2019, amounts receivable from TD Ameritrade were \$41 million (October 31, 2018 – \$137 million). As at October 31, 2019, amounts payable to TD Ameritrade were \$168 million (October 31, 2018 – \$174 million).

The Bank and other financial institutions provided TD Ameritrade with unsecured revolving loan facilities. The total commitment provided by the Bank was \$291 million, which was undrawn as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – \$338 million undrawn).

##### ii) TRANSACTIONS WITH SYMCOR

The Bank has one-third ownership in Symcor, a Canadian provider of business process outsourcing services offering a diverse portfolio of integrated solutions in item processing, statement processing and production, and cash management services. The Bank accounts for Symcor's results using the equity method of accounting. During the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank paid \$81 million (October 31, 2018 – \$86 million; October 31, 2017 – \$93 million) for these services. As at October 31, 2019, the amount payable to Symcor was \$12 million (October 31, 2018 – \$14 million).

The Bank and two other shareholder banks have also provided a \$100 million unsecured loan facility to Symcor which was undrawn as at October 31, 2019, and October 31, 2018.

For management reporting purposes, the Bank reports its results under three key business segments: Canadian Retail, which includes the results of the Canadian personal and commercial banking businesses, Canadian credit cards, TD Auto Finance Canada, and Canadian wealth and insurance businesses; U.S. Retail, which includes the results of the U.S. personal and business banking operations, U.S. credit cards, TD Auto Finance U.S., U.S. wealth business, and the Bank's investment in TD Ameritrade; and Wholesale Banking. The Bank's other activities are grouped into the Corporate segment.

Canadian Retail is comprised of Canadian personal and commercial banking, which provides financial products and services to personal, small business, and commercial customers, TD Auto Finance Canada, the Canadian credit card business, the Canadian wealth business, which provides investment products and services to institutional and retail investors, and the insurance business. U.S. Retail is comprised of the personal and business banking operations in the U.S. operating under the brand TD Bank, America's Most Convenient Bank®, primarily in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions and Florida, and the U.S. wealth business, including Epoch and the Bank's equity investment in TD Ameritrade. Wholesale Banking provides a wide range of capital markets, investment banking, and corporate banking products and services, including underwriting and distribution of new debt and equity issues, providing advice on strategic acquisitions and divestitures, and meeting the daily trading, funding, and investment needs of the Bank's clients. The Bank's other activities are grouped into the Corporate segment. The Corporate segment includes the effects of certain asset securitization programs, treasury management, the collectively assessed allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses in Canadian Retail and Wholesale Banking, elimination of taxable equivalent adjustments and other management reclassifications, corporate level tax items, and residual unallocated revenue and expenses.

The results of each business segment reflect revenue, expenses, and assets generated by the businesses in that segment. Due to the complexity of the Bank, its management reporting model uses various estimates, assumptions, allocations, and risk-based methodologies for funds transfer pricing, inter-segment revenue, income tax rates, capital, indirect expenses and cost transfers to measure business segment results. The basis of allocation and methodologies are reviewed periodically to align with management's evaluation of the Bank's business segments. Transfer pricing of funds is generally applied at market rates. Inter-segment revenue is negotiated between each business segment and approximates the fair value of the services provided. Income tax provision or recovery is generally applied to each segment based on a statutory tax rate and may be adjusted for items and activities unique to each segment. Amortization of intangibles acquired as a result of business combinations is included in the Corporate segment. Accordingly, net income for business segments is presented before amortization of these intangibles.

Non-interest income is earned by the Bank primarily through investment and securities services, credit fees, trading income, service charges, card services, and insurance revenues. Revenues from investment and securities services are earned predominantly in the Canadian Retail segment with the remainder earned in Wholesale Banking and U.S. Retail. Revenues from credit fees are primarily earned in the Wholesale Banking and Canadian Retail segments. Trading income is earned within Wholesale Banking. Both service charges and card services revenue are mainly earned in the U.S. Retail and Canadian Retail segments. Insurance revenue is earned in the Canadian Retail segment.

Net interest income within Wholesale Banking is calculated on a taxable equivalent basis (TEB), which means that the value of non-taxable or tax-exempt income, including dividends, is adjusted to its equivalent before-tax value. Using TEB allows the Bank to measure income from all securities and loans consistently and makes for a more meaningful comparison of net interest income with similar institutions. The TEB adjustment reflected in Wholesale Banking is reversed in the Corporate segment.

The Bank purchases CDS to hedge the credit risk in Wholesale Banking's corporate lending portfolio. These CDS do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and are measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in current period's earnings. The related loans are accounted for at amortized cost. Management believes that this asymmetry in the accounting treatment between CDS and loans would result in periodic profit and loss volatility which is not indicative of the economics of the corporate loan portfolio or the underlying business performance in Wholesale Banking. As a result, these CDS are accounted for on an accrual basis in Wholesale Banking and the gains and losses on these CDS, in excess of the accrued cost, are reported in the Corporate segment.

The Bank changed its trading strategy with respect to certain trading debt securities and reclassified these securities from trading to the AFS category under IAS 39 (classified as FVOCI under IFRS 9) effective August 1, 2008. These debt securities are economically hedged, primarily with CDS and interest rate swap contracts which are recorded on a fair value basis with changes in fair value recorded in the period's earnings. As a result, the derivatives were accounted for on an accrual basis in Wholesale Banking and the gains and losses related to the derivatives in excess of the accrued amounts were reported in the Corporate segment. Adjusted results of the Bank in prior periods exclude the gains and losses of the derivatives in excess of the accrued amount. Effective February 1, 2017, the total gains and losses as a result of changes in fair value of these derivatives are recorded in Wholesale Banking.

Upon adoption of IFRS 9, the current period provision for credit losses related to performing (Stage 1 and Stage 2) and impaired (Stage 3) financial assets, loan commitments, and financial guarantees are recorded within the respective segment. Under IAS 39, and prior to November 1, 2017, the provision for credit losses related to the collectively assessed allowance for incurred but not identified credit losses that related to Canadian Retail and Wholesale Banking segments was recorded in the Corporate segment.



The following table summarizes the segment results for the years ended October 31.

## Results by Business Segment<sup>1,2</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	For the years ended October 31				
	2019				
	Canadian Retail	U.S. Retail	Wholesale Banking <sup>3,4</sup>	Corporate <sup>3,4</sup>	Total
Net interest income (loss)	\$ 12,349	\$ 8,951	\$ 911	\$ 1,720	\$ 23,931
Non-interest income (loss)	11,877	2,840	2,320	97	17,134
Total revenue	24,226	11,791	3,231	1,817	41,065
Provision for (recovery of) credit losses	1,306	1,082	44	597	3,029
Insurance claims and related expenses	2,787	—	—	—	2,787
Non-interest expenses	10,735	6,411	2,393	2,481	22,020
Income (loss) before income taxes	9,398	4,298	794	(1,261)	13,229
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes	2,535	471	186	(457)	2,735
Equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade	—	1,154	—	38	1,192
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 6,863</b>	<b>\$ 4,981</b>	<b>\$ 608</b>	<b>\$ (766)</b>	<b>\$ 11,686</b>
<b>Total assets as at October 31</b>	<b>\$ 452,163</b>	<b>\$ 436,086</b>	<b>\$ 458,420</b>	<b>\$ 68,621</b>	<b>\$ 1,415,290</b>
					2018
Net interest income (loss)	\$ 11,576	\$ 8,176	\$ 1,150	\$ 1,337	\$ 22,239
Non-interest income (loss)	11,137	2,768	2,367	381	16,653
Total revenue	22,713	10,944	3,517	1,718	38,892
Provision for (recovery of) credit losses	998	917	3	562	2,480
Insurance claims and related expenses	2,444	—	—	—	2,444
Non-interest expenses	9,473	6,100	2,125	2,497	20,195
Income (loss) before income taxes	9,798	3,927	1,389	(1,341)	13,773
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes	2,615	432	335	(200)	3,182
Equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade	—	693	—	50	743
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 7,183</b>	<b>\$ 4,188</b>	<b>\$ 1,054</b>	<b>\$ (1,091)</b>	<b>\$ 11,334</b>
<b>Total assets as at October 31</b>	<b>\$ 433,960</b>	<b>\$ 417,292</b>	<b>\$ 425,909</b>	<b>\$ 57,742</b>	<b>\$ 1,334,903</b>
					2017
Net interest income (loss)	\$ 10,611	\$ 7,486	\$ 1,804	\$ 946	\$ 20,847
Non-interest income (loss)	10,451	2,735	1,520	649	15,355
Total revenue	21,062	10,221	3,324	1,595	36,202
Provision for (recovery of) credit losses	986	792	(28)	466	2,216
Insurance claims and related expenses	2,246	—	—	—	2,246
Non-interest expenses	8,934	5,878	1,982	2,625	19,419
Income (loss) before income taxes	8,896	3,551	1,370	(1,496)	12,321
Provision for (recovery of) income taxes	2,371	671	331	(1,120)	2,253
Equity in net income of an investment in TD Ameritrade	—	442	—	7	449
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 6,525</b>	<b>\$ 3,322</b>	<b>\$ 1,039</b>	<b>\$ (369)</b>	<b>\$ 10,517</b>
<b>Total assets as at October 31</b>	<b>\$ 404,444</b>	<b>\$ 403,937</b>	<b>\$ 406,138</b>	<b>\$ 64,476</b>	<b>\$ 1,278,995</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been recast to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> The retailer program partners' share of revenues and credit losses is presented in the Corporate segment, with an offsetting amount (representing the partners' net share) recorded in Non-interest expenses, resulting in no impact to Corporate reported Net income (loss). The Net income (loss) included in the U.S. Retail segment includes only the portion of revenue and credit losses attributable to the Bank under the agreements.

<sup>3</sup> Net interest income within Wholesale Banking is calculated on a TEB. The TEB adjustment reflected in Wholesale Banking is reversed in the Corporate segment.

<sup>4</sup> Effective February 1, 2017, the total gains and losses as a result of changes in fair value of the CDS and interest rate swap contracts hedging the reclassified financial assets at FVOCI (AFS securities under IAS 39) portfolio are recorded in Wholesale Banking. Previously, these derivatives were accounted for on an accrual basis in Wholesale Banking and the gains and losses related to the derivatives, in excess of the accrued costs were reported in Corporate segment.

## RESULTS BY GEOGRAPHY

For reporting of geographic results, segments are grouped into Canada, United States, and Other international. Transactions are primarily recorded in the location responsible for recording the revenue

or assets. This location frequently corresponds with the location of the legal entity through which the business is conducted and the location of the customer.

(millions of Canadian dollars)	For the years ended October 31			As at October 31
	2019			2019
	Total revenue <sup>1</sup>	Income before income taxes	Net income	Total assets
Canada	\$ 23,599	\$ 7,237	\$ 5,208	\$ 769,314
United States	15,557	4,827	4,180	524,397
Other international	1,909	1,165	2,298	121,579
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,065</b>	<b>\$ 13,229</b>	<b>\$ 11,686</b>	<b>\$ 1,415,290</b>

	2018			2018
Canada	\$ 23,332	\$ 8,886	\$ 6,523	\$ 713,677
United States	13,751	3,768	2,993	514,263
Other international	1,809	1,119	1,818	106,963
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 38,892</b>	<b>\$ 13,773</b>	<b>\$ 11,334</b>	<b>\$ 1,334,903</b>

	2017			2017
Canada	\$ 20,911	\$ 7,250	\$ 5,660	\$ 648,924
United States	13,371	3,677	3,075	515,478
Other international	1,920	1,394	1,782	114,593
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 36,202</b>	<b>\$ 12,321</b>	<b>\$ 10,517</b>	<b>\$ 1,278,995</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been recast to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

NOTE 30	INTEREST INCOME AND EXPENSE
---------	-----------------------------

The following table presents interest income and interest expense by basis of accounting measurement. Please refer to Note 2 for the type of instruments measured at amortized cost and FVOCI.

### Interest Income and Expense<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)	For the years ended			
	October 31, 2019		October 31, 2018	
	Interest income	Interest expense	Interest income	Interest expense
Measured at amortized cost	\$ 31,663	\$ 11,294	\$ 27,693	\$ 9,286
Measured at FVOCI	3,165	–	2,946	–
	<b>34,828</b>	<b>11,294</b>	30,639	9,286
Not measured at amortized cost or FVOCI <sup>2</sup>	7,171	6,774	5,783	4,897
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,999</b>	<b>\$ 18,068</b>	<b>\$ 36,422</b>	<b>\$ 14,183</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Includes interest income, interest expense, and dividend income for financial instruments that are measured or designated at FVTPL and equities designated at FVOCI.

NOTE 31	CREDIT RISK
---------	-------------

Concentration of credit risk exists where a number of borrowers or counterparties are engaged in similar activities, are located in the same geographic area or have comparable economic characteristics. Their ability to meet contractual obligations may be similarly affected

by changing economic, political or other conditions. The Bank's portfolio could be sensitive to changing conditions in particular geographic regions.

## Concentration of Credit Risk

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	As at					
	Loans and customers' liability under acceptances <sup>1,2</sup>		Credit instruments <sup>3,4</sup>		Derivative financial instruments <sup>5,6</sup>	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2019	October 31 2018	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
Canada	67%	67%	38%	40%	25%	24%
United States	32	32	58	57	31	31
United Kingdom	–	–	1	1	17	15
Europe – other	–	–	2	1	20	24
Other international	1	1	1	1	7	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
	<b>\$ 700,226</b>	<b>\$ 666,405</b>	<b>\$ 233,840</b>	<b>\$ 210,804</b>	<b>\$ 46,829</b>	<b>\$ 55,615</b>

<sup>1</sup> Of the total loans and customers' liability under acceptances, the only industry segment which equalled or exceeded 5% of the total concentration as at October 31, 2019 was real estate 10% (October 31, 2018 – 9%).

<sup>2</sup> Includes loans that are measured at FVOCI.

<sup>3</sup> As at October 31, 2019, the Bank had commitments and contingent liability contracts in the amount of \$234 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$211 billion). Included are commitments to extend credit totalling \$207 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$184 billion), of which the credit risk is dispersed as detailed in the table above.

<sup>4</sup> Of the commitments to extend credit, industry segments which equalled or exceeded 5% of the total concentration were as follows as at October 31, 2019: financial institutions 22% (October 31, 2018 – 19%); pipelines, oil and gas 9% (October 31, 2018 – 10%); automotive 9% (October 31, 2018 – 9%); power and utilities 8% (October 31, 2018 – 9%); sundry manufacturing and wholesale 7%

(October 31, 2018 – 7%); professional and other services 6% (October 31, 2018 – 6%); non-residential real estate development 6% (October 31, 2018 – 5%); telecommunications, cable, and media 6% (October 31, 2018 – 7%).

<sup>5</sup> As at October 31, 2019, the current replacement cost of derivative financial instruments amounted to \$47 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$56 billion). Based on the location of the ultimate counterparty, the credit risk was allocated as detailed in the table above. The table excludes the fair value of exchange traded derivatives.

<sup>6</sup> The largest concentration by counterparty type was with financial institutions (including non-banking financial institutions), which accounted for 69% of the total as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – 68%). The second largest concentration was with governments, which accounted for 22% of the total as at October 31, 2019 (October 31, 2018 – 26%). No other industry segment exceeded 5% of the total.

The following table presents the maximum exposure to credit risk of financial instruments, before taking account of any collateral held or other credit enhancements.

## Gross Maximum Credit Risk Exposure<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
Cash and due from banks	\$ 4,863	\$ 4,735
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	25,583	30,720
Securities <sup>2</sup>		
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss		
Government and government-insured securities	1,413	1,397
Other debt securities	2,627	2,221
Trading		
Government and government-insured securities	44,445	47,085
Other debt securities	18,680	20,106
Retained interest	19	25
Non-trading securities at fair value through profit or loss		
Government and government-insured securities	319	–
Other debt securities	4,081	2,340
Securities at fair value through other comprehensive income		
Government and government-insured securities	83,171	94,733
Other debt securities	23,969	30,948
Debt securities at amortized cost		
Government and government-insured securities	78,275	60,535
Other debt securities	52,222	46,636
Securities purchased under reverse purchase agreements	165,935	127,379
Derivatives <sup>3</sup>	48,894	56,996
Loans		
Residential mortgages	235,530	225,081
Consumer instalment and other personal	179,085	170,976
Credit card	34,989	34,015
Business and government	235,004	216,321
Trading loans	12,482	10,990
Non-trading loans at fair value through profit or loss	1,796	1,336
Loans at fair value through other comprehensive income	2,124	2,745
Customers' liability under acceptances	13,494	17,267
Amounts receivable from brokers, dealers, and clients	20,575	26,940
Other assets	5,913	5,886
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>1,295,488</b>	<b>1,237,413</b>
Credit instruments <sup>4</sup>	233,840	210,804
Unconditionally cancellable commitments to extend credit relating to personal lines of credit and credit card lines	311,138	301,752
<b>Total credit exposure</b>	<b>\$ 1,840,466</b>	<b>\$ 1,749,969</b>

<sup>1</sup> Certain comparative amounts have been recast to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>2</sup> Excludes equity securities.

<sup>3</sup> The carrying amount of the derivative assets represents the maximum credit risk exposure related to derivative contracts.

<sup>4</sup> The balance represents the maximum amount of additional funds that the Bank could be obligated to extend should the contracts be fully utilized. The actual maximum exposure may differ from the amount reported above. Refer to Note 27 for further details.

The Bank manages its capital under guidelines established by OSFI. The regulatory capital guidelines measure capital in relation to credit, trading market, and operational risks. The Bank has various capital policies, procedures, and controls which it utilizes to achieve its goals and objectives.

The Bank's capital management objectives are:

- To be an appropriately capitalized financial institution as determined by:
  - the Bank's Risk Appetite Statement;
  - capital requirements defined by relevant regulatory authorities; and
  - the Bank's internal assessment of capital requirements consistent with the Bank's risk profile and risk tolerance levels.
- To have the most economically achievable weighted-average cost of capital, consistent with preserving the appropriate mix of capital elements to meet targeted capitalization levels.
- To ensure ready access to sources of appropriate capital, at reasonable cost, in order to:
  - insulate the Bank from unexpected events; and
  - support and facilitate business growth and/or acquisitions consistent with the Bank's strategy and risk appetite.
- To support strong external debt ratings, in order to manage the Bank's overall cost of funds and to maintain accessibility to required funding.

These objectives are applied in a manner consistent with the Bank's overall objective of providing a satisfactory return on shareholders' equity.

#### Basel III Capital Framework

Capital requirements of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) are commonly referred to as Basel III. Under Basel III, Total Capital consists of three components, namely Common Equity Tier 1, Additional Tier 1, and Tier 2 Capital. Risk sensitive regulatory capital ratios are calculated by dividing CET1, Tier 1, and Total Capital by their respective risk-weighted assets (RWA), inclusive of any minimum requirements outlined under the regulatory floor. In 2015, Basel III also implemented a non-risk sensitive leverage ratio to act as a supplementary measure to the risk-sensitive capital requirements. The objective of the leverage ratio is to constrain the build-up of excess leverage in the banking sector. The leverage ratio is calculated by dividing Tier 1 Capital by leverage exposure which is primarily comprised of on-balance sheet assets with adjustments made to derivative and securities financing transaction exposures, and credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures.

#### Capital Position and Capital Ratios

The Basel framework allows qualifying banks to determine capital levels consistent with the way they measure, manage, and mitigate risks. It specifies methodologies for the measurement of credit, trading market, and operational risks. The Bank uses the advanced approaches for the majority of its portfolios. In the U.S. Retail segment, the Bank calculates the majority of the retail portfolio's, and certain other portfolio's, credit RWA using the AIRB approach. The remaining assets in the U.S. Retail segment continue to use the standardized approach for credit risk.

For accounting purposes, IFRS is followed for consolidation of subsidiaries and joint ventures. For regulatory capital purposes, insurance subsidiaries are deconsolidated and reported as a deduction from capital. Insurance subsidiaries are subject to their own capital adequacy reporting, such as OSFI's Life Insurance Capital Adequacy Test. Currently, for regulatory capital purposes, all the entities of the Bank are either consolidated or deducted from capital and there are no entities from which surplus capital is recognized.

Some of the Bank's subsidiaries are individually regulated by either OSFI or other regulators. Many of these entities have minimum capital requirements which they must maintain and which may limit the Bank's ability to extract capital or funds for other uses.

During the year ended October 31, 2019, the Bank complied with the OSFI Basel III guidelines related to capital ratios and the leverage ratio. Effective January 1, 2016, OSFI's target CET1, Tier 1, and Total Capital ratios for Canadian banks designated as domestic systemically important banks (D-SIBs) includes a 1% common equity capital surcharge bringing the targets to 8%, 9.5%, and 11.5%, respectively. In addition, on June 25, 2018, OSFI provided greater transparency related to previously undisclosed Pillar 2 CET1 capital buffers through the introduction of the public Domestic Stability Buffer (DSB) which is held by D-SIBs against Pillar 2 risks. The current buffer is set at 2% of total risk-weighted assets (RWA) and must be met with CET1 Capital, effectively raising the CET1 target to 10%.

OSFI has provided IFRS transitional provisions for the leverage ratio, which allows for the exclusion of assets securitized and sold through CMHC-sponsored programs prior to March 31, 2010, from the calculation.

The following table summarizes the Bank's regulatory capital position as at October 31.

#### Regulatory Capital Position

(millions of Canadian dollars, except as noted)

	As at	
	October 31 2019	October 31 2018
<b>Capital</b>		
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital	\$ 55,042	\$ 52,389
Tier 1 Capital	61,683	59,735
Total Capital	74,122	70,434
<b>Risk-weighted assets used in the calculation of capital ratios<sup>1</sup></b>		
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital	\$ 455,977	\$ 435,632
Tier 1 Capital	455,977	435,780
Total Capital	455,977	435,927
<b>Capital and leverage ratios</b>		
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ratio <sup>1</sup>	12.1%	12.0%
Tier 1 Capital ratio <sup>1</sup>	13.5	13.7
Total Capital ratio <sup>1</sup>	16.3	16.2
Leverage ratio	4.0	4.2

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with the final CAR guideline, the Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) capital charge has been phased in until the first quarter of 2019. Each capital ratio has its own RWA measure due to the OSFI prescribed scalar for inclusion of the CVA. For fiscal 2019, the scalars for inclusion of CVA for CET1, Tier 1, and Total Capital RWA are all 100%. For fiscal 2018, the scalars were 80%, 83%, and 86%, respectively.

The risk management policies and procedures of the Bank are provided in the MD&A. The shaded sections of the “Managing Risk” section of

the MD&A relating to credit, market, liquidity, and insurance risks are an integral part of the 2019 Consolidated Financial Statements.

## NOTE 34 INFORMATION ON SUBSIDIARIES

The following is a list of the directly or indirectly held significant subsidiaries.

**Significant Subsidiaries<sup>1</sup>**

(millions of Canadian dollars)

			<b>As at October 31, 2019</b>
<b>North America</b>	<b>Address of Head or Principal Office<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Carrying value of shares owned by the Bank<sup>3</sup></b>
Greystone Capital Management Inc.	Regina, Saskatchewan	Holding Company	<b>\$ 714</b>
Greystone Managed Investments Inc.	Regina, Saskatchewan	Securities Dealer	
GMI Serving Inc.	Regina, Saskatchewan	Mortgage Servicing Entity	
Meloche Monnex Inc.	Montreal, Québec	Holding Company	<b>1,595</b>
Security National Insurance Company	Montreal, Québec	Insurance Company	
Primum Insurance Company	Toronto, Ontario	Insurance Company	
TD Direct Insurance Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Insurance Company	
TD General Insurance Company	Toronto, Ontario	Insurance Company	
TD Home and Auto Insurance Company	Toronto, Ontario	Insurance Company	
TD Asset Management Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Investment Counselling and Portfolio Management	<b>365</b>
TD Waterhouse Private Investment Counsel Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Investment Counselling and Portfolio Management	
TD Auto Finance (Canada) Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Automotive Finance Entity	<b>2,619</b>
TD Auto Finance Services Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Automotive Finance Entity	<b>1,370</b>
TD Group US Holdings LLC	Wilmington, Delaware	Holding Company	<b>67,117</b>
Toronto Dominion Holdings (U.S.A.), Inc.	New York, New York	Holding Company	
TD Prime Services LLC	New York, New York	Securities Dealer	
TD Securities (USA) LLC	New York, New York	Securities Dealer	
Toronto Dominion (Texas) LLC	New York, New York	Financial Services Entity	
Toronto Dominion (New York) LLC	New York, New York	Financial Services Entity	
Toronto Dominion Capital (U.S.A.), Inc.	New York, New York	Small Business Investment Company	
Toronto Dominion Investments, Inc.	New York, New York	Merchant Banking and Investments	
TD Bank US Holding Company	Cherry Hill, New Jersey	Holding Company	
Epoch Investment Partners, Inc.	New York, New York	Investment Counselling and Portfolio Management	
TDAM USA Inc.	New York, New York	Investment Counselling and Portfolio Management	
TD Bank USA, National Association	Cherry Hill, New Jersey	U.S. National Bank	
TD Bank, National Association	Cherry Hill, New Jersey	U.S. National Bank	
TD Auto Finance LLC	Farmington Hills, Michigan	Automotive Finance Entity	
TD Equipment Finance, Inc.	Cherry Hill, New Jersey	Financial Services Entity	
TD Private Client Wealth LLC	New York, New York	Broker-dealer and Registered Investment Advisor	
TD Wealth Management Services Inc.	Cherry Hill, New Jersey	Insurance Agency	
TD Luxembourg International Holdings	Luxembourg, Luxembourg	Holding Company	
TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation <sup>4</sup>	Omaha, Nebraska	Securities Dealer	
TD Investment Services Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Mutual Fund Dealer	<b>52</b>
TD Life Insurance Company	Toronto, Ontario	Insurance Company	<b>85</b>
TD Mortgage Corporation	Toronto, Ontario	Deposit-Taking Entity	<b>9,775</b>
TD Pacific Mortgage Corporation	Vancouver, British Columbia	Deposit-Taking Entity	
The Canada Trust Company	Toronto, Ontario	Trust, Loans, and Deposit-Taking Entity	
TD Securities Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Investment Dealer and Broker	<b>2,231</b>
TD Vermillion Holdings Limited	Toronto, Ontario	Holding Company	<b>26,880</b>
TD Financial International Ltd.	Hamilton, Bermuda	Holding Company	
TD Reinsurance (Barbados) Inc.	St. James, Barbados	Reinsurance Company	
TD Waterhouse Canada Inc.	Toronto, Ontario	Investment Dealer	<b>2,442</b>

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, The Toronto-Dominion Bank, either directly or through its subsidiaries, owns 100% of the entity and/or 100% of any issued and outstanding voting securities and non-voting securities of the entities listed.

<sup>2</sup> Each subsidiary is incorporated or organized in the country in which its head or principal office is located, with the exception of Toronto Dominion Investments B.V., a company incorporated in The Netherlands, but with its principal office in the United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup> Carrying amounts are prepared for purposes of meeting the disclosure requirements of Section 308 (3)(a)(ii) of the *Bank Act*. Intercompany transactions may be

included herein which are eliminated for consolidated financial reporting purposes. Certain amounts have been adjusted to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

<sup>4</sup> As at October 31, 2019, the Bank's reported investment in TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation was 43.19% (October 31, 2018 – 41.61%) of the outstanding shares of TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation. TD Luxembourg International Holdings and its ownership of TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation is included given the significance of the Bank's investment in TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation.

## Significant Subsidiaries (continued)<sup>1</sup>

(millions of Canadian dollars)

			As at October 31, 2019
International	Address of Head or Principal Office <sup>2</sup>	Description	Carrying value of shares owned by the Bank <sup>3</sup>
TD Bank N.V.	Amsterdam, The Netherlands	Dutch Bank	\$ 632
TD Ireland Unlimited Company	Dublin, Ireland	Holding Company	894
TD Global Finance Unlimited Company	Dublin, Ireland	Securities Dealer	
TD Securities (Japan) Co. Ltd.	Tokyo, Japan	Securities Dealer	12
Toronto Dominion Australia Limited	Sydney, Australia	Securities Dealer	97
Toronto Dominion Investments B.V.	London, England	Holding Company	1,114
TD Bank Europe Limited	London, England	UK Bank	
Toronto Dominion Holdings (U.K.) Limited	London, England	Holding Company	
TD Securities Limited	London, England	Securities Dealer	
Toronto Dominion (South East Asia) Limited	Singapore, Singapore	Financial Institution	931

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, The Toronto-Dominion Bank, either directly or through its subsidiaries, owns 100% of the entity and/or 100% of any issued and outstanding voting securities and non-voting securities of the entities listed.

<sup>2</sup> Each subsidiary is incorporated or organized in the country in which its head or principal office is located, with the exception of Toronto Dominion Investments B.V., a company incorporated in The Netherlands, but with its principal office in the United Kingdom.

<sup>3</sup> Carrying amounts are prepared for purposes of meeting the disclosure requirements of Section 308 (3)(a)(ii) of the *Bank Act*. Intercompany transactions may be included herein which are eliminated for consolidated financial reporting purposes. Certain amounts have been adjusted to conform with the presentation adopted in the current period.

### SUBSIDIARIES WITH RESTRICTIONS TO TRANSFER FUNDS

Certain of the Bank's subsidiaries have regulatory requirements to fulfil, in accordance with applicable law, in order to transfer funds, including paying dividends to, repaying loans to, or redeeming subordinated debentures issued to, the Bank. These customary requirements include, but are not limited to:

- Local regulatory capital and/or surplus adequacy requirements;
- Basel requirements under Pillar 1 and Pillar 2;
- Local regulatory approval requirements; and
- Local corporate and/or securities laws.

As at October 31, 2019, the net assets of subsidiaries subject to regulatory or CAR was \$86.3 billion (October 31, 2018 – \$79.8 billion), before intercompany eliminations.

In addition to regulatory requirements outlined above, the Bank may be subject to significant restrictions on its ability to use the assets or settle the liabilities of members of its group. Key contractual restrictions may arise from the provision of collateral to third parties in the normal course of business, for example through secured financing transactions; assets securitized which are not subsequently available for transfer by the Bank; and assets transferred into other consolidated and unconsolidated structured entities. The impact of these restrictions has been disclosed in Notes 9 and 27.

Aside from non-controlling interests disclosed in Note 21, there were no significant restrictions on the ability of the Bank to access or use the assets or settle the liabilities of subsidiaries within the group as a result of protective rights of non-controlling interests.

NOTE 35

### SIGNIFICANT AND SUBSEQUENT EVENTS, AND PENDING TRANSACTIONS

#### Bank Supports Acquisition of TD Ameritrade Holding Corporation by The Charles Schwab Corporation

On November 25, 2019, the Bank announced its support for the acquisition of TD Ameritrade, of which the Bank is a major shareholder, by The Charles Schwab Corporation (Schwab), through a definitive agreement announced by those companies. Under the terms of the transaction, all TD Ameritrade shareholders, including the Bank, would exchange each TD Ameritrade share they own for 1.0837 shares of Schwab. As a result, the Bank will exchange its approximate 43% ownership in TD Ameritrade for an approximate 13.4% stake in Schwab, consisting of up to 9.9% voting common shares and the remainder in non-voting common shares, convertible upon transfer to a third party. TD expects to record a revaluation gain at closing.

The transaction is subject to certain closing conditions, including majority approval by the shareholders of each of TD Ameritrade and Schwab, and majority approval of TD Ameritrade's shareholders other than TD and certain other shareholders of TD Ameritrade that have entered into voting agreements. In addition, the transaction is subject

to receipt of regulatory approvals. The transaction is expected to close in the second half of calendar 2020, subject to all applicable closing conditions having been satisfied.

If the transaction closes, it is expected to have minimal capital impact on the Bank, and the Bank expects to account for its investment in Schwab using the equity method of accounting. The Bank and Schwab have entered into a new Stockholders' Agreement that will become effective upon closing, under which the Bank will have two seats on Schwab's Board of Directors, subject to the Bank meeting certain conditions. Under the agreement, the Bank will be subject to customary standstill and lockup restrictions. The Bank and Schwab have also entered into a revised and extended long-term IDA agreement that will become effective upon closing and extends to 2031. Starting on July 1, 2021, IDA deposits, which were \$142 billion (US\$108 billion) as at October 31, 2019, can be reduced at Schwab's option by up to US\$10 billion a year, with a floor of US\$50 billion. The servicing fee under the revised IDA agreement will be set at 15 bps upon closing.